



January 8, 2025

Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership.  
900-1185 West Georgia Street  
K'emk'emeláy (Vancouver), BC V6E 4E6

**Attn: Scott Wagner, P. Biol, Permitting Manager**

**Re: Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and inxwantas (Monitoring) Plan Rev 9  
Woodfibre LNG, Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish), BC  
Project No. 20327-109**

We have enclosed Revision 9 of the Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and inxwantas (Monitoring) Plan for the construction of the Woodfibre LNG Project. We trust this report meets your requirements and thank Woodfibre LNG for the opportunity to work on this exciting Project.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

**Keystone Environmental Ltd.**

**Duncan Clark, R.P.Bio.  
Project Manager/ Team Lead, Biological Services**

I:\20300-20399\20327 WLNG\Phase 00109B MFFHMMP Plans and SOPs\Reporting\MFFH MMP\FINAL Rev9\20327-109B 260108 MFFHMMP Rev 9.docx

**encl.**



**Keystone  
Environmental**  
Knowledge-Driven Results

**MARINE STS'ÚKWI7 (FISH) AND STS'ÚKWI7 (FISH) HABITAT MANAGEMENT  
AND ÍNEXWANTAS (MONITORING) PLAN REVISION 9**

Woodfibre LNG  
Woodfibre, BC

Prepared For: Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership  
January 2026

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. BACKGROUND .....	1
1.1 Purpose.....	1
1.2 Objective.....	2
1.2.1 Technical Advisory Committee.....	3
1.3 Scope.....	5
1.4 Project Description and Activities .....	6
1.4.1 Project Location.....	6
1.4.2 Site History .....	8
1.4.3 Project Overview .....	8
2. CONSULTATION AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT .....	10
2.1.1 Conditional Approval .....	11
2.1.2 Tsleil-Waututh Nation Summary.....	11
2.1.3 Squamish Nation Summary .....	12
2.1.4 Musqueam Indian Band.....	12
2.1.5 Additional Indigenous Groups.....	12
2.2 Best Management Practices and Standards.....	12
2.3 Management of Change.....	13
3. PRIMARY INFRASTRUCTURE .....	15
3.1 Marine FST Terminal.....	18
3.1.1 Substructure Installation Support Piles.....	18
3.1.2 FST Transfer Platform Module M05.....	18
3.1.3 FST Permanent Mooring Structures .....	19
3.1.4 Piping Trestle Module M07 and Roadway Trestle Module M14.....	20
3.1.5 Floating Storage Tanks (FSTs).....	21
3.2 Material Offloading Facility (MOF) .....	21
3.3 Offloading Platform.....	22
3.4 Temporary Floating Worker Accommodations (Floatel).....	23
3.5 Second Temporary Floating Worker Accommodation (Floatel #2).....	24



**TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)**

	Page
3.5.1 Floatel #2 Installation Methods.....	25
3.5.2 Floatel #2 Operation.....	26
3.5.3 Floatel #2 Decommissioning.....	26
3.6 Shoreline Repair.....	27
3.7 Ro-Ro Ramp Dock & Dolphin Refurbishments (Existing Industrial Infrastructure).....	29
3.8 Bridges Over Mill Creek.....	29
3.9 Culvert Outfalls.....	30
3.10 Timeline.....	30
4. REGULATORY FRAMEWORK.....	32
4.1 Environmental Assessment Conditions and Commitments.....	32
4.2 Legislative Requirements and Criteria.....	45
4.3 Best Management Practices and Standards.....	46
5. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	47
6. BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	48
6.1 Ecological Setting.....	48
6.2 Marine Invasive Species.....	49
6.3 Species at Risk.....	51
7. PREDICTED EFFECTS SUMMARY AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	54
7.1 Avoidance Measures.....	56
7.1.1 Site Selection.....	56
7.1.2 Design Measures.....	56
7.1.3 Construction Phase.....	57
7.1.4 Operation Phase.....	58
7.1.5 Floatel #2 Specific Mitigation Measures.....	58
7.2 General Mitigation Measures.....	60
7.2.1 Tree and Vegetation Protection.....	60
7.2.2 Erosion and Sediment Control.....	60
7.2.3 Invasive Species Management.....	60



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

	Page
7.2.4 Water Quality and Turbidity .....	61
7.2.5 Spills from Industrial Equipment.....	62
7.2.6 Site Stabilization and Restoration .....	63
7.2.7 Environmental Monitoring .....	63
7.3 General Marine Works Management and Timing Windows .....	63
7.3.1 Marine Work Timing Windows.....	65
7.3.2 Construction Scheduling.....	65
7.3.3 Fish Protection Measures.....	65
7.4 Marine Shading and Lighting .....	66
7.5 Underwater Noise.....	67
7.6 Fish Exclusion and Fish Salvage .....	70
7.7 Concrete Works.....	71
7.8 Pile Driving .....	72
7.9 Creosote Pile Removal.....	72
7.10 Ballast Water Management – Marine Construction Vessel, Construction and Operations .....	73
7.11 Seabed Disturbance and Placement of Materials.....	74
7.12 Upland Mitigations .....	75
7.12.1 Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control .....	75
7.12.2 Stormwater Management.....	76
7.12.3 Waste Management Plan.....	76
7.13 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Environmental Effects Monitoring.....	77
7.13.1 FDS Condition 3.1 – Timing Windows .....	77
7.13.2 FDS Condition 3.2 – Marine Water Quality.....	77
7.13.3 FDS Condition 3.3 – Harmful Alteration, Disruption, or Destruction of Fish and Fish Habitat .....	77
8. HABITAT OFFSETTING PLAN.....	79
8.1 Offsetting Construction Schedule.....	79
8.2 Complementary Measures.....	80



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

	Page
8.2.1 Site Remediation and Clean-up .....	80
8.2.2 The Green Zone .....	80
8.3 Cost Estimate.....	81
9. REPORTING AND COMMUNICATIONS.....	82
9.1 Routine Reporting.....	82
9.2 Non-Routine Reporting .....	82
9.3 Environmental Incident Reports .....	83
10. ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND CONTINGENCY MEASURES .....	84
10.1 Juvenile Salmon Outmigration.....	85
10.2 Herring Spawning.....	85
10.3 Shoreline Alterations within Project Footprint.....	85
10.4 Habitat Offsetting.....	85
10.5 Habitat Offsetting Metrics Effectiveness Monitoring.....	89
10.6 Confirmation of Shoreline Protection Efforts .....	89
10.7 Floatel #2 .....	89
11. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT .....	90
12. PROFESSIONAL STATEMENT .....	91
13. REFERENCES.....	92

## LIST OF IN-TEXT FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1-1 Location Plan.....	7
Figure 3-1 Project Overview.....	17
Figure 7-1 Summary of Marine Timing Windows .....	65
Figure 9-1 Contingency Riparian Offsetting .....	87
Figure 9-2 Potential Contingency Offsetting Location.....	88



### LIST OF IN-TEXT TABLES

	Page
Table 2-1	Qualified Professionals.....10
Table 2-2	Indigenous Groups, Government, Public and Other Parties Engaged.....10
Table 2-3	Examples of Best Management Practices Reviewed.....12
Table 2-4	Report Version History.....13
Table 3-1	List of Project Components, Area, and Location.....15
Table 3-2	Shoreline Works – Excavation Volumes Below HHWL .....27
Table 3-3	Anticipated Schedule of Marine Construction Activities (2024).....30
Table 4-1	Conditions for Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan.....32
Table 4-2	Proposed Mitigation Measures (Woodfibre LNG Application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate).....37
Table 4-3	Regulatory Framework for the Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan.....45
Table 4-4	Regulatory Framework for MFFHMMP Best Management Practices .....46
Table 6-1	Average Annual Precipitation and Temperature from Environment Canada's Squamish STP Central Station (1981–2010).....49
Table 6-2	Marine Invasive Species Listed by the IUCN as Having Occurrence Reports in BC (GISD, 2022).....50
Table 6-3	Aquatic Species at Risk that have the Potential to Use the Habitat or Surrounding Area (2 km) of the Project.....52
Table 7-1	Summary of Proposed Mitigations from Environmental Assessment Sections 5.16 and 5.18.....54
Table 7-2	Water Quality Criteria for Evaluating Project Works (BCENV, 2018a and 2018b) .....61
Table 8-1	Estimated Construction Schedule for Offsetting Areas .....80
Table 8-2	Cost Estimate for Offsetting Construction at Woodfibre LNG.....81

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Floatel #2 Engineering Plans and Specifications
------------	---



## LIST OF ACRONYMS

AEA	Aquatic Effects Assessment
AIS	Aquatic Invasive Species
AMP	Adaptive Management Plan
AVS	Acid Volatile Sulphides
BC	British Columbia
BCER	British Columbia Energy Regulator
BMP	Best Management Practice
BSG	Bridgemans Services Group
CCME	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CD	Chart Datum
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CofC	Certificate of Compliance
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
CPA	Certified Project Area
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAC	Environmental Assessment Certificate (as amended July 2019)
EAO	Environmental Assessment Office
EEMP	Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan (used in conjunction with MFFH)
EM	Environmental Monitor
EPP	Environmental Protection Plan
ESCP	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
EWG	Environmental Working Group
FA	<i>Fisheries Act</i>
FAA	<i>Fisheries Act Authorization</i>
FDS	Federal Decision Statement (as amended 2018)
FST	Floating Storage Tanks
FSO	Floating Storage and Offloading (used in conjunction with FST)
GD	Geodetic Datum
GPS	Global Positioning System
HADD	Harmful Alteration Disruption or Destruction
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
HHWL	Higher High Water Line



### LIST OF ACRONYMS (CONT'D)

ISMP	Invasive Species Management Plan
KPIs	Key Performance Indices
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
MCV	Marine Construction Vessel
MFFHMMP	Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan
MLRW	Marine Least Risk Window
MMMMP	Marine Mammal Management and Monitoring Plan
MOF	Material Offloading Facility
MWQMMP	Marine Water Quality Management and Monitoring Plan
OEMP	Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan
OGC	Oil and Gas Commission
OKPIs	Offsetting Key Performance Indices
PPIA Project	Potential Project Impact Area Woodfibre LNG Project
QP	Qualified Professional (As defined in EAC)
Ro-Ro	Roll-on and Roll-off
SARA	<i>Species at Risk Act</i>
SN	Squamish Nation
SNEAA	Squamish Nation Environmental Assessment Agreement
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TWN	Tsleil-Waututh Nation
Woodfibre LNG	Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership
WQG	Water Quality Guidelines
WSA	<i>Water Sustainability Act</i>

### SYMBOLS AND UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

cfu	colony forming unit
km	kilometre
m	metre



## PREAMBLE

The Woodfibre Liquefied Natural Gas Project (the Project) is a liquefied natural gas export facility being constructed on the former Woodfibre Pulp and Paper Mill site (the Project) in Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound), approximately seven kilometres south of Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh (Squamish). The Project is on the historical location of a Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) village known as Swiyát. Swiyát and Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) are tied to the cultural well-being of Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) members, their ancestors, and their descendants, and to other Indigenous groups as defined in the Project's Environmental Assessment Certificates. Woodfibre LNG Limited recognizes the importance of these areas to the Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh stélmexw (Squamish People), and other Indigenous groups. Woodfibre LNG Limited seeks to construct and operate the Project in a manner that is respectful of Indigenous values. This Marine Water Quality Management and Monitoring Plan is primarily written in English with important place names, species, phrases, and passages provided in the Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh (Squamish) language.

Temíxwiyíkw chet wa naantem chet ti temíxw Swiyát  
Chet wa sméñhemswit kwis ns7éyxnitas chet ti temíxw  
We7ú chet kwis t'íchimwit iy íwas chet ek' l tti.

Our ancient ancestors named this place Swiyát  
We, as their descendants safeguard these temíxw (lands)  
We will continue to swim and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) in these clear staḵw (waters).

Tsleil-Waututh Nation - Woodfibre LNG understands that it is operating within the traditional, ancestral, and unceded territory of the səlilwətał (Tsleil-Waututh) Nation.



## 1. BACKGROUND

Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership (Woodfibre LNG) commenced Construction in November 2023 of a liquefied natural gas (LNG) export facility (the Project) on the former Woodfibre Pulp Mill site (the Site) in Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound), approximately seven kilometres south of Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish). The Project is on the historical location of a Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) village known as Swiyát. Swiyát and Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) are tied to the cultural well-being of Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) members, their ancestors, and their descendants. Woodfibre LNG Limited recognizes the importance of these areas to the Skwxwú7mesh stélmexw (Squamish People) and seeks to construct and operate the LNG facility and export terminal in a manner that is respectful of Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) values.

The Project area is located at the former Woodfibre Pulp Mill site; a fee simple, industrially zoned brownfield site with more than 100 years of industrial use and deep-water marine access. The community of Shisháyu7ay (Britannia Beach) is approximately 5.5 km southeast of the Project area; Kwtsá7tsutsin (Darrell Bay) is approximately 6.2 km to the east; and K'ík'elxn (Port Mellon) is approximately 22 km southwest. K'emk'emeláy (Vancouver) is situated approximately 50 km southeast of the Project area.

The Project location and Certified Project Area (CPA) are shown on **Figure 1-1**, and the Project layout is shown on **Figure 3-1**.

This Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan (MFFHMMP or the Plan) is primarily written in English with important place names, species, phrases, and passages provided in Skwxwú7mesh Sníchim (Squamish language) and English. This Plan is consistent with the Woodfibre LNG Environmental Policy which includes meeting compliance requirements, implementing best industry practices and continuous improvement in environmental performance.

Woodfibre LNG is committed to maximizing positive benefits and mitigating impacts of the Project for the community of Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) and Indigenous Groups. This includes employment, training, business, procurement, and community / social investment opportunities, as well as environmental benefits and ensuring mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions. During construction, Woodfibre LNG will employ approximately 700 people, and create an additional 500 indirect and 400 induced jobs in B.C. and 200 indirect and 150 induced jobs for other Canadians. During operations, Woodfibre LNG will provide approximately 100 long-term jobs. Woodfibre LNG is working with governments, local Indigenous Groups, and community stakeholders to develop employment and training opportunities, ensure diversity, equity, and inclusion and promote gender and cultural safety of its workforce and the local community. Woodfibre LNG represents a \$5.1 billion investment in the economy that will generate revenues for the federal, provincial and municipal government to reinvest in public services that British Columbians depend on.

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Plan is to guide the implementation of mitigation measures and Inexwantas (monitoring) to manage potential Project related effects to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat during Project construction and operations, consistent with regulatory and legislative requirements.



## 1.2 Objective

The objectives of this MFFHMMP are to provide guidance that fulfills conditions of the Federal Decision Statement (FDS), the Provincial Environmental Assessment Certificate (EAC), and the Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) Environmental Assessment Agreement (SNEAA), and outline mitigation measures and Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the Project in relation to the protection of marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat.

The MFFHMMP also:

- Identifies and sets out the means by which regulatory and legislative requirements are met with respect to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, including Table 22-1 of the EA application;
- Outlines mitigation measures to reduce or eliminate environmental effects to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat as described in the EAC and FDS during the Project;
- Describes compliance and verification Inexwantas (monitoring) programs to verify the accuracy of the predicted Project effects outlined in the EA application, assess the effectiveness of mitigation measures, particularly as they apply to sts'úkwi7 (fish) migration, sts'úkwi7 (fish) rearing and spawning, habitat quality, and aquatic invasive species;
- Outlines an Adaptive Management Plan (AMP) to implement and monitor the effectiveness of additional mitigation measures that may be required, as informed by effectiveness Inexwantas (monitoring), whether the extent of effects predicted in the EA application may not have been captured or predicted accurately or mitigation measures are found not to be effective; and
- Where design and mitigations are not sufficient to avoid harmful alteration, disruption, or destruction (HADD) of sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat (as defined in the *Fisheries Act*), describes offsetting plans for residual Project effects.

To support FDS sections 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13 regarding the development and implementation of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat offsetting plans, Woodfibre LNG submitted an application for a *Fisheries Act* Authorization (FAA) to Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) on October 25, 2022, and a FAA was issued by DFO to Woodfibre LNG on October 6, 2023 (DFO No.: 22-HPAC-01346). The FAA includes a detailed Aquatic Effects Assessment (AEA; Keystone Environmental 2023b) and details supporting the subsequent offsetting commitments which are duplicated in this Plan, which will ultimately guide the implementation of the FAA and compliance with the FDS 3.14 and EAC 8. As stated in Section 8 of this Plan, a stand-alone detailed Offset Effectiveness Monitoring Plan (OEMP) was developed in Q1 of 2024 that describes in detail how the offsetting will be implemented and how Inexwantas (monitoring) of the effectiveness of the offset habitats will be undertaken. The OEMP was revised in July 2024 (Keystone Environmental 2024c).

Please refer to the Marine Mammal Management and Inexwantas (monitoring) Plan (MMMMMP; Stantec 2023a) that addresses FDS conditions 3.8, 3.9 and 3.10 along with EAC section 8.

To achieve the MFFHMMP objectives, this plan is structured as follows:

- **Section 2** describes consultation and plan development.
- **Section 3** describes the Project activities.
- **Section 4** provides an overview of the regulatory framework for the Project including applicable acts, regulations, and guidelines for the protection of marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat.
- **Section 5** outlines the Project roles and responsibilities as they relate to this Plan.



- **Section 6** reviews baseline environmental conditions, further detail is provided in a companion Marine Sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a), as further described in **Section 1.2.1**. Baseline environmental conditions within the footprint of Floatel #2 are described in an Aquatic Effects Assessment for Floatel #2 (Keystone Environmental 2025).
- **Section 7** summarizes environmental effects of the Project and prescribes mitigation measures regarding general marine works management including avoidance measures, marine timing windows, marine shading and lighting, underwater Kwémi7 (noise), Sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and salvage, concrete works, creosote pile removal, ballast Stakw (water) management, offsetting and offsetting measures, and upland mitigations that may impact marine Sts'úkwi7 (fish) including erosion and sediment control (ESC), stormwater management, and waste management. Sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat environmental effects Inexwantas (monitoring) are detailed in a companion Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan (Keystone Environmental 2024b), as further described in **Section 1.2.1**.
- **Section 8** describes Habitat Offsetting. Offsetting Effectiveness Performance Objectives, Inexwantas (Monitoring), and Adaptive Management are detailed in a companion Detailed Habitat Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan (Keystone Environmental 2024c), as further described in **Section 1.2.1**.
- Section 9 describes reporting and communications.
- Section 10 describes information management.

Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) conducted an independent review of the application for an EAC under its own environmental assessment process and on October 14, 2015, Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) issued an Environmental Certificate for the Project that included conditions to be met by Woodfibre LNG. As a part of the conditions, Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) collaborated on early scoping of this document, and Woodfibre LNG required written approval from Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) on the final version of this Plan prior to construction in November 2023. Conditional approval of Rev 3 of this Plan was provided on October 23, 2023, under the stipulation that a list of conditions was met, as further described in **Section 2.1.1**.

### 1.2.1 Technical Advisory Committee

In October 2023, a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was convened with the Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) Environmental Working Group (EWG) and selected Qualified Professionals (QPs), to collaboratively develop detailed Inexwantas (monitoring) plans and survey protocols based on agreed upon objectives, performance indices, and methodologies. A Guiding Principles document provides direction to the TAC, acknowledging the shared values of transparency, honesty, stewardship, and Indigenous rights and cultural values.

The TAC also functions as the primary review mechanism for construction phase Inexwantas (monitoring) information, data analyses and reporting, which includes recommendations pertaining to adaptive management. The adaptive management of these companion plans, subsequent reporting requirements, and specific mitigation measures are expected to be informed by on-going Inexwantas (monitoring) data collection and analyses, with regular reviews, updates and recommendations provided by the TAC.



Companion documents to this overarching MFFHMMP include (a) Marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat Pre-Construction Baseline Report, (b) Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan (EEMP) and (c) Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan (OEMP). The development of these documents occurred in alignment with the objectives and Guiding Principles established by the TAC and approval by Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) was required prior to July 19, 2024, as an extension of the MFFHMMP approval process under Condition 4.6 of the SNEAA. The development of these documents is described herein.

(a) MFFH Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a):

The Pre-Construction Baseline Report has been developed to consolidate all fisheries related baseline data collected for the Project since its inception in 2014 through to November 2023. The purpose of this report was to develop an evidence-based QP report that summarises freshwater and marine conditions (habitat attributes, sts'úkwi7 (fish) use by various life stages [known or hypothesized], and trends [known or hypothesized]) that existed prior to Project construction in November 2023. The report is intended as a future reference point for interpreting Inexwantas (monitoring) outcomes arising from the MFFHMMP.

(b) MFFH-EEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024b):

The EEMP has been developed to fulfill the follow-up Inexwantas (monitoring) requirements of the Project's FDS and EAC and addresses subtopics including (but not limited to) key Inexwantas (monitoring) objectives and performance indices, workplans for Inexwantas (monitoring) programs, sampling and reporting frequency and duration, and decision-making criteria for future adaptations to this Plan. The following primary objectives listed below have been finalized through the TAC. Each primary objective will be monitored through selected Key Performance Indices (KPIs) and a defined study approach:

1. To assess potential changes in Pacific slhawt' (herring) habitat use for spawning and identify trends (if any) in the Potential Project Impact Area (PPIA) from pre-construction, construction, and into operational phases.
2. To assess potential changes in the abundance of Pacific slhawt' (herring) and other marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) species groups (i.e., juvenile salmonids, forage sts'úkwi7 (fish), and pelagic species) in the PPIA from pre-construction, construction, and into operational phases.
3. To assess potential relative changes in Cháyilhen (salmon) spawning in Mill Creek from pre-construction, construction, and into operation phases.
4. Assess potential relative changes (if any) in juvenile salmonids outmigration and relative abundance and habitat use of juvenile salmonids and resident species in Mill Creek from pre-construction, construction, and into operational phases.
5. To detect the presence (if any) of Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) within the CPA.
6. OEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024c):

The detailed OEMP has been developed to fulfill condition 4.4.4 of the FAA (DFO No. 22-HPAC-01346) and was required for submission to DFO by March 15, 2024. Approval by Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) was required prior to July 19, 2024, as an extension of the MFFHMMP approval process under Condition 4.6 of the SNEAA. The detailed OEMP outlines the follow-up Inexwantas (monitoring) during and after construction within the Project's habitat offsetting areas to assess effectiveness of the habitat offsetting. The four main offsetting objectives are:

1. To expand existing intertidal/ shallow subtidal hard substrate to promote marine vegetation, thereby increasing areas for slhawt' (herring) spawning and juvenile salmonid rearing, while also enhancing their migration route.



2. To improve sediment health through addition of: (i) a sand layer over existing sediments determined to be unproductive or of poor health to enhance the biologically active zone (i.e., upper 0.3 m to 0.5 of sediment), and (ii) a sparse surficial cobble blanket to promote kelp and algal growth, but spaced to allow infaunal access (e.g. clams, worms) to the sand layer (bivalves to protrude, invertebrates to migrate).
3. To add rock reefs that will: (i) increase cover/structure for sts'úkwi7 (fish) species such as juvenile salmonids, rockfish and lingcod; spawning areas for sts'úkwi7 (fish) such as herring and demersal sts'úkwi7 (fish); and (ii) marine structure/ cover to enhance invertebrate diversity (e.g., sea cucumbers, sea urchins, crabs). Shallow reefs would be expected to support kelp growth, while deeper reefs would be primarily for sts'úkwi7 (fish) and invertebrate cover.
4. To restore degraded riparian areas focusing on the marine shoreline, lower Woodfibre Creek, and lower East Creek. Restoration of Mill Creek Green Zone will also be completed in partnership with (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation as agreed upon in the SNEAA and without additional offsetting credit.

### 1.3 Scope

The scope of the MFFHMMP applies to construction and operational phases of the Project, within the CPA and adjacent locations identified for baseline and reference data collection.

For the purposes of defining Construction, the MFFHMMP will use the amended definition from EAC Amendment 2 #E15-02 granted July 19, 2019. The definition is as follows:

***“Construction – The phase of the Project during which physical activities in connection with site preparation, building or installation of any component of the Project occurs. For purposes of this Schedule B, Construction does not include the following: (i) any activities conducted solely for investigative purposes under a valid permit or authorization, (ii) the demolition and removal or onsite remediation of existing structures and facilities associated with the former Woodfibre Pulp Mill, (iii) the closure of the existing pulp mill landfill, and (iv) ongoing maintenance or upgrades to the existing work or infrastructure to address safety, environment or regulatory compliance.”***

The Project schedule for Construction is anticipated to occur over approximately five years. With proposed mitigation measures, upland works are not anticipated to impact the marine environment and began in September 2023.

The marine least risk fisheries window for the Project is August 16 through January 31 (DFO Area 28 – Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound)). Intertidal and subtidal construction works began in the fall of 2023, within the marine least risk window (MLRW). The operational life of the Project is expected to be 25 years post-Construction.

For the purpose of defining 'sts'úkwi7 (fish) sts'úkwi7 (fish)' and 'sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat', the MFFHMMP will use the definitions from the FDS as follows:

***“Fish – as defined in subsection 2(1) of the Fisheries Act;” and***

***“Fish habitat – as defined in subsection 2(1) of the Fisheries Act.”***



In the *Fisheries Act*, “fish” means: (a) parts of fish; (b) shellfish, crustaceans, marine animals and any parts of shellfish, crustaceans, or marine animals; and (c) the eggs, sperm spawn, larvae, spat and juvenile stages of fish, shellfish, crustaceans and marine animals.

In the *Fisheries Act*, “fish habitat” means spawning grounds and any other areas, including nursery, rearing, food supply and migration areas, on which fish depend directly or indirectly in order to carry out their life processes.

The spatial area that the MFFHMMP will cover includes marine portions of the CPA and off-site proximal locations (i.e., habitat offsetting reference sites) in Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) outside the CPA.

The MFFHMMP should be reviewed in conjunction with the following linked management plans:

- Marine Mammal Management and Inexwantas (monitoring) Plan (MMMMP; Stantec 2023a) that describes measures to manage potential Project related effects on marine mammals during Construction and operations;
- Marine Water Quality Management and Inexwantas (monitoring) Plan (MWQMMP; Keystone 2023a) that describes measures and Inexwantas (monitoring) to manage potential Project related effects to marine water quality and inform Human Health Risk Assessment (a separate MWQMMP for construction and operations phases); and
- Construction/Operations Environmental Management Plan(s) (CEMP) that describes measures to manage potential Project related terrestrial effects during construction and operations.

While linked to these other management plans, the Plan has been developed as a standalone document.


## 1.4 Project Description and Activities

### 1.4.1 Project Location

The Project is located at the former Woodfibre Pulp Mill, approximately seven kilometres (km) southwest of Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish), British Columbia (BC) within the District of Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) municipal boundaries (the Site) and within the CPA (**Figure 1-1**). Currently, there is no road access to the Site, only marine access via Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound). The community of Shisháyu7ay (Britannia Beach) is approximately 5.5 km southeast of the CPA; Kwtsá7tsutsin (Darrell Bay) is approximately 6.2 km to the east; and K'ík'elxn (Port Mellon) is approximately 22 km southwest. K'emk'emeláy (Vancouver) is situated approximately 50 km southeast of the CPA.


The Project layout is shown in **Figure 3-1**. The Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates for the centre area of the CPA are: Zone 10 U 481642 m E 5501570 m N. In addition to the CPA, the Project uses Kwtsá7tsutsin (Darrell Bay) and Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) BC to transfer workers to and from the Site. A direct transfer option for workers from K'emk'emeláy (Vancouver) has also been implemented.






**Legend**

 CERTIFIED PROJECT AREA



	Woodfibre Squamish, B C Woodfibre LNG Limited		
	REVISION No. 00	DATE Jul. 2023	PROJECT No. 17227-100

**Figure 1-1**  
 Location Plan

## 1.4.2 Site History

The Site is historically a Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) Village named Swiyát. The original pulp mill was built on Mill Creek in 1908 and destroyed in a washout in the 1930s. A second mill operated at the north end of the Site under various owners until its decommissioning by Western Forest Products in 2006. From 1917 until 1973, the townsite of Woodfibre was present, where mill staff and their families resided. Boat traffic was present in the Woodfibre water lot during active mill operations, with both ships associated with Woodfibre's operations and ferry traffic from Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) to Woodfibre accessing the water lot.

The property is a fee simple, industrially zoned brownfield site with deep-water marine access and a history of more than 100 years of industrial use. Woodfibre LNG took ownership of the Site from Western Forest Products on February 6, 2015. Prior to the temixw (land) transfer in 2015, Western Forest Products received two Certificates of Compliance (CofC, land and water lots) from the BC Ministry of Environment, which were a condition of the sale agreement. At the time of purchase by Woodfibre LNG, Site infrastructure included an active landfill, a leachate treatment system, a wastewater treatment system, a dam at the outlet of Henriette Lake, an operational small hydro project, and failing infrastructure and buildings associated with the pulp mill. In 2018 and 2019, Woodfibre LNG began decommissioning much of the old infrastructure including removal of over 3,000 creosote timber piles, the deep-sea dock, ferry dock, timber wharf, hog fuel unloading dock, buildings and large concrete slabs.

Current access to Site that will continue throughout the Project is the transfer of personnel from the Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) area. In addition, project materials, equipment and workers will be transported to and from the CPA by stakw (water). The primary Project marine access routes that are used for marine transportation during construction are:

- Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) harbour route: passage through Mamquam Blind Channel and Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) Harbour to the CPA.
- Kwtsá7tsutsin (Darrell Bay) Route: passage from Kwtsá7tsutsin (Darrell Bay), or a suitable alternative, through Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) Harbour to the CPA.
- K'emk'emeláy (Vancouver) Route: passage through Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound), including Queen Charlotte Channel, Passage Island between Point Cowan and Point Atkinson to Pam Rocks, Montagu Channel east of Anvil Island, continuing northeast of Defence Islands to the CPA.

Further details regarding marine transportation can be found in the Marine Transportation Management Plan for construction.

## 1.4.3 Project Overview

The Project includes the construction of both upland and marine infrastructure to facilitate the storage, loading and offloading, and transport of liquefied natural gas (**Figure 1-1, Figure 3-1, Appendix A**). Infrastructure relating to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat includes:

1. Construction of a marine terminal with floating storage tanks (FSTs), including upland blasting to create an upland road and concrete foundation for an FST mooring structure;
2. Construction of a material offloading facility (MOF);
3. Construction of an offloading platform;



4. Construction of temporary floating worker accommodations on Site (the Floatel);
5. Construction of a second temporary floating worker accommodations on Site (Floatel #2; **Appendix A**);
6. Repair and upgrades to shoreline armour, which extends into Mill Creek, including excavation of existing shoreline materials to key in rock and place new riprap. Includes covering riprap with native beach material in some locations;
7. Repair and upgrades to the roll-on and roll-off facility (Ro-Ro);
8. Installation of two clear span bridges across Mill Creek;
9. Installation of culverts and outfalls within the shoreline of Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) and Mill Creek;
10. East Creek hydraulic modifications;
11. staḵw (Water) withdrawals from an existing instream staḵw (water) intake in Mill Creek and installation of a supplemental intake in Woodfibre Creek including staḵw (water) withdrawals; and
12. Construction and ínexwantas (monitoring) of Habitat Offsetting.

Minor additional works are anticipated to support marine construction and installation vessels maneuvering and anchoring around the Project. Potential minor effects to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat that may result from marine construction vessels (MCVs) are proposed to be accounted for through a 25% uncertainty factor applied to the required habitat offsetting. The AEA for Construction Works (Keystone Environmental 2023b) outlines the methodology and results of the effects assessment, and the detailed Habitat Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan (Keystone Environmental 2024c) outlines how the ínexwantas (monitoring) for effectiveness ínexwantas (monitoring) will be conducted.



## 2. CONSULTATION AND PLAN DEVELOPMENT

This Plan and its associated companion documents have been developed by qualified professionals (QPs), with support from the TAC, as defined in the EAC and FDS, as listed in **Table 2-1**.

**Table 2-1 Qualified Professionals**

Name	Company	Qualifications
Warren Appleton	Keystone Environmental	B.Sc., RP.Bio.
Duncan Clark	Keystone Environmental	B.Sc., RP.Bio.
Afshin Parsamanesh	Keystone Environmental	M. Sc. RP.Bio
James Slogan	Keystone Environmental	Ph.D., RP.Bio.
Chris Neufeld	LGL Limited	Ph.D.
Wendell Challenger	LGL Limited	Ph.D.

This Plan has been developed in consultation with Indigenous Groups as defined in the FDS including Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) and Tsleil-Waututh Nation (TWN). Groups, Agencies or Parties identified in **Table 2-2** were provided copies of the Plan and were invited to comment on the Plan. Where views or information were received regarding the Plan, written response was provided as to how it was/was not considered and addressed. As per Condition 2 of the EAC, records of the comments and how each were addressed are available upon request by the BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) or the relevant party.

In respect of promoting open, without-prejudice collaborative discussions on applications for permits and authorizations, the specifics of those discussions are not outlined in detail. Requests to Indigenous Groups may be made by Woodfibre LNG to disclose specifics deemed necessary to progress regulatory processes.

**Table 2-2 Indigenous Groups, Government, Public and Other Parties Engaged**

Indigenous Group/ Agency/Party	Date	Action
Squamish Nation (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw)	2019 to 2025	Between 2019 and 2025, Squamish Nation (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) and Woodfibre LNG exchanged written materials and directly discussed the Plan on numerous occasions.
Tsleil-Waututh Nation	2019 to 2025	Tsleil-Waututh Nation and Woodfibre LNG exchanged written materials (monthly and annual reporting) and directly discussed the Plan on numerous occasions.
Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)	2020 to 2025	Review of Project, fisheries studies and offsetting plans through direct site visits, virtual meetings, and monthly and annual reporting.
Tsleil-Waututh Nation	August 2, 2022	<i>Fisheries Act</i> Authorization (FAA) provided for comment
Tsleil-Waututh Nation Working Group	August 20, 2022	Technical Working Group meeting. Preliminary draft FAA discussed.



The 2023 finalized Plan was provided to EAO, DFO, BC Oil and Gas Commission (OGC), Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation), TWN, and Musqueam Indian Band in October of 2023. Since then, substantial work has been completed by the TAC, and this Plan has been updated accordingly, hence the planned re-distribution to Indigenous Groups and regulatory agencies in November 2024 (**Table 2-4**).

### 2.1.1 Conditional Approval

The Plan is considered a Regulated Plan under condition 4.6 the SNEAA and required approval by the Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) representative of the Woodfibre EWG prior to commencement of construction in November 2023. Ongoing Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) participation in implementation of the MFFHMMP has occurred in accordance with the SNEAA and through contractual opportunities for Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) members or businesses in accordance with the Impact Benefit Agreement.

On October 23, 2023, Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) provided a letter of conditional approval to Woodfibre LNG for this Plan (Rev 3, dated October 20, 2023) under the stipulation that three Conditions were met, as listed below:

- Condition 1 stipulated that Marine construction must not commence until Woodfibre LNG has completed supplemental baseline data collection consisting of marine benthic transect-based sampling defined by agreed upon KPIs between Woodfibre LNG and the TAC. A drop camera biophysical survey was completed by Keystone Environmental on October 24 and 26, 2023 within the marine Project impact area. Results of the drop camera survey are integrated into the Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a) and are also available in a separate stand-alone report (Keystone Environmental 2024d). Marine in-water construction commenced on December 8, 2023.
- Condition 2 stipulated a March 15, 2024, deadline for three supplemental deliverables<sup>1</sup> provided to the written satisfaction of Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) that are considered companion documents to this Plan. The original March 15, 2024 deadline was extended to May 10, 2024, and finally July 19, 2024. The supplemental deliverables were completed and approved by Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) by July 19, 2024, and are included in this revision of the Plan.
- Condition 3 stipulated that Woodfibre LNG and Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) are to engage through correspondence, workshops, and any other form of information exchanged deemed suitable to ensure mutually satisfactory standards. The result of this condition created the TAC. Condition 2 and by November 30, 2023 (or any extension mutually agreed upon), the Parties will develop a Guiding Principles document to outline the engagement process. Guiding Principles have since been developed and accepted by the Parties.

### 2.1.2 Tseil-Waututh Nation Summary

A Technical Working Group meeting was held on August 20, 2022, including TWN and Woodfibre LNG, where the preliminary draft of the FAA (August 2, 2022) was discussed. Formal comments from TWN were received on the February 14, 2023, version of the FAA report on April 24, 2023, with Woodfibre LNG responding on May 18, 2023. Further meetings have been convened with TWN as part of the technical working group where further FAA related discussion has continued to progress. Woodfibre LNG is committed to ongoing

---

<sup>1</sup> Marine Fish and Fish Habitat (MFFH) Pre-Construction Baseline Report, MFFH Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan, and MFFH Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan



engagement and information sharing pertaining to the FAA with TWN through the regulatory review period, offset implementation, and performance Inexwantas (monitoring) phases of the offsetting project. Additional meetings have also occurred as indicated in **Table 2-2**. An update on progress to date was provided via virtual meeting on September 20, 2024, with subsequent meetings taking place as part of regular meetings of the technical working group.

### 2.1.3 Squamish Nation Summary

Woodfibre LNG and Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) established a technical forum for this Plan through a bilateral EWG and have exchanged information and respective priorities for this Plan iteratively between 2019 and 2025. Through subsequent arrangements via the EWG and TAC (see **Section 1.2.1**), Woodfibre LNG has developed further refinements to this Plan for finalization in 2025.

### 2.1.4 Musqueam Indian Band

Technical meeting was held with Musqueam Indian Band representatives on March 16, 2023, where an FAA overview was presented, and an open discussion held based on the February 15, 2023, version of the report and April 28, 2023, comments received from Musqueam were reviewed for clarification. Formal responses to those comments were provided to Musqueam on May 24, 2023. Woodfibre LNG is committed to ongoing engagement and information sharing with Musqueam on the FAA in tandem with formal Crown consultation and regulatory review, and through all phases of the offset project including performance Inexwantas (monitoring).

### 2.1.5 Additional Indigenous Groups

At the time of the original FAA submission to DFO (October 25, 2022), notification to all Indigenous Groups was provided requesting formal comments and offering a meeting to provide an overview of the submission and to explore avenues for further dialogue and information sharing with each Indigenous Group. Notification was again provided for this subsequent submission. All Indigenous groups have been provided notification of subsequent submissions, including this updated revision.

## 2.2 Best Management Practices and Standards

Examples of BMPs reviewed to inform the MFFHMMP are provided in **Table 2-3**. The specific applications and methods that will be used are discussed in **Section 7**.

**Table 2-3 Examples of Best Management Practices Reviewed**

Best Management Practice	Description
<i>Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations</i> (BCMPDCA and DFO 2003)	Marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) Protection
Guidelines to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat from Treated Wood Used in Aquatic Environments in the Pacific Region (Hutton and Samis 2000)	Water quality and Marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) Protection
Measures to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat for Projects near Water (DFO 2019)	Marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Habitat Protection
DFO Code of Practice: Clear Span Bridges (DFO 2023)	Conditions and Measures to Protect sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat
Environmental Protection and Management Guideline (BC OGC 2024)	stakw (water) Quality



## 2.3 Management of Change

This Plan is intended to be a live document and requires updating to maintain regulatory compliance and incorporate results of the adaptive management process. Revisions will be made, as required, in response to additional information as it becomes available. Newly available information may come through the progression of detailed design, legislative changes, the issuance of permit/permit conditions, feedback from the Contractor, Indigenous Groups as defined in the FDS, regulatory agencies or the public, the results of ínexwantas (monitoring), and recommendations of the QP implementing the Plan.

Once this Plan is approved, if changes or further approval is required, this Plan will continue to be implemented unless advised to the contrary by the EAO in accordance with EAC Condition 3.

**Table 2-4** lists the history of revisions and report version of the plan, as well as the date, distribution, and purpose.

**Table 2-4 Report Version History**

Version	Date Issued	Distribution	Purpose
0.1	September 2019	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Energy Regulator	Draft for comment.
0.2	August 2022	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada Indigenous Groups as defined by FDS section 1.1	Draft for comment responding to (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation comments from May and September 2019 and Tseil-Waututh Nation comments received December 2019. Document updated to include mitigation measures associated with the EA amendment #3 (floating worker accommodation).
0.3	July-August 2023	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office Indigenous Groups as defined by FDS section 1.1	Final draft distributed for comment. Formal comments from (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation and Tseil-Waututh Nation responded to by Woodfibre, with updates to the Plan incorporated as per comments.
0.4	October 2023	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Musqueam Indian Band Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office BC Oil and Gas Commission	On-going engagement with (Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation resulted in updates to the Plan which included commitments to convene a technical advisory committee to oversee the implementation of the Plan and the development of detailed ínexwantas (monitoring) protocols. SNEAA approval received.



Version	Date Issued	Distribution	Purpose
0.5	November 2024	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office Indigenous Groups as defined by FDS section 1.1	Updated plan with reference to three key deliverables developed by the technical advisory committee, which describe baseline conditions, environmental effects Inexwantas (monitoring) and offset performance monitoring requirements.
0.6	February 2025	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office	Updated plan based on comments from stakeholders on Rev 5, with reference to three key deliverables developed by the technical advisory committee, which describe baseline conditions, environmental effects Inexwantas (monitoring) and offset performance Inexwantas (monitoring) requirements.
0.7	April 2025	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office	Updated plan based on comments from stakeholders on Rev 6, with reference to three key deliverables developed by the technical advisory committee, which describe baseline conditions, environmental effects Inexwantas (monitoring) and offset performance Inexwantas (monitoring) requirements. Plan accepted by EAO on May 1, 2025.
0.8	November 2025	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation	Draft plan includes the installation and operation of Floatel #2 including new mitigation measures.
0.8	Within 90 Days of the date of issuance of EAC amendment #4.	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office BC Energy Regulator Indigenous Groups as defined by FDS section 1.1	Updated plan includes the installation and operation of Floatel #2 including new mitigation measures.
0.9	To be issued within 90 Days of the date of issuance of EAC amendment #4 (November 6, 2025)	(Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw) Squamish Nation Tseil-Waututh Nation Musqueam Indian Band Fisheries and Oceans Canada BC Environmental Assessment Office BC Energy Regulator Indigenous Groups as defined by FDS section 1.1	Updates to plan include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Addition of the installation and operation of Floatel #2 including new mitigation measures.</li> <li>• Section 3.10 and Table 3-3: timeline and anticipated schedule of construction.</li> <li>• Section 7.3 General Marine Works Management and Timing Windows.</li> <li>• Section 7.5 Underwater Noise.</li> </ul>



### 3. PRIMARY INFRASTRUCTURE

An overview of infrastructure included in the Project is shown in **Table 3-1**, **Figure 3-1** and **Appendix A** (for Floatel #2). Details regarding the individual work activities are provided in **Section 3.1** through **Section 3.9**. For additional detail on project components and areas, refer to the FAA, AEA for Construction (Keystone Environmental 2023b) and AEA for Floatel #2 (Keystone Environmental 2025).

**Table 3-1 List of Project Components, Area, and Location**

Project Component	Total Area <sup>a</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )	Impact Area <sup>b</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )	Location	Comments
Marine FST Terminal	24,808 m <sup>2</sup>	1,448 m <sup>2</sup>	49.6677992° -123.2470597°	Total Area includes substrate under floating structures or raised platforms with no shading impacts as well as areas with no change in habitat value. These are not included in the Impact Area.
MOF	2,201 m <sup>2</sup>	1,791 m <sup>2</sup>	49.6653513° -123.2512062°	Total Area includes substrate under floating structures or raised platforms with no shading impacts as well as areas with no change in habitat value. These are not included in the Impact Area.
Offloading Platform	1,776 m <sup>2</sup>	1,565 m <sup>2</sup>	49.6643043° -123.2552212°	Total Area includes substrate under floating structures or raised platforms with no shading impacts as well as areas increasing in habitat value. These are not included in the Impact Area.
Floatel	11,198 m <sup>2</sup>	87 m <sup>2</sup>	49.6632422° -123.2570407°	Total Area includes substrate under floating structures or raised platforms with no shading impacts, which are not included in the Impact Area.
Floatel #2	5,590 m <sup>2</sup>	155 m <sup>2</sup>	49.660791° -123.258043°	Total Area includes substrate under floating structures or gangway ramps with no shading impacts. Impact Area is estimated anchor and chain drag areas contacting the seabed.
Road/ Blasting Area/FST	5,115 m <sup>2</sup>	5,115 m <sup>2</sup>	49.668542° -123.245019°	



Project Component	Total Area <sup>a</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )	Impact Area <sup>b</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )	Location	Comments
Shoreline Armor	29,652 m <sup>2</sup>	18,712 m <sup>2</sup>	<b>Western Point</b> 49.6615847° -123.258646°  <b>Eastern Point</b> 49.6679829° -123.2466843°	
Ro-Ro	942 m <sup>2</sup>	0 m <sup>2</sup>	49.662360° -123.258172°	Project works are limited to repair of an existing structure. No Impact Area.
Clear Span Bridges	398 m <sup>2</sup>	0 m <sup>2</sup>	49.666543° -123.254328°	Bridges will be designed and installed to meet the requirements of the BC Water Sustainability Regulation resulting in no Impact Area.
Culverts and Outfalls	505 m <sup>2</sup>	0 m <sup>2</sup>	Within Shoreline Armour Footprint	Stormwater outfall culverts will be installed above the high high-water level (HHWL) in areas of existing man-made structures and are not anticipated to have a negative impact on sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat.
Hydraulic Modifications	865 m <sup>2</sup>	0 m <sup>2</sup>	49.668733° -123.248452°	Hydraulic Modifications to mitigate flood and erosion in non-fish bearing watercourse resulting in no Impact Area.
staḵw (water) Withdrawals	344 m <sup>2</sup>	0 m <sup>2</sup>	Woodfibre Creek: 49.661286° -123.259931°  Mill Creek: 49.679102° -123.266845°	Mill Creek – an existing intake will be used. Woodfibre Creek – small, temporary intake footprint minimal relocation of rocks by hand resulting in no Impact Area.
Marine Aquatic Habitat Offsetting	22,120 <sup>e</sup>	-	Multiple areas – see Table 9-3	Described in Section 8
Marine Riparian Habitat Offsetting	16,183 <sup>d e</sup>	-	Multiple areas – see Table 9-4	Described in Section 8

<sup>a</sup> Total Area is the total plan view area of a project component.


<sup>b</sup> Impact areas are the portion of the Total Area for each Project Component with potential impacts to sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat.

<sup>c</sup> Total Area and Impact Area for the Shoreline Armor Project Component do not include here areas of overlap with other Project Components. In areas of overlap, Shoreline Armor areas are included within the other Project Components.

<sup>d</sup> Includes 10% for time lag per year.


<sup>e</sup> Includes 25% uncertainty factor.





**NOTES:**  
 1. THIS DRAWING IS FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY. LOT BOUNDARIES AND FEATURES ARE APPROXIMATE.  
 2. DATE OF AERIAL PHOTO IS 2016.

Data collected from:  
 -Keystone Environmental Ltd. 2019 Dive Surveys at Woodfibre, Squamish, BC. Data Collected for Woodfibre. May 2019  
 -Hemmera. 2016. Figure 1 - Benthic Habitat Survey Summary Report. Prepared for Woodfibre LNG. September 2015  
 -Golder Associates Ltd. 2014. Marine Resources Baseline Study. Prepared for Woodfibre LNG. October 2014



Woodfibre Squamish, B C Woodfibre LNG Limited		
REVISION No.	DATE	PROJECT No.
00	Jun. 2023	17227-100

**Figure 3-1**  
Overview Drawing

### 3.1 Marine FST Terminal

The Marine FST Terminal consists of the following:

- Thirty-two substructure installation support piles;
- Twenty-nine permanent substructure piles;
- FST Transfer Platform Module M05;
- FST Permanent Mooring Structure 01;
- FST Permanent Mooring Structure 02;
- FST Permanent Mooring Structure 03;
- FST Permanent Mooring Structure 04;
- FST Permanent Mooring Structure 04 Access Road;
- Piping trestle Module M07;
- Roadway trestle Module M14; and
- FSTs

Habitat within the remaining footprint of the Marine FST Terminal (offshore of impact areas) will not be impacted as it will be located underneath floating structures (e.g., FSTs) or underneath raised platforms (e.g., Module M05). Piles used to support raised platforms have been included in the Impact Area for the Marine FST Terminal.

#### 3.1.1 Substructure Installation Support Piles

A total of thirty-two, uncoated steel pipe piles will be installed into the bedrock, supporting the infrastructure needed to anchor the marine terminal. The support piles will be 1,067 mm outside diameter for a total footprint area of 33 m<sup>2</sup>. Following installation, the piles will be fully submerged with the top of the piles approximately 0 m to 2 m above the mudline. These will be installed using a marine vessel with a crawler crane to complete the required pile driving and drilling work. To reduce the unintentional deposition of materials into the marine environment during pile installation, the sediment and rock materials will be removed from inside the pile and physically isolated from the surrounding environment. If needed, piles will also be rock socketed (grouted) to the adjacent bedrock.

#### 3.1.2 FST Transfer Platform Module M05

Once the substructure installation support piles are placed, the FST transfer platform Module M05 will be constructed. Briefly, the topside platform structure, (approximately 72 m long, 30 m wide, and 9 m high) will be supported by two prefabricated lower assemblies (each approximately 16 m long, 14 m wide, and 25 m high). The westernmost substructure will also support the piping trestle Module M07 and the roadway trestle Module M14. Prefabricated, modular components made from structural steel and preassembled offsite, will be used for this portion of the project. All work requiring placement of structures in the marine environment will be completed using a marine vessel equipped with the necessary equipment such as a crawler crane for pile installation, or welding equipment onboard. A corrosion resistant coating will be applied to the transfer platform structures and components.



A portion of the lower assemblies could contact the seafloor once installed; the area of potential contact is estimated to be 130 m<sup>2</sup>.

Eleven permanent steel pipe piles (1,372 mm outside diameter) to support the platform and substructures will be installed through the vertical tubular members (i.e., the legs) using the same methods used in establishing the substructure support piles. The piles will also be rock socketed (grouted) to bedrock, and either grouted or welded to the substructure tubular members.

Once these have been installed, the Module M05 Topsides will be set in place and welded to the two substructures by a heavy lift marine vessel with a crane and welding equipment onboard. After the Module M05 topside structure is installed, a portion of the deck will have cast-in-place concrete installed within solid, sealed formwork isolated from the marine environment. Concrete will be supplied using onshore concrete pump trucks and all concrete work will be fully isolated from the marine environment.

A steel plate top deck will be installed on a portion of the top of the FST Transfer Platform, creating a fixed surface that is impermeable to light. The remainder of the top of the platform will be open grid steel grating, permeable to light transfer.

### 3.1.3 FST Permanent Mooring Structures

A permanent mooring system, consisting of four separate subsystems, (FST Permanent Mooring Structures 01, 02, 03 and 04) will be installed to anchor the FST Transfer Platform in place. FST Permanent Mooring Structures 01, 02 and 03 will have maintenance walkways connecting to the shoreline. One maintenance walkway will connect Permanent Mooring Structure 03 to Permanent Mooring Structure 04. Three of the subsystems will be constructed using preassembled steel components, treated with corrosion resistant coating, anchored by permanent steel piles set into the bedrock through each structure's vertical tubular members, with a topside set and welded to the substructure once placed. Two strut arms will be connected to the topside dampening system at a later stage once the FSTs are on site. Portions of two permanent mooring substructures, (numbers 01 and 02) bottom framing will each have potential to contact approximately 150 m<sup>2</sup> of seafloor once installed at site. FST Permanent Mooring Structures 01, 02 and 03 will each have six permanent piles of 1,372 mm outside diameter.

FST Permanent Mooring Structure 04 (MS-4) consists of a concrete topside structure (approximately 26 m long, 26 m wide, and 3 m high). The mooring structure will consist of a concrete abutment to be installed within an existing onshore rock outcrop, which will require upland blasting and removal of rock. Additionally, an access road will be required to support mooring structure work, which also require removal of overburden and upland blasting, and the construction of a retaining wall on the shoreline side to accommodate loaded rock trucks. The proposed access road will be 8 metres wide, to allow for two-way traffic of 30-tonne rock trucks. Additionally, a 0.5-metre-wide ditch will be included on the up-slope side of the road. An engineering drawing for access road was not available; therefore the footprint of access road was calculated with road linear distance and road width.



A total of thirty solid steel rock anchors (100 mm outside diameter or smaller) will be installed into the rockface to support the installation of concrete foundation. After the rock anchors are installed, the concrete abutment will be set in place. Like the first three mooring structures, the fourth will have two strut arms connected to the topsides dampening system at a later stage once the FSTs are on site. Prior to the construction of Permanent Mooring Structure 04, the existing rock outcrop will be cut away to prepare for the foundation and the access road. The estimated overburden and rock volume to be removed are 1,369 m<sup>3</sup> and 2,646 m<sup>3</sup> for the road. The rock volume to be removed for mooring structure abutment is 15,000 m<sup>3</sup>. This is a preliminary estimate of the rock cut area, as the design engineering of the structure is still underway and is subject to change as the design progresses.

For the removal of the bedrock in the immediate vicinity of mooring structure 04, two blasting approaches have been proposed. The first approach is smooth-wall long-hole blasting where majority of the slope will be blasted using one or two substantial blasts, and the second approach is a benched method where blasting will begin on top of the slope and work its way down. The first approach, however, is less likely to be used in this project because the used and staging of equipment such as large drill rig are required at the top of the steep mountainside. It would be difficult to get equipment and explosives to the location relatively high up and removed prior to blasting. Additionally, the perimeter holes would be difficult to layout and achieve the proper alignment and orientation while drilling. A sequenced timing starting nearest to the staḵw (water) and working the back and up from the staḵw (water) is less than desirable for drilling and loading.

The thirty rock anchors will be installed after completion of blasting. The rock anchors consist of solid steel rod anchors, 100mm outside diameter or smaller. The rock anchors will be installed by a marine vessel with a crane onboard. It is anticipated that the rock anchors will be installed utilizing pile drilling equipment to embed the anchors and rock socket (grout) into the bedrock.

The concrete abutment will be constructed of cast-in-place concrete installed within solid, sealed formwork and secured to the rock anchors. The concrete will be installed by a marine vessel with a crane, welding equipment, and concrete pump trucks onboard.

Permanent Mooring Structures 01, 02, 03 and 04 and Permanent Mooring Tie-Back Structures 01 and 02 will be comprised of surfaces that are impermeable to light.

### **3.1.4 Piping Trestle Module M07 and Roadway Trestle Module M14**

Two trestle modules will be installed to connect the FST Transfer Platform M05 to the onshore facilities. Module 07 (M07) consists of a steel framework structure (approximately 36 m long, 11 m wide, and 6 m high) with the interior of the structure tightly packed with various piping and electrical works, which will create an impermeable surface to light. Module 14 (M14) consists of a steel framework structure (approximately 31 m long, 7 m wide, and 7 m high) with a steel grating top deck, which will allow light penetration. Modules 07 and 14 will be preassembled offsite and painted for corrosion protection. Prior to the installation of the FST Transfer Platform topsides, the two trestles will be set in place and welded to the westernmost FST Transfer Platform substructure by a marine crane.



### 3.1.5 Floating Storage Tanks (FSTs)

Two FSTs will be permanently moored at the Marine Terminal. The FSTs (each approximately 208 m long, 65 m wide, and 25 m high) are existing LNG tanker ships that will be modified offsite to convert from shipping vessels to stationary storage vessels for LNG. During Marine Terminal operations, the LNG processed by the onshore facilities will be transferred to the FSTs through jumper arms from Module M05. The two FSTs will be permanently moored using the permanent mooring structures described in the previous sections. The elevations of the FSTs will vary due to tidal fluctuations and variations on LNG loading, but a minimum clearance of 3 m above the seabed will be maintained.

The two FSTs will be towed to the site using barges or tugs and secured to the permanent mooring structures using strut arms installed using a barge mounted crane. The strut arms consist of a steel frame structure that will allow light penetration.

### 3.2 Material Offloading Facility (MOF)

A MOF has been installed on the shoreline on the east side of the facility. The platform will be used for material transfer onsite from barges during the construction phase. The platform is comprised of a permanent surface impermeable to light. Four mooring buoys will be installed (2 along each side of the MOF). The buoys will be connected by mooring chains and/or wire rope to dead-man anchors which will be placed on the seabed. Two mooring dolphin structures supported by piles have been installed (1 on each side of the MOF).

The MOF is a concrete deck wharf structure extending approximately 40 m over the stakw (water) from the existing shoreline. The MOF is supported by 169 piles of 36" (914 mm) diameter with the top of piles at elevation +1.8 m geodetic datum (GD). These piles cover a total of 112 m<sup>2</sup> in area. The piles will were pre-coated at the pile fabricator facility with a marine-rated coating system prior to delivery and installation. The MOF deck surface is in 45.5 m width and 46 m length, covering an area of 2,093 m<sup>2</sup>.

The steel pipe piles were installed by rotary drill method, which applied little to no vibration into the soil and would not have displaced soil during installation. Where present, existing large boulders or riprap material were moved from the location of individual piles with an excavator before pile placement. The piles were initially set in their location on the seabed and allowed to embed by self-weight prior to the start of rotary drilling. Piles below the current high-water mark were installed from a marine piling barge, and piles above the current high-water mark were installed from an onshore piling rig.

After piles were installed, shoreline enhancement work was performed in the intertidal area between the piles, in areas of the shoreline lower than the bottom of the MOF deck. Shoreline enhancement details are further discussed in **Section 3.6**. A prefabricated steel tubular cap (pre-coated with a marine-rated coating system) was either bolted or welded onto the top of each pile. Steel beams (W14 x 61 or similar size, pre-coated with a marine-rated coating system) were bolted to the connection tabs to form a grid between the piles, which supports the concrete deck. Installation of the caps, connection tabs, and steel framing was performed from a barge.



Pre-cast concrete panels (12"/305 mm thick) were placed on top of the steel framing using onshore cranes. Temporary formwork was installed around the entire perimeter of the concrete deck, extending above the finished top of concrete surface. All gaps between the precast panels and/or formwork were sealed. After the panels and formwork were placed and the sealant had cured, a 30" (762 mm) thick fully reinforced concrete topping slab was poured atop the panels using onshore concrete pump trucks. After the concrete had cured, the formwork was removed. Two mooring bollards were installed on the top of the concrete deck with cast-in-place anchor bolts. Marine fenders were installed on the outward (southern) face of the concrete deck from a marine vessel.

The lowest top surface of the concrete MOF deck is at elevation +3.5 m GD. The lowest bottom of the precast concrete panels are at elevation +2.3 m, with an air gap of approximately 0.3 m above the higher-high stałw (water) line (HHWL) of +2.0 m GD. The bottom of the lowest steel framing is at elevation +1.6 m, approximately 0.4 m below the HHWL.

The four mooring buoys will be 12-foot (3,650 mm) outside diameter, composed of rigid foam and urethane shell over a steel frame. Steel mooring chains (2.5" / 64 mm links) and/or wire rope (35 mm diameter) will be connected between the mooring buoys and dead man anchors prior to installation. The dead-man anchors will be precast concrete with 10 tonne or smaller nominal size (2.5 m long, 2.0 m wide, 1.0 m high or smaller), which will be lowered to the seabed from a barge.

The two mooring dolphin structures each consist of four steel pipe piles (the same piles as described for the MOF structure) supporting a concrete pile cap with a 100-tonne mooring dolphin. Piles were driven into the seabed from a marine piling barge using rotary drill method to a depth of 42 m or less into the seafloor. The top of steel for the mooring dolphin structure is at elevation +3.5 m GD and has been decked with serrated grating.

### **3.3 Offloading Platform**

A light material offloading platform will be permanently installed adjacent to the current small craft dock on the west side of the CPA. A permanent access ramp will be constructed using infill between the shore and the offloading platform. As part of the proposed shoreline works, the areas of shore next to the platform and ramp will be armoured with riprap, that will connect to the shoreline repair works discussed below. The platform will facilitate the offloading of light materials from vessels during the operations phase of the LNG facility. The platform will be impermeable to light and will require infilling of the tidal and sub-tidal portions of the associated marine habitat.

The offloading platform will be constructed using a vertical wall (e.g., sheet pile), riprap and fill. The wall extending along the outer perimeter of the structure will be installed from a marine piling barge. Where possible, the driving of sheet piles will be completed using a vibratory hammer. If necessary, an impact hammer may be used to drive piles to their final design elevation. If sheet pile cannot be completed alternate wall materials like lock blocks, gabion baskets, or related features may be required if deemed necessary by the project engineers. After the area is enclosed with the wall and dewatered, it will be infilled. Infilling will take place from onshore and will use cranes or excavators to fill the area with clean, graded, base material. Infill will be isolated from marine stałw (water) within the wall. The fill will gradually be compacted as it is applied and finished with a graded and compacted top layer of high fines surfacing aggregate using excavators, bulldozers, and compactors.



The access ramp will be constructed from on shore using excavators, rollers, and compactors to install fill rock and graded base material. This will infill the shore leading to the platform. It will also be finished with a high fine surfacing aggregate that is compacted and graded. Shore armour in the form of riprap will be incorporated to protect the ramp from erosion and will be applied as discussed in the section on shoreline repairs. After the completion of the offloading platform, the site access dock gangway ramp will be moved from its existing temporary connection point to shore and connected to the offloading platform.

### 3.4 Temporary Floating Worker Accommodations (Floatel)

A Floatel is required to temporarily (during construction) house workers on-Site and is planned in response to community feedback on worker accommodations. The Floatel is moored on-Site and has the capacity to house approximately 600 workers. The Floatel will be removed from the Site following the completion of all major construction at the facility, including terrestrial works. The total area of the Floatel is not to exceed 8,600 m<sup>2</sup>. The components of the Floatel that will be within the CPA for most of the construction phase include:

- A walkway connecting the floating barge(s) to shore, supported by approximately twelve 508 mm (3 m<sup>2</sup> total footprint) diameter steel pipe piles;
- Two gangway ramps connecting the walkway to the floating barges;
- Four breasting dolphins comprised of eight steel pipe piles of 1,219 mm diameter (10 m<sup>2</sup> total footprint) for berthing/ mooring of the Floatel;
- Six onshore mooring structures to secure the Floatel in place.

Fixed walkways and gangways support electrical conduits for power supply and steel piping for potable stakw (water) supply from onshore areas. The Floatel is self-contained, and service vessels remove solid waste and sewage from the Floatel for disposal at a licensed offsite facility. The Floatel was brought to site and connected to mooring and breasting dolphins. A minimum of 2 m clearance between the Floatel and the seabed will be maintained at the lowest low tide.

All piles consist of steel pipe, painted for corrosion protection. A metal mooring dolphin and berthing fender was installed by a marine vessel atop the five breasting dolphins for berthing of the Floatel. A metal mooring dolphin was installed by a marine vessel atop the remaining four mooring piles. All elements of dolphins and fenders are located above the HHWL.

The fixed walkways and moving gangways were constructed of metal beam framing with metal grating and handrails. Walkway platforms are 4 m wide or less. The walkways and gangways are supported by metal frames installed on top of the supporting piles. The support frames are constructed of metal beam framing including 660 mm outside diameter or smaller sleeves that were installed on top of the piles.

Piles were installed from a marine spud barge using a vibratory hammer and an impact hammer to achieve the required design penetration if required. If the piles were rock-socketed, then sediment and rock materials were removed from inside the pile and isolated from the marine environment.



Pile installation supporting the above stákw (water) Floatel infrastructure (e.g., gangways and walkways) was scheduled prior to the end of the 2023-2024 MLRW, as the Floatel was scheduled to arrive on site in December 2023. While scheduled within the MLRW, it was understood that installation of the supporting Floatel piles may extend into January 2024 depending on Authorization timing and subsequent construction start date, as worker accommodation is a critical component needed to facilitate onshore and offshore construction activity. Considering the importance of Floatel operation, Woodfibre LNG had anticipated that Floatel berthing, and hook-up could extend past the 2023 MLRW, although this activity was deemed low risk to marine sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat. The Floatel berthing and hook-up occurred in June 2024.

Support frames were be installed on support piles using an onshore crane, with support from a marine vessel as required for alignment and connections. Walkways and gangways will then be set onto support frames by an onshore crane.

Upon demobilization of the Floatel from the facility, the walkways, gangways, conduit, utility piping, and support frames will be removed using similar methods as the installation. All piles will remain permanently in place.

### 3.5 Second Temporary Floating Worker Accommodation (Floatel #2)

It has been identified that a second Floatel is required to accommodate additional workers on-site during peak construction activities beginning in December 2025. Floatel #2 is planned in response to the projected increase in workforce requirements from the originally anticipated 800 workers to approximately 1400-1500 workers at peak construction.

Floatel #2 has similar dimensions and operational characteristics to Floatel #1, with the primary difference being that it has been moored and secured in place using anchors rather than steel mooring and breasting dolphins (piles). The mooring layout of Floatel #2 and its associated mooring infrastructure is presented in **Appendix A** including: rock anchors, drag anchors, mooring chains, lines and buoys, floating spud barge and gangway ramps. The installation methods are detailed in **Section 3.5.1**.

The components and supporting infrastructure of Floatel #2 includes:

- An approximately 167 m long, 29 m wide, and 22 m high vessel with a gross tonnage of 33,967 and a draft of 6.8 m.
- The vessel has been secured in place using nine anchors including:
  - Two rock anchors (8/J, 9/H), drilled and grouted into bedrock above the higher high stákw (water) line (HHWL) and connected to Floatel #2 via mooring lines (rope).
  - Two drag anchors buried on shore, above the HHWL, and connected by a mooring chain or rope (6/F, 7/G).
  - Five subtidal drag anchors connected by chains (Chain 1/A through Chain 5/E) to floating mooring buoys, which would be connected to Floatel #2 by mooring lines.
- One 50 m x 20 m floating pontoon spud barge secured in place within an existing barge berthing area, with seven 0.5 m diameter steel pontoon barge spuds. This is utilized as an access dock to transport workers from Floatel #2 to shore.
- One 20 m long x 2 m wide gangway ramp from Floatel #2 to the pontoon barge dock.
- Two 33 m long x 2 m wide gangway ramps from the pontoon barge dock to shore.



### 3.5.1 Floatel #2 Installation Methods

Installation methods for the rock anchors, upland drag anchor, subtidal drag anchors, pontoon barge dock and vessel are outlined below.

#### **Rock Anchors**

Rock anchors were installed by drilling holes into shoreline bedrock above the marine HHWL. After cleaning any debris or dust out of the hole, grout was inserted into the hole to act as a bonding agent between the anchor and the bedrock. The anchor was then pushed into the hole and secured, allowing the grout to set and bond with the rock. Mooring lines were secured to the anchors and secured to Floatel #2.

#### **Upland Drag Anchors**

The upland drag anchors were installed by burying the anchors into the fill materials and using an anchor handling vessel (AHV) to tension directionally. The drag anchors were buried, which involved some excavation and placement of fill materials using an upland excavator or similar equipment and compacted if needed. The chains and mooring buoy were installed using a barge mounted crane.

The mooring lines connected to the upland drag anchors (Chain 6/F and 7/G) run next to the riparian area on the north bank of Woodfibre Creek, utilizing previously disturbed areas to avoid further disturbance. Minor brushing and debris clearing may have been required. These mooring lines were routed through an existing clearing in the riparian area and did not require the removal of further vegetation outside the immediate area, and no large woody vegetation required clearing.

#### **Subtidal Drag Anchors**

Subtidal drag anchors were installed by lowering the anchors into place on the seabed via chain connections and dragging the anchors into the seabed using the pulling force of an AHV until the desired embedment depth was achieved. Chains were connected to floating mooring buoys at the surface of the stákw (water). Mooring buoys were connected to Floatel #2 by mooring lines.

#### **Pontoon Barge Dock**

The pontoon barge dock was towed into place using a tugboat and secured using seven steel dock spuds. Four spuds were lowered through the barge and into the seabed, while three spuds were installed immediately adjacent to the barge. A vibratory hammer was used to install the barge spuds. The gangway ramps were lifted into position using either a temíxw (land)-based or barge-mounted crane. The top of the gangway ramps was secured to abutments installed above the HHWL.

Abutment installation involved excavation of existing rock and fill materials within an existing gravelled surface and placement of a bedding layer of crushed rock, prefabricated concrete lock blocks, and cast-in-place concrete. Bearings, bearing plates and other hardware were embedded in the concrete. Once the concrete had cured, the excavated materials were replaced and compacted using an excavator. The gangway ramp was lifted into place with a crane or excavator and secured to the abutments using hand tools.



## Vessel

Floatel #2 was maneuvered into position using tugboats. It was secured to the mooring buoys and anchors using mooring lines. A gangway ramp connecting Floatel #2 to the spud barge was placed into position using a crane and secured in place using hardware and hand tools.

### 3.5.2 Floatel #2 Operation

Floatel #2 will be operated consistently with the current Floatel #1, including:

- No discharge of wastewater or sewage to Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound). Sewage and wastewater will be transferred to a tanker barge and be disposed of at an approved off-Site receiving facility.
- Workers, crew, and cargo will be transferred from the transfer platform at the stern of the vessel.
- Workers would be transported during shift changes directly from the Lower Mainland by passenger vessels.
- Food and other materials will be transported to the site using cargo vessels and barges from the Lower Mainland, avoiding traffic impacts through the Skwxwú7mesh/Sea-to-Sky corridor.
- Marine traffic associated with servicing the vessel and worker transport include one passenger vessel to and from the site, six days a week and one cargo/servicing vessel to and from the site, six days a week. These vessels will service both Floatel #1 and #2.
- Woodfibre LNG intends to connect Floatel #2 to shore power, and BC Hydro advises that the amount of power will be sufficient. However, access to the necessary infrastructure may take some time, and it is likely that the shore power connection will occur after Floatel #2 is operational. In the interim, diesel-powered generators will be used onboard the vessel.
- Potable stakw (water) will be supplied to the vessel, under an existing WSA 10 short-term stakw (water) use permit issued by BCER, using a shore-based, self-contained stakw (water) treatment system in a shipping container near Woodfibre Creek, similar to Floatel #1. Treated drinking stakw (water) will be piped overland to Floatel #2 along the gangway ramps.
- Floatel #2 will be operated in the same manner as Floatel #1 and will be managed by the same third-party operator as Floatel #1 in accordance with existing management plans developed for Floatel #1. These plans will be revised as necessary to incorporate Floatel #2.

Floatel #2 and its associated facilities and infrastructure will be installed in late 2025.

### 3.5.3 Floatel #2 Decommissioning

The anticipated operational duration of Floatel #2 is estimated to be 18 to 24 months, after which the vessel and its associated infrastructure will be removed. Floatel #2 will operate until such time that the workforce can be accommodated in the first Floatel.



### 3.6 Shoreline Repair

The following components are part of the shoreline works:

- Removal of debris and excavation activities east and west of Mill Creek and at the south barge landing to create more gradual slope;
- Reconstruction of riprap armoured shoreline and extension up to the existing first bridge on Mill Creek;
- Construction or modification of three barge landing areas along shore;
- Installation of a sheet pile wall above the HHWL;
- Toe of riprap may extend further into marine environment to create more gradual slope (i.e., fill) to approximately -1 m Chart Datum (CD);

The existing shoreline armouring requires repair and upgrades to: (1) meet future coastal design requirements (i.e., sea level rise); (2) repair damage from recent winter storms, and (3) protect newly exposed shoreline from erosion after removal of the old timber wharfs and docks. The shoreline work will enhance the protection from erosion for approximately 1,300 m linear length of the shoreline in the CPA. To begin shoreline repairs, excavation is required to extend the toe of the armoured slope in specific areas along the shoreline. This work will include the modification of two existing barge landings along shore to the southwest and northeast. An additional landing called centre ramp will be constructed as part of the eastern shoreline to accommodate future access constraints from proposed infrastructure. Excavation will take place at the existing south barge landing as well as the areas adjacent east and west of Mill Creek. The excavation activities east of Mill Creek will extend up to FST Permanent Mooring Structure 01 (**Table 3-2**). The purpose of the excavation will be debris and legacy structure removal as well as repositioning of the existing riprap slope along with the placement of new riprap.

**Table 3-2 Shoreline Works – Excavation Volumes Below HHWL**

Area	Excavation Volume Estimate (m <sup>3</sup> )	Description
<b>West of Mill Creek</b>		
Area 1	1,134	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Existing bollard structure will be removed</li> <li>Repositioning of existing and new riprap along ~170 m of shoreline towards existing Ro-Ro</li> <li>Existing SW barge ramp upgrades</li> </ul>
Area 2	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris – timber and concrete abutment</li> <li>Reposition of existing and new riprap along ~260m of shoreline</li> <li>No excavation required</li> </ul>
Area 3	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris (timber, asphalt)</li> <li>Reposition of existing and new riprap along ~210m of shoreline</li> <li>Shoreline tie-in to offloading platform</li> <li>No excavation required</li> </ul>
Area 4	6,206	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris (timber, asphalt, 2 concrete abutments)</li> <li>Excavate and grade to install new riprap along ~140 m shoreline</li> <li>Existing offshore riprap will remain in place</li> </ul>



Area	Excavation Volume Estimate (m <sup>3</sup> )	Description
Area 5 (west)	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris (timber, trees, concrete, asphalt, steel, etc.)</li> <li>After debris removal, install new riprap along ~70m reach of Mill Creek to the existing pedestrian bridge</li> <li>No excavation required</li> </ul>
<b>East of Mill Creek</b>		
Area 5 (east)	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Works will be same as Area 5 (west)</li> </ul>
Area 6	3,325	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris (timber, concrete, steel, etc.)</li> <li>Grade and excavate to prepare for reposition of existing and install new riprap along ~80m of shoreline; tie-in to MOF</li> </ul>
Area 7	1,067	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Numerous concrete structures and debris to be removed.</li> <li>Excavate / grade for reposition of existing and install new riprap along ~130m of shoreline; tie-in to MOF</li> <li>Excavate / grade for ramp stone install at existing Center Ramp</li> </ul>
Area 8	795	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Selective demolition of bulkhead for install of new riprap slope</li> <li>Excavate / grade for reposition of existing and install of new riprap along ~210m of shoreline</li> <li>Excavate / grade for ramp stone install at existing NE Barge Ramp</li> </ul>
Area 9	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove debris (timber, trees, concrete, asphalt, steel, etc.) – 4 existing concrete structures remain.</li> <li>Reposition of existing and install of new riprap along ~110m of shoreline</li> <li>No excavation is required.</li> <li>Area of bedrock outcropping (~60m in length)</li> </ul>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>12,527</b>	

A sheet pile wall approximately 60 m long will be installed near the eastern end of the shoreline in an area above the HHWL. The areas upslope of the sheet pile wall will be backfilled. The area downslope of the sheet pile wall will be protected with riprap armouring that will be part of the planned shoreline repair. Sheet piles will be driven into the existing surface from a land-based piling rig using vibratory pile driving methods and impact pile driving to seat piles to finish elevation if required. After sheet piles are installed, the upslope area will be infilled from onshore with graded base material using excavators and/or cranes. Infill material will be isolated from the marine environment behind the sheet pile wall.

Shoreline works include excavation and riprap placement. Excavation works are expected to be conducted with a crane or excavator with a clamshell bucket; both of which would operate from a floating barge utilizing spuds or anchors to remain in position. The excavated material will likely be loaded onto a separate scow barge with side walls. A portion of the sediment will be placed back on top of the riprap where indicated on the design drawings to bury the toe rock and maintain a beach similar to the existing contours in select locations chosen by the design engineers. The remaining material will be loaded onto a barge and taken offsite for disposal.



Riprap placement will be conducted from onshore using a long arm excavator or clam shell on a crane. Existing riprap that has been colonized by algae is intended to be reused and will be stockpiled in the tidal zone, away from the shoreline works in an area approved by the QP and Operations Manager. New riprap will be incorporated to replace degraded or unsuitable material that cannot be reused. Barge landings will be constructed by removing rock and substrate and grading the area to meet the design slope for the landings. Where this work occurs in the intertidal zone, the work will be timed for low tide. Compacted gravel will then be used to stabilize areas after material removal to mitigate erosion effects and sedimentation.

Shoreline protection work (i.e., installation of riprap) and demolition work will be sequentially conducted in discrete sections of shoreline and will progress along the length of the shoreline in a parallel effort to promote efficient equipment utilization, and to avoid extensive lengths of shoreline being exposed to erosion risk for extended disruptive weather events.

In collaboration with the TAC, a site-specific reduced risk instream work window is under development for the portion of shoreline repair along the ~70 m tidally influenced reach of Mill Creek due to its location which is subject to both marine and freshwater influence. Details of this site-specific window will be provided in a stand-alone submission once finalised.

### **3.7 Ro-Ro Ramp Dock & Dolphin Refurbishments (Existing Industrial Infrastructure)**

An existing Ro-Ro ramp dock at the south end of the facility will be repaired for continued use as a combined rail and road dock for the facility. The ramp was originally constructed around 1984 and has not been in operation since 2006. The repairs will be contained within the existing footprint of the Ro-Ro.

### **3.8 Bridges Over Mill Creek**

Two permanent clear span bridges will be built parallel to each other over the lower reach of Mill Creek to allow for access between the East and West areas of the LNG facility. One road bridge for light vehicle traffic and one pipe bridge supporting piping, electrical conduits/cables and instrumentation will be constructed. The bridges will be installed as modular structures with support piles and piers for the bridges located outside the top of bank boundary for Mill Creek within existing cleared areas devoid of riparian vegetation. The bridges have been designed to account for severe flooding and the increased likelihood of severe floods due to climate change in terms of their design height and structure. Once the new bridges are in place, the existing old bridges will be demolished and the road will be regraded; however, no excavation is anticipated for the removal of footings of the old bridges. Additional freeboard has been allowed in anticipation of any debris that may be carried downstream by a severe flooding event.

Helical or driven steel piles will be used as the primary supports, and they have been designed to avoid any requirements for additional support elements installed within the banks of the creek. The bridges will be connected directly to the support piles via welding or bolting to the pile caps.

Except for the use of steel foundation piles, which will be located outside of the top of bank of Mill Creek, planned installation methods will follow DFO's Code of Practice for clear span bridges.



A cast-in-place concrete abutment will be installed upslope of the piles on each end of the road bridge which will then be supported by clean, compacted fill to support the road approach slabs. The road bridge will have an impermeable concrete surface while the pipe bridge will be a permeable open steel truss structure. Both bridges will be pre-assembled and then lifted into place on the foundations/pilings using a crane.

### 3.9 Culvert Outfalls

Seven drainage culvert outfalls will be installed in the shoreline for controlled drainage of treated and non-contact stakw (water) from the site for discharge into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) and one into Mill Creek. Three new outfalls will be constructed and five will be refurbished culvert outfalls that currently exist but need repairs or modification. Two of the outfalls will incorporate control structures consisting of precast concrete boxes with manually operated frame gates and weirs. The two controlled outfalls will be associated with the West and East sedimentation ponds. Drainage pipes on the upstream and downstream sides of the outfall control structures will be elevated above the HHWL such that they are inaccessible to sts'úkwi7 (fish) from Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound). The riprap slope has been designed to account for sea level rise.

Outfalls will either be precast concrete, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) or steel pipe depending on the functional drainage requirements at each location. An onshore crane will lift the outfalls into place along the shore; all outfalls will be protected by riprap as part of the shoreline works. The riprap layer for the outfalls will be underlain with geotextile. The outfalls for East Creek will include two parallel culverts penetrating through the new sheet pile wall included in the Shoreline Repair works.

### 3.10 Timeline

Construction periods for the Project marine infrastructure components outlined in **Table 3-3** are projections based on progress as of November 2025. The milestone schedule is subject to change pending construction progress, which has been impacted by geotechnical complications, weather, and various other delays. The amended FAA (22-HPAC-01346, issued June 19, 2025) added floating accommodations to the project description and extended the authorised work period from January 31, 2026, to January 31, 2028. Detailed construction scheduling is ongoing with various construction contractors and is reviewed regularly in the context of potential Project effects and interactions with sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, including review of mitigation effectiveness and adaptive management.

**Table 3-3 Anticipated Schedule of Marine Construction Activities (2024)**

PROJECT MILESTONES	TARGET
Construction Start (in-water)	06-Nov-23
Floatel #1 Moored on Site	21-Jun-24
Floatel #2 Moored on Site	15-Nov-25
Complete MOF Installation	24-Apr-25
Piperack (P01-P07) Delivery	02-Aug-25
M01 Module Delivery (Pre-treatment)	03-Jan-26
M09 (Utility)	03-Jan-26
M02 Module Delivery (Liquefaction)	18-Feb-26
M07/14 Module Delivery (Pipe / Road Trestle)	17-Nov-25



PROJECT MILESTONES	TARGET	
M11 Module Delivery (Powerhouse)	02-Mar-26	
M05A & M05B Delivery	14-Apr-26	
Flare Installation	01-Sep-26	
FST 1 Delivery (Capricorn)	After FST 2	
FST 2 Delivery (Taurus)	24-Aug-26	
<b>1<sup>st</sup> LNG Cargo Export</b>	<b>2028</b>	
MARINE CONSTRUCTION MILESTONES	START	FINISH
Shoreline Demo, excavation and Installation	8-Dec-23	31-Jan-27
MOF Installation		
MOF Pile Installation	8-Dec-23	14-Dec-24
MOF Ready for Use	30-Apr-25	
FST Pile Installation		
M05 Piles	11-Oct-24	31-Jan-26
M07/M14 Piles	09-Nov-24	31-Jan-26
PMS-1 Piles	14-Oct-25	31-Oct-26
PMS-2 Piles	16-Aug-25	31-Jan-27
PMS-3 Piles	24-Jan-25	31-Jan-27
PMS-4 Blasting	09-Sep-24	01-Oct-27
Complete in-water Pile Installation	-	31-Jan-27
Operational stakw (water) Intakes		
Mill Creek stakw (water) Line (HDD penstock)	01-May-26	31-Oct-26
Mill Creek Intake (in water work)	15-May-27	01-Sep-27



## 4. REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

The regulatory framework informs the development of this Plan and includes environmental assessment commitments and conditions of approval, legislative requirements and criteria that have become binding through regulatory approvals. BMPs and standards that are used to inform project design, mitigation and Inexwantas (monitoring) are also identified.

### 4.1 Environmental Assessment Conditions and Commitments

Woodfibre LNG has received environmental assessment approvals under the SNEAA (October 2015), BC EAC (October 2015, as amended July 17, 2017, and July 19, 2018), and the *Impact Assessment Act* –FDS (March 17, 2016 – as amended March 7, 2018). Environmental conditions and commitments as they relate to this Plan are summarized in **Table 4-1**.

In fulfilling the conditions of the FDS, EAC and SNEAA, all actions outlined in this plan are considered in a careful and precautionary manner, promote sustainable development, are informed by the best available information and knowledge, including community and Indigenous traditional knowledge, are based on validated methods and models, are undertaken by qualified individuals, and have applied the best available economically and technologically feasible mitigation measures.

**Table 4-1 Conditions for Marine Sts'úkwí7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwí7 (Fish) Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan**

Condition Number	Condition	MFFHMMP Reference
EAC Condition 1 Environmental Monitor	<p>Prior to commencing Construction, the Holder must retain the services of a Qualified Professional as an Environmental Monitor throughout the Construction phase of the Project. The Holder must give the Environmental Monitor the authority to stop Project work if the Environmental Monitor determines that the Holder has not, or may have not, complied fully with the Certificate requirements and the Environmental Monitor determined that stopping work is necessary to prevent or reduce significant harm.</p> <p>The Environmental Monitor must be retained by the Holder throughout Construction.</p> <p>The Holder must notify EAO of any non-compliance with the Certificate within 72 hours of the Environmental Monitor or the Holder becoming aware of any such non-compliance, or immediately for any non-compliance that may cause significant adverse effects.</p> <p>The Holder must prepare monthly reports on the Holder's compliance with this Certificate.</p> <p>These reports must be retained by the Holder through the Construction phase of the Project and for five years after commencing Operations.</p>	Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10



Condition Number	Condition	MFFHMMP Reference
<p style="text-align: center;">EAC Condition 2 Consultation Regarding Management Plans</p>	<p>Where a condition of this EA Certificate requires the Holder to consult a particular party or parties regarding the content of a management plan, the Holder must:</p> <p>Provide written notice to each such party that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• includes a copy of the management plan;</li> <li>• invites the party to provide its views on the content of such management plan;</li> </ul> <p>and indicates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• if a timeframe providing such views to the Holder is specified in the relevant condition of this EA Certificate, that the party may provide such views to the Holder within such time frame; or</li> <li>• if a timeframe providing such views to the Holder is not specified in the relevant condition of this EA Certificate, specifies a reasonable period during which the party may submit such views to the Holder;</li> <li>• Undertake a full and impartial consideration of any views and other information provided by a party in accordance with the timelines specified in a notice given pursuant to paragraph (a);</li> <li>• Provide a written explanation to each party that provided comments in accordance with a notice given pursuant to paragraph (a) as to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• how the views and information provided by such party to the Holder received have been considered and addressed in a revised version of the management plan; or</li> <li>• why such views and information have not been addressed in a revised version of the management plan;</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Maintain a record of consultation with each such party regarding the management plan; and</li> <li>• Provide a copy of such consultation record to the EAO, the relevant party, or both, promptly upon the written request of the EAO or such party.</li> </ul>	<p style="text-align: center;">Section 2</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">EAC Condition 8 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat</p>	<p>The Holder must develop, in consultation with DFO and Indigenous Groups, a marine sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat management and Inexwantas (monitoring) plan that must include at a minimum:</p> <p>The means by which the mitigation measures in the Application (Section 22, Table 22-1) and the recommendations in the Slhawt' (herring) Survey Summary Report, section 4.0 (May 2016) will be implemented.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Sections 6, 7, 8</p>



Condition Number	Condition	MFFHMMP Reference
EAC Condition 8 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat <i>(cont'd)</i>	Identification of reduced risk work windows and the work that will occur within these windows.  Identification of any work that will occur outside of the reduced risk work windows, and measures to mitigate impacts to sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat.	Sections 7.3, 7.3.1
	An adaptive management plan to address the effects of the Project on sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat in the event (i) those effects on sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat are not mitigated to the extent identified in the Application, or (ii) effects on sts'úkwí7 (fish) and sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat occur that were not predicted in the Application	Section 10  OEMP Section 5 (specific to offsetting effectiveness monitoring)
	A Qualified Professional must develop the plan and supervise the implementation of the plan. The Holder must provide the plan to EAO, DFO, OGC and Indigenous Groups no less than 30 days prior to the Holder's planned date to commence Construction. The Holder must implement the plan to the satisfaction of EAO.	Sections 2, 5, 10.1
EAC Condition 22 Indigenous Consultation	The Holder must continue to engage Indigenous Groups for the life of the Project. Engagement must include information sharing and discussion of site-specific mitigation measures, including the development and implementation of plans and the conditions of this Certificate.  The Holder must provide, to the satisfaction of EAO, an Indigenous consultation summary report no later than:  Two years after the commencement of Construction; and  One year after the commencement of Operations.  The Holder must share the Indigenous consultation summary report with Indigenous Groups for no less than 30 days review and comment prior to providing it to EAO.	Section 2
EAC Condition 23 Indigenous Inexwantas (Monitoring)	The Holder must, through discussion with Indigenous Groups, seek to provide opportunities for members of Indigenous Groups to participate in Inexwantas (monitoring) activities in the plans in this Table of Conditions that are occurring within their asserted traditional territory. In the Indigenous consultation reports required by Condition 22 to EAO, the Holder must include information regarding the opportunities provided and the participation of members of Indigenous Groups in Inexwantas (monitoring) activities.	Sections 2, 5
FDS Condition 3.1 Timing Windows	The Proponent shall conduct in-water construction activities during timing windows of least risk for the area, unless otherwise agreed to by relevant federal and provincial authorities. If in-water construction activities cannot be conducted during timing windows of least risk, the Proponent shall develop and implement additional mitigation measures, in consultation with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Indigenous groups, to protect sts'úkwí7 (fish) during sensitive life stages.	Section 7.3



Condition Number	Condition	MFFHMMP Reference
FDS Condition 3.2 Marine Water Quality	<p>The Proponent shall implement measures to mitigate adverse environmental effects of the Designated Project on sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat from changes to staḵw (water) quality during all phases of the Designated Project. The mitigation measures shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• implementing erosion control measures and sediment control measures during all phases of the Designated Project;</li> <li>• revegetating disturbed riparian areas, using native plant species, after construction;</li> <li>• using silt control measures around in-water construction activities; and</li> <li>• preventing wet concrete or cement-laden staḵw (water) from entering the marine environment.</li> </ul>	Section 7 MWQMMP CEMP
FDS Condition 3.3 Harmful Alteration Disruption or Destruction of Fish and Fish Habitat	<p>The Proponent shall implement measures to mitigate adverse environmental effects of the Designated Project on sts'úkwi7 (fish), including mortality, physical injury and behavioural change, during all phases of the Designated Project. The mitigation measures shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• taking into consideration the BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association's Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations when conducting pile installation; and</li> <li>• implementing low-noise methods or sound dampening technologies to reduce the intensity of the sound generated or the level of sound propagation through the staḵw (water) column if underwater pressure pulse levels exceed 30 kilopascals during pile installation.</li> </ul>	Sections 7.3, 7.5, 7.8 CEMP MMMMP DFO AEA
FDS Condition 3.4 Blasting	<p>The Proponent shall prevent or avoid the destruction of sts'úkwi7 (fish), or any potentially harmful effects to sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, during all phases of the Designated Project when using explosives in or around staḵw (water) frequented by sts'úkwi7 (fish).</p>	Section 7.12 CEMP
FDS Condition 3.5 Creosote Pile Removal	<p>The Proponent shall remove existing creosote-treated piles in a manner to prevent the mobilization of deleterious substances in staḵw (water) frequented by sts'úkwi7 (fish) and taking into consideration navigational safety.</p>	Section 7.9 MWQMMP
FDS Condition 3.6 Water Intake	<p>The Proponent shall design, install and operate any marine staḵw (water) intake to avoid or reduce the incidental capture of sts'úkwi7 (fish) through entrainment and impingement, including the risk of entrainment of Pacific slhawt' (herring) (<i>Clupea pallasii</i>) larvae.</p>	Section 7.1, 7.3, 7.6
FDS Condition 3.11 Offsetting Plan	<p>The Proponent shall, in consultation with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Indigenous groups, develop and implement any plan(s) required to offset the loss of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat associated with the carrying out of the Designated Project.</p>	Sections 8, 9 OEMP



Condition Number	Condition	MFFHMMP Reference
FDS Condition 3.12 Offsetting Plan Impacts	<p>For any sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat offset areas proposed in any offsetting plans(s) under condition 3.11, prior to submitting the offsetting plan to Fisheries and Oceans Canada, the Proponent shall determine whether there are adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• on migratory birds and their habitats;</li> <li>• on terrestrial species, including amphibians and reptiles, and their habitats;</li> <li>• on listed species at risk and their habitats</li> <li>• on the current use of temíxw (lands) and resources for traditional purposes by Indigenous peoples;</li> <li>• on the flow rates, staḵw (water) depths or staḵw (water) widths that may affect the passage of a vessel including a vessel used by Indigenous peoples in the context of their current use of temíxw (lands) and resources for traditional purposes;</li> <li>• on physical and cultural heritage and structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance to Indigenous peoples; and</li> <li>• from potential sources of contamination including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, dioxins, furans, copper, lead, zinc, tri-n-butyltin, arsenic, cadmium and methylmercury in the receiving environment.</li> </ul>	Sections 6, 8.4 MWQMMP
FDS Condition 3.13 Offsetting Plan Mitigation	The Proponent shall, if there are adverse effects on any of the elements set out in conditions 3.12.1 to 3.12.7, avoid or lessen those adverse effects.	Sections 7, 8, 9 OEMP
FDS Condition 3.14 Verification Inexwantas (Monitoring) of EA Accuracy	The Proponent shall, in consultation with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Indigenous groups, develop, prior to construction, and implement, during all phases of the Designated Project, a follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures identified under conditions 3.1 to 3.10.	Section 7.13 MWQMMP MMMMP CEMP EEMP OEMP

Additionally, the mitigation measures presented in the Woodfibre LNG application for EAC are summarized in the **Table 4-2** below. These measures are incorporated into the MFFMMP.



**Table 4-2 Proposed Mitigation Measures (Woodfibre LNG Application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate)**

Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
<b>5.8 Surface Water Quality</b>					
<b>M5.8-1</b>	<b>Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan</b>	<p>Woodfibre LNG Limited will develop and implement an Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan as part of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP). Existing applicable guidelines will be followed as appropriate to mitigate erosion and sediment transport and include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Environmental Protection and Management Guide (BCOGC 2024)</li> <li>• Land Development Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Habitat (DFO 1992-1993)</li> <li>• Develop with Care Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia (MOE 2014)</li> <li>• Standards and Best Practices for Instream Works (MWLAP 2004)</li> </ul> <p>The following erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented at the site during the construction and decommissioning phases and included in the Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan (refer to <b>Section 13.0 Summary of Proposed Environmental and Operation Management Plans and Follow-up Programs</b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Activities within riparian management areas, a 30-m-wide area on either side of both Mill Creek and Woodfibre Creek, will be minimized. Erodible material will not be stockpiled in these areas and no refueling will occur within these areas.</li> <li>• Vegetation cover will be maintained wherever possible. Disturbed areas adjacent to watercourses will be re-vegetated as soon as possible to prevent surface erosion or downstream staƙw (water) quality effects.</li> <li>• Overland flows will be diverted from undisturbed areas away from or around construction areas.</li> <li>• Erosion and sediment control measures, including silt fences, filter fabric, straw bales, gravel filter dikes, sedimentation ponds, perimeter ditches, cut-off swales or other staƙw (water) quality management measures, will be selected, implemented, monitored, maintained, and repaired as required. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Sediment pond(s) will be incorporated as required and appropriately designed in accordance with current guidelines to meet site conditions and requirements. Sediment ponds will be maintained until construction or decommissioning is completed and the affected areas are sufficiently stabilized and re-vegetated to minimize erosion risk or sediment transport at the site because of construction activities.</li> <li>○ Construction wastes, overburden, soil, or any other substances potentially deleterious to riparian, aquatic or marine habitat will be stored or disposed of in such a manner as to prevent entry to riparian, aquatic or marine areas.</li> <li>○ No erodible materials will be stockpiled within riparian management areas. Soil stockpiles will be diked, sloped, and seeded or appropriately covered to minimize erosion. If temporary stockpiles are constructed, then appropriate erosion prevention measures will be installed and regularly maintained until these stockpiles are decommissioned or seeded. Spoil will be managed in accordance with the appropriate Project-specified regulatory approvals or applicable legislation, regulations, and guidelines prior to the completion of construction activities.</li> <li>○ Erosion and sediment control measures will be maintained, and any required changes made promptly to ensure they are working effectively. An inspection and maintenance program will be developed and followed as part of the Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Staƙw (water) collected in temporary sediment control structures will be analyzed and its quality determined. If staƙw (water) quality meets acceptable guidelines, it will be discharged into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound); otherwise, it will be treated prior to discharge.</p>	Construction Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1.3, 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.6



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
M5.8-2	<b>Design for Stormwater Management</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will include stormwater management in the Project design with the following considerations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stormwater that comes into contact with areas that are not subject to LNG facility spills (e.g., roads, material storage areas, roof areas) will be collected in ditches and catch basins. It will then be directed through a stormwater treatment system to remove any oil and sediment prior to discharge into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).</li> <li>Stormwater that comes into contact with the LNG facility or other process areas will be captured in the new lined stormwater retention pond. The stakw (water) will be tested for compliance with the BC water quality guidelines. If it meets guidelines, stormwater will be discharged directly into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound); if not, stormwater will be pumped to the stakw (water) treatment plant prior to being discharged into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).</li> <li>Surface drains and ditches constructed as part of the Project will be graded according to BMPs and vegetated or lined to minimize erosion and increase the retention time of runoff.</li> </ul> Particular attention will be given to the construction methodology and design of new or upgrades to access roads to avoid the potential to alter existing drainage patterns by collecting overland drainage and concentrating it at specific locations, which may result in localized erosion.	Operation	Final design	Sections 7.1.3, 7.1.4 and 7.7
M5.8-3	<b>Follow Instream Works Best Management Practices</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will mitigate effects to freshwater from instream works required during the construction and maintenance of the Mill Creek intake by implementing the following strategies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Instream construction activities will be completed in isolation of stream flows (e.g., flow diverted around work area) as much as possible.</li> <li>All fill materials or materials that will contact watercourse waters, including shoreline works or surfacing, will be clean and free of organic material and deleterious substances.</li> </ul> Stakw (water) intakes will be designed and constructed following existing regulation and BMPs, such as <i>Best Management Practices for Installation and Maintenance of Water Line Intakes</i> (MOE 2006a).	Construction	Construction	Sections 3.10, 4.3, and 7.2.4.
M5.8-4	<b>Water Quality ínexwantas (Monitoring)</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will retain a qualified Environmental Monitor to oversee the implementation of the selected mitigation measures. The Environmental Monitor will evaluate the performance of mitigation measures (e.g., through stakw (water) quality sampling) and will have the authority to suspend activities that are causing an unexpected adverse effect or potentially contravening environmental legislation. The Environmental Monitor will be given the authority to immediately suspend all activities that are resulting, or could imminently result, in the release of sediment or other deleterious substances to the watercourses in the Project area.	Construction Decommissioning	Construction Decommissioning	Sections 7.1.4, 7.2.4, 7.3, and 7.12; MWQMMP
M5.8-5	<b>Develop and Implement a Water Quality ínexwantas (Monitoring) Program</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will develop and implement a stakw (water) quality ínexwantas (monitoring) program for Mill Creek and Woodfibre Creek. Information to be included within this program will include sample sites, frequency of sampling, and parameters to be monitored.	Construction Operation	Pre-construction	Section 7.2.4; MWQMMP
<b>5.9 Surface Water Quantity</b>					
M5.9-1	<b>Minimum Instream Flow Releases</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will ensure that the minimum instream flow releases (IFRs) will be established by a qualified professional. When required, the stakw (water) diversions will be interrupted or reduced as required to maintain minimum or higher instream flows.	Construction Operation Decommissioning	Final Design	Section 3.10
M5.9-2	<b>Minimize Vegetation Clearing</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will limit clearing of native vegetation communities to the extent required for construction of Project facilities. Where feasible, temporary construction features, such as laydown areas, will be located on paved or previously disturbed areas to reduce clearing. In addition, areas to be cleared will be delineated to help limit clearing to what is planned. Reducing the clearing area to the minimal amount required to accommodate the Project footprint will reduce the direct loss of vegetation communities and sekw'ekw'inexw (wildlife) habitat. ínexwantas (monitoring) will be conducted to ensure that mitigation measures are properly implemented and effective.	Construction	Construction	Sections 7.2.1, 7.2.3, and 7.2.6



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
<b>5.10 Marine Water Quality</b>					
<b>M5.8-1</b>	<b>Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan</b>	See description for M5.8-1 above.	Construction Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1.3, 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.6
<b>M5.8-2</b>	<b>Design for Stormwater Management</b>	See description of M5.8-2 above.	Operation	Final Design	Sections 7.1.3, 7.1.4 and 7.7
<b>M5.10-1</b>	<b>Marine Works Management Plan</b>	<p>Woodfibre LNG Limited will prepare and implement a Marine Works Management Plan to minimize sediment disturbance during construction and prevent discharge or runoff containing high TSS, concrete wash staƙw (water) and fuel from entering the marine environment. The plan will contain (but not be limited to) the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All construction operations will be monitored by a qualified Environmental Monitor who will be onsite during the high-risk construction and demolition activities to determine whether the works are resulting in any adverse effects on marine environment. Frequency of ínexwantas (monitoring) will be detailed in a ínexwantas (monitoring) plan. Any adverse effects will be reported to DFO by Woodfibre LNG.</li> <li>Marine works will be conducted during the least risk fisheries work window specific by DFO for the region if practical. If the work window cannot be followed, additional mitigation measures including the advice provided by DFO (Measures to Avoid Causing Harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat (2013b)) will be implemented. The work window for Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) is currently August 16 - January 31 (DFO 2014).</li> <li>Work activities will cease and DFO will be contacted, if aggregations of slhawt' (herring) (e.g., slhawt' (herring) spawn) and salmonids (e.g., smolts) are observed within the work area.</li> <li>Marine works will be avoided during weather that may increase sediment suspension as determined by a QP.</li> <li>All works will be conducted in a manner to prevent the discharge or introduction, either direct or indirect, of soil, sediment or sediment laden staƙw (water), turbid staƙw (water) or any other deleterious substance into the marine environment. All discharges from construction activities shall meet BC water quality guidelines (BC ENV 2018a; BC ENV 2018b).</li> <li>Construction materials, excavation wastes, overburden, sediment, or other substances potentially deleterious to marine life shall be disposed of off-site in accordance with regulatory requirements, or placed in such a manner by the contractor, to prevent their entry into the marine environment.</li> <li>The contractor shall follow Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations (BCMPDCA and DFO 2003).</li> <li>Vessels and other equipment involved in pile driving and construction activities will be positioned in a manner that will prevent damage to the seafloor and shoreline.</li> <li>Where required, turbidity ínexwantas (monitoring) will be implemented during all pile drilling/driving activities, to determine that turbidity levels in the marine environment do not exceed established staƙw (water) quality regulatory criteria during Project works.</li> <li>The following staƙw (water) quality criteria will be applied based on BC water quality guidelines (BC ENV 2018a; BC ENV 2018b) with regards to discharge or introduction of sediment or sediment- laden staƙw (water) in the marine environment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Turbidity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>change from background of 2 NTU when the background level is less than 8 NTU.</li> <li>change from background of 5 NTU when background is 8-50 NTU.</li> <li>change from background of 10% when background is more than 50 NTU.</li> </ul> </li> <li>TSS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>change from background of 5 mg/L when background is less than 25 mg/L.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Construction Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
<b>M5.10-1</b> <i>(cont'd)</i>	<b>Marine Works Management Plan</b> <i>(cont'd)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ change from background of 10 mg/L when background is 25-100 mg/L.</li> <li>○ change from background of 10% when background is more than 100 mg/L.</li> <li>• If the criteria outlined above is exceeded because of Project-related activities, these works or activities will be halted until measures that will result in compliance with the criteria outlined above are put in place.</li> <li>• Where the sediment control criteria cannot be practically met, the work areas and activities contributing to these conditions will be isolated from tidal and flowing waters. This may include use of silt curtains and other silt control measures.</li> <li>• For dredging activities, the following mitigation measures will be followed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Prior to dredging, the perimeter of the dredge area will be identified, so that work occurs within the confines of the project area. Tools such as real-time kinematic positioning controls (e.g., differential GPS) may be used to assist in positioning.</li> <li>○ Employ sediment containment and staƙw (water) filtering devices on the barge to meet the TSS and turbidity criteria outlined above. This may require containment and treatment of barge dewatering effluent that exceeds the criteria.</li> <li>○ Staƙw (water) quality ínexwantas (monitoring) will be implemented during dredging works to verify that the turbidity and TSS criteria are being met and enable management decisions to be made if the performance criteria are not met.</li> <li>○ The contract specifications will include operational controls to minimize disturbance of substrates (e.g., making additional dredge passes rather than dragging a bucket or beam to level the dredge surface, not stockpiling material underwater, controlling the rate of ascent and descent of the bucket).</li> <li>○ The dredged material barge will not be overloaded beyond the top of the side rails to minimize loss of dredged material from the barge and to prevent barge listing or instability.</li> <li>○ The barge will not come to rest on the seafloor (no grounding) (spuds may be used to anchor the barge).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<b>M5.10-2</b>	<b>Concrete Works Management Plan</b>	<p>Woodfibre LNG Limited will prepare and implement a Concrete Works Management Plan as part of the CEMP. The following mitigation measures will be included in this plan to mitigate potential effects to the marine environment from concrete works:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• When pouring concrete, all spills of fresh concrete will be prevented from entering the marine environment at the site.</li> <li>• If the concrete is being placed with a concrete pump, all hose and pipe connections will be sealed and locked properly so that lines will not leak or uncouple.</li> <li>• All concrete forms will be constructed in a manner which will prevent fresh concrete or cement-laden staƙw (water) from leaking into the surrounding staƙw (water).</li> <li>• If fresh staƙw (water) is used to cure concrete, the runoff will be monitored for acceptable pH levels. If the pH levels are outside the allowable limits, then the runoff staƙw (water) will be contained and neutralized.</li> <li>• During inclement weather, uncured concrete will be protected or covered in a manner that minimizes the creation of high pH staƙw (water).</li> <li>• Barriers will be used as appropriate to prevent splashing over forms and into the staƙw (water).</li> <li>• Wash equipment and tools that have come in contact with concrete in a designated area away from the marine environment and drainages, so that concrete affected staƙw (water) is prevented from entering watercourses (tidal staƙw (waters), streams, storm drains).</li> <li>• If necessary to pour concrete within the intertidal or subtidal zones (e.g., piling installation), contact between cementitious materials and surrounding seawater will be avoided to the extent possible.</li> <li>• When grinding cured concrete, staƙw (water) pH and TSS levels will be monitored not to exceed allowable limits from the effect of dust and fines. If the levels are outside the acceptable ranges, preventative measures will be introduced. This may include introducing silt curtains to contain the solids and to prevent sts'úkwi7 (fish) from entering a contaminated area or constructing catch basins to recover the runoff and neutralizing it prior to disposal.</li> <li>• Excess or spilled concrete will be contained, immediately cleaned up and disposed of in an environmentally acceptable manner.</li> </ul>	Construction	Pre-construction	Section 7.7; MWQMMP



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
M5.10-3	<b>Minimize the Effects of Creosote Pile Removal</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will prepare and implement creosote pile removal mitigation measures as part of the CEMP, including the following measures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A reasonable attempt will be made to remove the entire creosote-treated pile.</li> <li>Piles will be removed by a slow, steady pull to minimize disturbance of seafloor habitats and to avoid bringing creosote-contaminated sediments to the surface. If the pile breaks off below the biologically active zone in the sediment, it may not be advisable to dredge the remainder out, depending on the sensitivity of the habitat at the site.</li> <li>Used/decommissioned piles will be disposed of on temíxw (land) in an appropriate waste management facility (Hutton and Samis 2000).</li> <li>Work will follow procedures outlined in DFO's Guidelines to Protect sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat from Treated Wood Used in Aquatic Environments in the Pacific Region (Hutton and Samis 2000).</li> <li>A sediment containment system (e.g., silt curtains) will be installed as appropriate during piling removal to prevent the dispersion of suspended sediments.</li> <li>Creosote piling removal will be conducted during the least-risk fisheries work window specified by DFO for the region, unless a self-assessment determines that the work will not cause serious harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) or their habitat.</li> </ul>	Construction	Pre-construction	Section 7.9
M5.10-4	<b>Waste Management Plan</b>	Woodfibre LNG Limited will develop and implement a Waste Management Plan for hazardous and non-hazardous waste to ensure that waste generation is minimized, and that waste is properly stored and disposed of. The plan will contain (but is not limited to) the following measures: Hazardous Wastes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The <i>Hazardous Waste Regulation</i> (Government of BC 1988) will be followed under the <i>Environmental Management Act</i> for containment, storage and handling, disposal, and transportation of substances identified as hazardous waste.</li> <li>Where activities involve the handling, storage, and removal of hazardous waste, the following records will be maintained: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>inventories of types and quantities of hazardous waste generated, stored, or removed.</li> <li>manifests identifying hazardous waste haulers and disposal destinations.</li> <li>disposal certification documents.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> Non-Hazardous Wastes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Solid waste materials that are not acceptable under the existing landfill permit will be transported offsite by barge for disposal to an appropriate designated disposal or recycling facility.</li> <li>Whenever possible, the materials used in construction will be reused and recycled. Recyclable materials will be separated and transported off site.</li> <li>Clearly labelled garbage bins with lids and recycling containers will be made available for food waste and recyclables.</li> <li>Food waste will be stored in sekw'ekw'inexw (wildlife)-proof bins.</li> </ul>	Construction Operation	Pre-construction	Sections 7.9 and 7.12.3
<b>5.15 Freshwater Fish and Fish Habitat</b>					
M5.8-3	<b>Follow Instream Works Best Management Practices</b>	See description of M5.8-3 above.	Construction	Construction	Sections 4.3 and 7.2.4.
M5.9-2	<b>Minimize Vegetation Clearing</b>	See description of M5.9-2 above.	Construction	Construction	Sections 7.2.1, 7.2.3, and 7.2.6



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
M5.15-1	<b>Follow Instream Works Best Management Practices for Fish</b>	<p>Woodfibre LNG Limited will follow instream works best management practices, including those outlined in M5.8-3 and those outlined below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduced risk instream work windows</li> </ul> <p>Project activities and physical works conducted in freshwater sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat will be undertaken during the reduced risk instream work window unless otherwise approved by MFLNRO. The reduced risk instream work windows for the Lower Mainland (Region 2) are between July 15 and September 15 for Cháyilhen (salmon), and between August 1 and 31 for trout and Tl'ítl'elxiws (Dolly Varden) (MOE 2006b).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Avoidance of instream disturbance</li> </ul> <p>Project activities and physical works pertaining to placement of staḵw (water) supply infrastructure, as well as bridge construction on Mill Creek will avoid, wherever possible, instream operation of equipment and release of debris within the creek.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Isolation of instream works</li> </ul> <p>The installation of the staḵw (water) supply intake in Mill Creek will require work areas within the creek to be isolated. If isolation of instream work areas will be required, activities will adhere to the provincial Standards and Best Practices for Instream Works (MWLAP 2004). If construction of required intake structures cannot avoid disturbance of instream environments from equipment operation, structure placement, or debris entering the creek, these activities will be isolated to minimize effects to the stream. If construction of the staḵw (water) supply intake cannot avoid the disturbance of instream environments, either directly by equipment operation or structure placement, or indirectly by causing debris to enter the creek, these activities will be isolated to minimize flow effects on bank erosion, will be protected from high flow events, will include the use of clean materials, and will allow for the salvage of sts'úkwi7 (fish).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Salvage of sts'úkwi7 (fish) prior to instream works</li> </ul> <p>Any habitat isolation conducted in instream work areas will require sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage prior to the commencement of works. Prior to any sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage, sts'úkwi7 (fish) sampling permits will be required under the provincial <i>Wildlife Act</i> (RSBC 1996, c. 488) and the federal <i>Fisheries Act</i>. Sampling methods will adhere to sts'úkwi7 (fish) collection methods and standards (RISC 1997) and general operational BMPs for salvage of sts'úkwi7 (fish).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimize the duration of activities within watercourses and riparian setbacks</li> </ul> <p>The duration of necessary activities, including both dismantling and constructing structures, which must occur within watercourses and within the 30-m riparian setback, will be minimized to avoid potential sts'úkwi7 (fish) mortality and changes in sts'úkwi7 (fish) presence, the quality and quantity of sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, habitat availability, and riparian habitat.</p>	Construction	Pre-construction	Sections 7.2.7, 7.3, 7.6
M5.15-2	<b>Water Management Plan</b>	<p>Woodfibre LNG Limited will develop a Water Management Plan for Mill Creek, which will afford protection of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat by prescribing the minimum instream flow releases (IFRs). During low flows, staḵw (water) withdrawals from Mill Creek will be reduced to meet IFRs. If stream flows are less than the IFR, staḵw (water) will not be withdrawn from Mill Creek.</p> <p>Instream flow releases specific to the existing flow regime and geomorphology of Mill Creek will be developed in general accordance with <i>Assessment Methods for Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Characteristics in Support of Applications to Dam, Divert, or Extract Water from Streams in British Columbia</i> (Lewis et al. 2004) and consultation with MFLNRO. The information requirements for determining IFRs include the fish-bearing status of the stream, historic flow records, and any recently collected data. This current and historical information will allow for the establishment of seasonally adjusted instream flow thresholds calculated as percentiles of natural mean daily flows each month. Until such time as the Mill Creek-specific IFRs can be developed, the Project will adhere to IFRs calculated in accordance with the methods outlined in <i>Development of Instream Flow Thresholds as Guidelines for Reviewing Proposed Water Uses</i> (Hatfield et al. 2003)</p> <p>Ínexwantas (monitoring) will be a requirement of the Water Management Plan to confirm that the plan is effective in protecting sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat. Effective Ínexwantas (monitoring) will include a combination of compliance Ínexwantas (monitoring) and biotic response Ínexwantas (monitoring) and the definition of program objectives. Design of a Ínexwantas (monitoring) program will consider program objectives, scope of effort, timing, and duration. Typical designs include, though are not limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continuous streamflow Ínexwantas (monitoring) downstream of point of withdrawal</li> <li>intermittent Ínexwantas (monitoring) of biotic variables (e.g., sts'úkwi7 (fish) abundance or density)</li> <li>random IFR compliance audits</li> </ul>	Construction Operation Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.2.4 and 8 EEMP OEMP



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
<b>5.16 Marine Benthic Habitat</b>					
M5.10-1	Marine Works Management Plan	See description of M5.10-1 above.	Construction	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3
M5.10-3	Minimize the Effects of Creosote Pile Removal	See description of M5.10-3 above.	Construction	Pre-construction	Section 7.9
M5.16-1	Minimize Marine Shading	Woodfibre LNG Limited will employ the following measures to minimize shading associated with the installation of marine structures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where possible, ramps and gangways used to access floating facilities will be installed at an elevation of at least 2 m above the highest high staƙw (water) mark to allow ambient light to reach the seafloor.</li> <li>Where possible, docks, ramps and gangways will be surfaced with aluminum grating (or other light permeable material), allowing ambient light to reach the benthic communities below.</li> </ul>	Operation	Construction	Section 7.4
M5.16-2	Ballast Water Management Plan	Woodfibre LNG Limited will comply or require its contractors to comply with all legislated shipping requirements, including those related to the management of ballast staƙw (water): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballast staƙw (water) Control and Management Regulations (Government of Canada 2011) under the <i>Canada Shipping Act, RSC 1985, c.S-9</i>.</li> <li>International Maritime Organization Resolution A. 868(20): Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast staƙw (water) to Minimize the Transfer of Harmful Aquatic Organisms and Pathogens, in particular section 7.1 (IMO 2004)</li> <li>Model Ballast staƙw (water) Management Plan developed by the International Chamber of Shipping and the International Association of Independent Tanker Owners (IMO 2004)</li> <li>Regulation B-1 of the International Maritime Organization's Regulations for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast staƙw (water) and Sediments (IMO 2004)</li> <li>Part B of the Annex to Resolution MEPC.127 (53), Guidelines for Ballast staƙw (water) Management and Development of Ballast staƙw (water) Management Plans (IMO 2004)</li> </ul>	Operation	Operation	Section 7.10
<b>5.18 Forage Fish and Other Fish (Marine)</b>					
M5.8-1	Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan	See description of M5.8-1 above.	Construction Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1.3, 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.6
M5.8-2	Design for Stormwater Management	See description of M5.8-2 above.	Operation	Final Design	Sections 7.1.3, 7.1.4 and 7.7
M5.10-1	Marine Works Management Plan	See description for M5.10-1 above.	Construction Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Sections 7.1, 7.2 and 7.3
M5.10-2	Concrete Works Management Plan	See description for M5.10-2 above.	Construction	Pre-construction	Section 7.7; MWQMMP



Mitigation Number	Mitigation Name	Proposed Mitigation	Project Phase	Timing of Implementation	MFFHMMP Reference
M5.10-3	Minimize the Effects of Creosote Pile Removal	See description for M5.10-3 above.	Construction	Pre-construction	Section 7.9
M5.12-6	Blasting Management Plan	Woodfibre LNG Limited will develop and implement a blasting management plan as part of the CEMP to mitigate effects of blasting to freshwater and marine aquatic life and sekw'ekw'inexw t'l'a shkwen (marine birds). Ínexwantas (monitoring) of effects should be incorporated into the plan so that corrective mitigation measures can be undertaken if necessary. Blasting activities will conform to the Guidelines for the Use of Explosives in or Near Canadian Fisheries Waters (Wright and Hopky 1998).  Where feasible, underwater blasting will be scheduled to occur during periods when the number of birds in the area is lowest (likely the summer), with a maximum of one underwater blast per day, or at intervals of several hours (Cooper 1982). In addition, noises or blasts (e.g., "thunderflashes") to scare birds away from the immediate vicinity of the blast site will be emitted immediately prior to detonation (Cooper 1982; Demarchi and Bentley 2004).	Construction	Pre-construction	Sections 7.2.4, 7.3.5, 7.5, and 7.6
M5.10-4	Waste Management Plan	See description for M5.10-4 above.	Construction Operation	Pre-construction	Sections 7.9 and 7.12.3
M5.16-1	Minimize Marine Shading	See description for M5.16-2 above.	Operation	Construction	Section 7.4
M5.16-2	Ballast Water Management Plan	See description of M5.16-3 above.	Operation	Operation	Section 7.10
M5.17-6	Underwater Noise Management Plan	See description for M5.17-6 above.	Construction Operation Decommissioning	Pre-construction	Section 7.5



## 4.2 Legislative Requirements and Criteria

A summary of the federal and provincial regulatory and policy settings relevant to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat are provided in **Table 4-3**.

**Table 4-3 Regulatory Framework for the Marine Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan**

Name	Jurisdiction	Description
<i>Fisheries Act</i>	Federal	<p>Safeguards both fish and fish habitat. It is also an offence for anyone to deposit or permit the deposit of any type of deleterious substance in staḵw (water) frequented by fish without a permit or under a regulation.</p> <p>The fish and fish habitat protection provisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A prohibition against causing the death of fish, by means other than fishing (section 34.4)</li> <li>• A prohibition against causing the harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of fish habitat (section 35)</li> <li>• A framework of considerations to guide the Minister's decision-making functions (section 34.1)</li> <li>• Ministerial powers to ensure the free passage of fish or the protection of fish or fish habitat with respect to existing obstructions (section 34.3)</li> <li>• A prohibition against the deposition of deleterious substances in any type of staḵw (water) frequented by fish (section 36(3)).</li> </ul> <p>A S35(2)(b) Authorization will be needed for proposed works associated with the terminal. Regulated information requirements will include an offsetting plan and a detailed Offset Effectiveness Monitoring Protocol.</p>
<i>Canada Shipping Act, SC 2001, c. 26</i>	Federal	<p>Protects the marine environment from damage due to navigation and shipping activities (e.g., from discharges).</p> <p>Ballast Water Regulations control ballast staḵw (water) and AIS management.</p>
<i>Species at Risk Act</i>	Federal	<p>A principal federal government commitment to prevent sekw'ekw'inexw (wildlife) species from becoming extinct and securing the necessary actions for their recovery. The act provides for legal protection of sekw'ekw'inexw (wildlife) species and the conservation of their biological diversity.</p> <p>Issues permits or the conclusion of agreements for certain scientific or educational activities and for the implementation of special emergency measures. DFO is responsible for administering the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> for aquatic species at risk. Permits under SARA are not expected as part of the project for marine fish and fish habitat.</p>
<i>Water Sustainability Act (WSA)</i>	Provincial	<p>Protects staḵw (water) use and extraction and fish habitat in the province of BC.</p> <p>Short term staḵw (water) use permit under Section 10 of the WSA to be issued by OGC or BC FLNRORD.</p> <p>Section 11 describes Works in and About a Stream and a S11 Change Approval or Notification may be required for clear span bridge over Mill Creek.</p>



Name	Jurisdiction	Description
<i>Oil and Gas Activities Act</i>	Provincial	Regulates oil and gas and related activities in BC, including wells, facilities, oil refineries, natural gas processing plants, pipelines and oil and gas roads, through permits, authorizations, orders and regulations.  The project has received an OGC Facilities Permit under Section 25(1) of the <i>Oil and Gas Activities Act</i> , to construct, maintain and operate a facility, subject to conditions.
<i>Local Government Act</i>	Provincial	The primary legislation for regional districts and improvement districts which sets out a framework for structure and operations, as well as the main powers and responsibilities. The <i>Local Government Act</i> includes important authorities such as planning and temíxw (land) use powers.
District of Squamish's Official Community Plan Bylaw 2500	Municipal	District of Squamish (Skwxwú7mesh) requires development permit for all proposed activities within terrestrial, riparian and marine environmental review area.

### 4.3 Best Management Practices and Standards

A list of BMPs used to inform the MFFHMMP are provided in **Table 4-4**. BMPs have been identified by the EAC and/or FDS and are included as conditions for management and Inexwantas (monitoring). Other guidance documents were consulted in forming mitigation measures.

**Table 4-4 Regulatory Framework for MFFHMMP Best Management Practices**

Best Management Practice	Description
International Maritime Organization Resolution A.868(20): <i>Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast Water to Minimize the Transfer of Harmful Aquatic Organisms and Pathogens</i> , in particular section 7.1 (IMO 204)	Ballast Water and AIS Management
Model Ballast Water Management Plan developed by the International Chamber of Shipping and the International Association of Independent Tanker Owners (IMO 2004)	Ballast Water and AIS Management
Regulation B-1 of the International Maritime Organization's <i>Regulations for the Control and Management of Ship's Ballast Water and Sediments</i> (IMO 2004)	Ballast Water and AIS Management
Part B of the Annex to Resolution MEPC.127(53), <i>Guidelines for Ballast Water Management and Development of Ballast Water Management Plans</i> (IMO 2004)	Ballast Water and AIS Management
<i>Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations</i> (BCMPDCA and DFO 2003)	Marine Fish Protection
<i>Guidelines to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat from Treated Wood Used in Aquatic Environments in the Pacific Region</i> (Hutton and Samis 2000)	Marine Fish Protection
<i>Best Management Practices for Installation and Maintenance of Water Line Intakes</i> (MOE 2006a)	Instream Works Best Practices



## 5. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The Construction Environmental Management Plan describe the roles and responsibilities of the Environmental Manager, Contractor, QP, and Environmental Monitor (EM). Specific to the MFFHMMP, the QP is responsible for:

- Preparing the Plan;
- Providing discipline-specific expertise in management and effects ínexwantas (monitoring) of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat;
- Providing training to the EM and Contractor on the recognition of effects to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat and recommendations for adaptive mitigation measures where required;
- Supervising surveys to refine mapping or biophysical inventories of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat during and after construction as required based on ínexwantas (monitoring) results;
- Providing guidance and recommendations to Contractors who are implementing activity specific mitigation measures; and
- Attending kickoff, daily, and weekly site meetings to communicate potential environmental and safety concerns and requirements.

The QP will be experienced in the identification and management of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat and will be either a registered professional or an accredited practitioner.



## 6. BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The Marine Sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a) summarises the baseline environmental studies that have been conducted during the Project's Pre-Construction phase between 2013 and 2023 to present a summary of all relevant studies in the CPA to date and document marine Sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat conditions present within the CPA. Additional baseline environmental conditions within the footprint of Floatel #2 and the surrounding areas are described in a separate Aquatic Effects Assessment (Keystone Environmental 2025). In addition to marine habitat, the reports summarize studies completed within freshwater aquatic habitats within the Project footprint which includes two-fish bearing creeks, Mill Creek and Woodfibre Creek. The Baseline report intends to provide a foundational reference point for comparing and interpreting Inexwantas (monitoring) results arising from the implementation of Inexwantas (monitoring) plans as outlined in the MFFH EEMP and OEMP.

The Baseline Report focuses predominantly on data relevant to the established OKPIs and KPIs outlined in the OEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024c) and the MFFH EEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024b) and details surveys and interpretive results related to:

- Marine Biophysical Habitat Conditions (including intertidal and subtidal conditions, sediment quality, and Stakw (water) quality, and potential presence of sensitive species [e.g. glass sponge reefs]);
- Pacific herring spawning;
- Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) presence, abundance, migration and seasonal habitat use (e.g. forage Sts'úkwi7 (fish), salmonids, herring, demersal Sts'úkwi7 (fish));
- Freshwater and anadromous Sts'úkwi7 (fish) presence, including Cháyilhen (salmon) spawning, juvenile salmon outmigration, and juvenile salmonid and resident species habitat use; and
- (Potential) AIS presence.

For detailed data related to the above bullet points, please refer to the Marine Sts'úkwi7 (fish) and Sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a) and the Aquatic Effects Assessment for Floatel #2 (Keystone Environmental 2025). Since the reports do not include a literature-based characterization of the Site, relevant additional context is provided in this section.

Existing ambient underwater noise levels and ship traffic are described the Marine Mammal Management and Inexwantas (monitoring) Plan (Stantec 2023a). Marine Stakw (water) quality is described in the Marine Water Quality Management and Inexwantas (monitoring) Plan (Keystone Environmental 2023a).

### 6.1 Ecological Setting

The Project is located in Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound), southwest of the Skwxwú7mesh Stakw (Squamish and Mamquam Rivers). The Site is within the Coastal Western Hemlock-Dry Maritime (CWHdm) biogeoclimatic zone and subzone. The elevation range for the zone is sea level to approximately 650 m (Green and Klinka, 1994). The climate is relatively sunny with dry summers and mild and wet winters with little snowfall



(Green and Klinka 1994). The closest Environment Canada weather station to the Project is Skw̓xwú7mesh (Squamish) STP Central station (Latitude: 49°42' N; Longitude: 123°09' W, Climate ID: 1047671). The weather station is located approximately 8.3 km from the Project and provides historical data with at least 15 years of collected data between 1981 and 2010. Average annual rainfall is approximately 2,143.3 mm with the highest accumulation occurring in November to January. Snowfall is greatest in the same months, with an annual precipitation of 87.0 cm on average. Mean annual temperature is 10.1°C, with the warmest temperatures found in July and August (17.8°C) and the coldest month being December (2.5°C). Monthly averages for precipitation and temperature are listed in **Table 6-1**.

**Table 6-1 Average Annual Precipitation and Temperature from Environment Canada's Squamish STP Central Station (1981–2010)**

Month	Average Rainfall (mm)	Average Snowfall (cm)	Average Temperature (°C)
January	300.2	25.9	2.7
February	179.7	13.1	4.6
March	198.4	8.1	6.7
April	152.5	0.1	9.9
May	115.7	0	12.9
June	82.6	0	15.5
July	59.3	0	17.8
August	66.2	0	17.8
September	82.6	0	15
October	255.5	0	10.3
November	382.2	9.2	5.5
December	268.4	30.6	2.5
<b>Yearly Average</b>	<b>2,143.3</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>10.1</b>

## 6.2 Marine Invasive Species

No occurrences of marine invasive species have been reported at the Site during the various surveys and studies that have been conducted in the marine environment. **Table 6-2** covers marine invasive species as listed by the IUCN Global Invasive Species Database that are found in BC and may be present in or around the site. If these species are observed on the site at any time, appropriate measures to prevent their propagation to other areas must be taken as stated in the *Ballast Water Management Plan*. These measures will vary by species, as an example, ballast stakw (water) filtration and sterilization can prevent the spread of invasives such as colonial tunicate.



**Table 6-2 Marine Invasive Species Listed by the IUCN as Having Occurrence Reports in BC (GISD, 2022)**

Species	Habitat	Impact	Possible Presence
Asian Hornsnail ( <i>Batillaria attramentaria</i> )	Commonly found in salt marshes and mud flats.	Outcompetes native species of hornsnail and can carry trematode parasites that harm native species.	Low, habitat on site is not the preferred habitat of this species.
Asian Oyster Drill ( <i>Ceratostoma inornatum</i> )	Cool staḵw (waters); not well understood.	This species primarily affects stocked and native oyster populations and can cause ~25% mortality in farmed stocks of oyster.	Low, no oyster beds or shellfish farms are located within or near the site.
Giant Pacific Oyster ( <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> )	Hard surfaces and sheltered staḵw (waters) in estuaries in the tidal and sub-tidal zones.	<i>C. gigas</i> can settle in dense aggregations and exclude other intertidal species. They can smother other species and contribute to eutrophication.	Low, while there is significant hard substrate available in the CPA, no oysters have been observed during surveys, and no suitable estuarine habitat is present.
Colonial Tunicate ( <i>Didemnum</i> spp.)	Hard substrate in bays harbours and coastal staḵw (waters). Common from intertidal depths down to 65m.	Primarily a nuisance species that can reproduce rapidly enough to quickly foul ships and marine structures. They will also quickly overgrow and smother other sessile fauna.	Medium, this species is quickly becoming widespread and reproduces rapidly. Much of the substrate in the CPA, including planned structures, provide suitable habitat. Potential for ship-mediated transport/introduction.
<b>Cartilaginous Red Algae (<i>Gracilaria vermiculophylla</i>)</b>	Low energy, shallow, near shore staḵw (waters) no colder than 5 °C. Attaches to hard substrate but can tolerate sand and silt.	Inhibits the growth and survival of native algae through competition. Documented negative effects on eelgrass beds ( <i>Zostera marina</i> ).	Low, while habitat in the CPA is technically suitable, no occurrences of this species have been documented in surveys. This species usually occurs as the dominant if not only algae in a habitat due to its hardy nature and tolerance of a wide range of stressors and environmental conditions.
Sprengel ( <i>Polysiphonia brodiei</i> )	Prefers the subtidal zone of near-shore environments. Frequently found on wooden structures, buoys vessels and attached to mussels and other shellfish. Typically associated with ports and harbours.	Causes fouling of slow-moving vessels such as barges as well as fouling on ropes, lines, anchors, and other in-water structures such as docks and wharves.	Medium, this species is suited to the environment in the CPA and the addition of new structures such as the MOF, Floatel and floating storage facility would create ideal conditions for this species.



Species	Habitat	Impact	Possible Presence
Atlantic Salmon ( <i>Salmo salar</i> )	This species is anadromous and prefers the benthopelagic zone of the marine environment. They spend 1-6 years in freshwater before moving to the ocean. They roam over great ranges while foraging in the sh̓kweñ (ocean) before returning to riverine environments.	Negative impacts of this species primarily pertain to finfish aquaculture and their interactions with wild Cháyilhen (salmon) populations. Domestic populations that escape can have negative effects on wild populations by outcompeting them for food, hybridizing with them and spreading diseases such as infectious salmon anaemia (ISA) and parasites such as sea lice ( <i>Caligidae</i> spp.).	High, this species is becoming more common in BC and is found in many of the same areas as native Cháyilhen (salmon) species. Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) supports a large population of salmonids and <i>Salmo salar</i> is likely among them.
Wire Weed ( <i>Sargassum muticum</i> )	Commonly found on hard substrates in sheltered near-shore staḵw (waters). This species prefers substrates composed of stones larger than 10cm in diameter, forming dense, monospecific stands.	Large stands of this species can hinder small boats, obstruct staḵw (water) intakes, and foul lines and nets. Their large size and explosive growth can also easily outcompete native species for light including eelgrass ( <i>Zostera marina</i> ).	Low, habitat in the CPA is not ideal in terms of substrate. Surveys of the area have not shown any signs of this species. When present, it quickly outcompetes native species for light and nutrients and forms dense stands of this one species, excluding all others.
Single Horn Bryozoan ( <i>Schizoporella unicornis</i> )	Typically occurs on hard substrate in large, flat, shaded areas with adequate staḵw (water) flow. Prefers rocks, docks, hulls, and underwater debris.	Fouling caused by these animals can cause issues for vessels as well as industrial components such as staḵw (water) intakes. This species can exclude or inhibit growth of native bryozoans.	Medium, the habitat in the CPA is somewhat suited to this species with large areas of hard substrate and debris fields. The shading provided by structures such as the Floatel may make the area even more well suited for this species.
European Green Crab ( <i>Carcinus maenus</i> )	Typically found in shallow staḵw (waters) with vegetated substrate. Common in salt marshes, rocky and sandy shores.	Green Crabs have been found to be highly detrimental to native eelgrass beds and can even destroy them completely with time. The loss of this habitat negatively affects many other species as a result.	Low to medium. The habitat in much of the CPA is unsuitable, however there are some areas that might support them. They have not been documented within the CPA during baseline surveys; however their planktonic larva has the potential to travel to the site in ship ballast staḵw (water).

### 6.3 Species at Risk

A review of the federal and provincial databases produced a list of marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) species at risk that have the potential to use the Site's habitat or surrounding area. A brief description of each species has been provided along with an evaluation on the likelihood that the species will be directly impacted by the proposed work. Marine mammal species at risk are described in the MMMMP (Stantec 2023a).



Sts'úkwi7 (fish) species listed on Schedule 1 of the *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) are not likely to be present at or near the Site.

- Northern Abalone occurs from the lower intertidal zone to at least 100 m depth. The abalone prefer firm substrate, usually rock, and are generally found in areas of moderate staḵw (water) exchange, such as occurs on exposed or semi-exposed coasts .
- Yellow Rockfish are present in all BC coastal staḵw (waters). Juveniles and subadults are usually found between 40 – 100 m. Adults generally occur at depths less than 270 m. They prefer substrates that are hard, complex and with some vertical relief. They are opportunistic feeders (GOC 2023).
- Green Sturgeon are distributed along the entire coast of British Columbia. They are rarely encountered in freshwater but will inhabit the brackish staḵw (waters) found at the mouth of large rivers (GOC 2023).
- Rougheye Rockfish Type I and Type II occur along the continental slope and are typically found at depths between 170 and 660 m (GOC 2023). Highest densities of rougheye rockfish occur on the sea floor with soft substrates, in areas with frequent boulders and on slopes greater than 20° (GOC 2023). Rougheye rockfish primarily eat shrimp but will also eat various sts'úkwi7 (fish) species such as walleye pollock, Pacific herring and eulachon. The principal spawning period off British Columbia is in April (GOC 2023).
- Longspine Thornyhead, in British Columbia this species occurs along the continental slope at depths between 500 and 1,600 m (GOC 2023). They prefer soft sand or mud bottoms in deep-water environments characterized by low productivity (slow growth), high pressure, and reduced oxygen concentrations (GOC 2023). Juveniles eat krill; adults target brittle stars and other species found on the ocean bottom. Larger longspine thornyheads regularly prey upon smaller ones (GOC 2023).

**Table 6-3** summarizes the marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) aquatic species at risk that have the potential to use the habitat or surrounding area (i.e., within 2 km) of the Project.

**Table 6-3 Aquatic Species at Risk that have the Potential to Use the Habitat or Surrounding Area (2 km) of the Project**

Common Name	Scientific Name	COSEWIC/ SARA Status <sup>2</sup>	Provincial Status	Probability
Leatherback sea turtle – Pacific	<i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>	Endangered 2003 (SARA) Endangered 2022 (COSEWIC)	Red	Low
Northern Abalone	<i>Haliotis kamtschatkana</i>	Endangered 2011 (SARA) Endangered 2009 (COSEWIC)	Red	Low
Yelloweye Rockfish	<i>Sebastes ruberrimus</i>	Special Concern 2011 (SARA) Threatened 2020 (COSEWIC)	Not Reviewed	Moderate
Green Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser medirostris</i>	Special Concern 2006 (SARA) Special Concern 2013 (COSEWIC)	Blue	Low

<sup>2</sup> Species listed as extirpated, endangered or threatened are protected under Schedule 1 of SARA and COSEWIC



Common Name	Scientific Name	COSEWIC/ SARA Status <sup>2</sup>	Provincial Status	Probability
Longspine Thornyhead	<i>Sebastolobus altivelis</i>	Special Concern 2009 (SARA) Special Concern 2007 (COSEWIC)	Not Reviewed	Low to moderate
Rougheye Rockfish Type I	<i>Sebastes sp. type I</i>	Special Concern 2009 (SARA) Special Concern 2007 (COSEWIC)	Not Reviewed	Low to moderate
Rougheye Rockfish Type II	<i>Sebastes sp. type II</i>	Special Concern 2009 (SARA) Special Concern 2007 (COSEWIC)	Not Reviewed	Low to moderate



## 7. PREDICTED EFFECTS SUMMARY AND MITIGATION MEASURES

To support the establishment of the follow-up program to verify the accuracy of the EA and the effectiveness of mitigations this section provides a summary of the EA findings.

The application for an EAC (Woodfibre LNG 2015) concluded that, after the application of mitigation measures, the remaining residual effects to marine benthic habitat and forage sts'úkwi7 (fish) were not significant. The proposed mitigations from the EA Sections 5.16 and 5.18 are summated in **Table 7-1**, revised to reflect project changes (i.e., an approved amendment to switch from sea cooling to air cooling). In addition, project design measures applied during construction are intended to mitigate potential loss of habitat from construction or demolition of infrastructure (e.g., floating structures, pilings, outfalls, pipes and diffusers).

The EA found that residual effects are likely to be negligible with mitigation and are not carried forward to a cumulative effects assessment. Effects to forage sts'úkwi7 (fish) and other sts'úkwi7 (fish) species falls under federal jurisdiction with the federal *Fisheries Act*.

**Table 7-1 Summary of Proposed Mitigations from Environmental Assessment Sections 5.16 and 5.18**

Potential Adverse Residual Effect	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Number	Phase
<b>M5.16</b>			
Potential remobilization of legacy contaminants from the sea bottom from ship propeller scour.	Placement of Project works; minimise propeller use inshore where scour can remobilize sediments; add scour protection.	Project design	Construction/ Operations
Potential direct loss of habitat from shading of marine vegetation.	Structures will be placed in marine areas of low habitat quality (i.e., low species diversity and abundance) or deep staḵw (water).	Project design	Operations
	Measures to minimize marine shading (Section 6.3)	M5.16-1	Operations
Potential change in habitat quality due to seabed disturbance and siltation.	Marine Works Management Plan (See MWQMMP, Section 7.3)	M5.16, M5.18 (M5.10-1)	Construction
Minimize effects during creosote pile removal.	Creosote Pile Removal Plan (See Section 7.9)	M5.16, M5.18 (M5.10-3)	Construction
Potential introduction of invasive species from ballast staḵw (water) exchange during shipping	Ballast Water Management Plan (Section 7.10)	M5.16-2, M5.18	Operations



Potential Adverse Residual Effect	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Number	Phase
<b>M5.18</b>			
Direct loss of habitat from construction and demolition of infrastructure	Structures will be placed in marine areas of low habitat quality (i.e., low species diversity and abundance).	Project design	
Potential change in habitat quality due to site erosion and sediment transport	Environmental Management Plan	Project design	Construction
	Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan (See Section 7.2, 7.12.1)	M5.18 (M5.8-1)	Construction
	Design for stormwater management (See Section 7.12.2)	M5.18 (M5.8-2)	Operations
	stałw (water) Quality inxwantas (monitoring) Plan (See Section 7.2.4, MWQMMP)	M5.18 (M5.8-4, M5.8-5),	Construction/ Operations
Potential mortality and change in habitat quality due to release of cementitious material during cast-in-place works	Concrete Works Management Plan (See Section 7.7)	M5.18, (M5.10-2)	Construction
Potential contamination with waste leachate material	Treatment facility	Project design	Construction/ Operations
	Waste Management Plan (See Section 7.12.3)	M5.18 (M5.10-4)	Construction/ Operations
Potential mortality and behavioural changes from underwater noise	Underwater Noise Management Plan (See Section 7.5, MMMP)	M5.18 (M5.17-6)	Construction/ Operations
Potential change in habitat quality	Treatment facility	Project design	Construction/ Operations
Potential change in habitat quality due to accidental release of deleterious substances	CEMP	N/A	Construction/ Operations

As noted in the EA, mitigations for erosion prevention and sediment control plan and design for stormwater management (M5.8-1 and M5.8-2) are related to terrestrial mitigations and are addressed in the CEMP/OEMP and are only summated here for completeness. Mitigations for waste material leaching (Project design and waste management plan (M5.10-4) are addressed through existing permits, approvals, and the CofC process.

The following sub-sections of the MFFHMMP describe measures that are to be implemented during the construction and operations phase of the Project, which have been prescribed by the EAC and additionally by the FDS, and SNEAA.



## 7.1 Avoidance Measures

As identified in the effects assessment and conditions of approval, the following project design measures will be applied to avoid effects to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat.

### 7.1.1 Site Selection

The Woodfibre location was selected as a site for an LNG facility in part due to its brownfield history and generally low environmental value considering the legacy impacts that remained since the former pulp mill had been decommissioned. The Site is also surrounded by other industrial marine projects such as Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish) Terminals, the new Fortis Eagle-bones pipeline, and historic contamination of Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) at Britannia Mine. The Site allows for a marine terminal with floating storage and offloading (FSO) over deep stakw (water), avoiding shading of large areas of shallow habitat, and reducing the Project footprint to terrestrial, riparian, and marine habitats. Not only does the Woodfibre Site offer substantial footprint reduction, but it also provides an opportunity for Woodfibre LNG to improve environmental conditions on a site that may have otherwise been risk-managed for decades to come.

### 7.1.2 Design Measures

To avoid impacts to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) including larval stages of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and marine invertebrates, the marine seawater cooling intake was switched to on temíxw (land) air cooling.

Marine facilities will maximise the use of existing facilities or be placed in areas of low habitat quality. Further, construction and infrastructure installation/removal will employ methods to minimise footprint and seafloor disturbance. This includes walkways and ramps, except where not possible due to structural or safety requirements.

- The existing barge ramp will be upgraded or repaired for use.
- Use of piles rather than fill have been utilized where possible to support marine structures and reduce the marine footprint of the Project.
- Floatel and Offloading Platform will be installed where the log sort area used to be, an area historically affected by pulp and paper mill and log sort operations; this area is of relatively low-quality benthic habitat.

Except for the floatel where flexi-float is proposed, to minimize effects of marine shading, except where design requirements determine otherwise e.g., for structure or safety:

- ramps and gangways used to access floating facilities will be installed at an elevation of at least two metres above the highest high-water mark to allow ambient light to reach the seafloor; and
- Docks, ramps, and gangways will be surfaced with aluminium grating (or other light permeable material), allowing ambient light to reach the benthic communities below.

The Floatel and Floatel #2 have been positioned to allow for sts'úkwi7 (fish) passage along the shore as well as providing at least 2.0 metres of clearance from the bottom of the floating structure to the substrate at the lowest low tides. The Floatels will not obstruct sts'úkwi7 (fish) passage in this respect and will not prevent sts'úkwi7 (fish) from utilizing the area between the structure and shore or between the structure and the benthos.



### 7.1.3 Construction Phase

Marine facilities will maximize the use of existing facilities that have been preferentially located in areas of low habitat quality. Construction and infrastructure installation/removal will employ methods to minimize footprint and seafloor disturbance. This includes walkways and ramps except where not possible due to structural or safety requirements.

- Three existing barge ramps will be upgraded and repaired for use during construction.
- Use of piles rather than fill has been utilized where possible to support marine structures and reduce the marine footprint of the Project. The installation of steel piles will create additional hard substrate for colonization of sessile benthic invertebrates. While this is anticipated to result in a net positive effect on marine benthic communities over the lifespan of the Project, it is not considered as a credit for habitat offsetting.
- Existing marine outfalls that manage site drainage will be repaired or replaced rather than locating and building new outfalls.
- The existing roll-on / roll-off facility will be refurbished in its existing location.
- Shoreline protection and revetment work is required to enhance stability and mitigate existing erosion into the marine environment. This includes the removal of the existing sheet pile walls located near the FSO location, with a 2:1 rip-rap slope being replaced. This is also anticipated to result in a net positive effect on marine benthic communities over the lifespan of the Project but is not considered as a credit for habitat offsetting.
- Larger infrastructure such as the FSO and MOF have been preferentially located at the historic log sort area, an area historically affected by pulp and paper mill and log sort operations; this area is of relatively low-quality benthic habitat.

To minimize effects of marine shading, except where design requirements determine otherwise e.g., for structure or safety:

- Ramps and gangways used to access permanent facilities are designed to be installed at an elevation of at least two metres above the highest high-water mark to allow ambient light to reach the seafloor; and
- Docks, ramps, and gangways will be surfaced with aluminium grating (or other light permeable material), allowing ambient light to reach the benthic communities below.

Stormwater contact/non-contact stakw (water) will be managed during the construction phase as outlined in the CEMP and further detailed in the application for a Waste Discharge Authorization, which was granted by the British Columbia Energy Regulator (BCER) on February 9<sup>th</sup> 2024 (Authorization No. PE-111578). Surface drains and ditches constructed as part of the Project will be graded according to BMPs and vegetated or lined to minimize erosion and increase the retention time of runoff. Particular attention will be given to the construction methodology and design of new or upgrades to access roads to avoid the potential to alter existing drainage patterns by collecting overland drainage and concentrating it at specific locations, which may result in localized erosion.



#### 7.1.4 Operation Phase

To avoid mortality through impingement of forage sts'úkwi7 (fish) and their larvae, the seawater cooling system has been replaced with an upland air-cooling system.

Stormwater that does not come into contact with the LNG facility process areas (e.g., roads, material storage areas, roof areas) will be collected in ditches and catch basins. It will then be directed through a stormwater treatment system to remove any oil and sediment prior to discharge into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).

Stormwater that comes into contact with the LNG facility or other process areas will be captured in the new lined stormwater retention pond. The staḵw (water) will be tested for compliance with the BC water quality guidelines (WQG) (BC ENV 2018a; 2018b). If it meets guidelines, stormwater will be discharged directly into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound); if not, stormwater will be pumped to the staḵw (water) treatment plant prior to being discharged into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).

To avoid scour of the potentially contaminated sediments during maneuvering to and from the FSO/FST, the LNG carriers will be assisted by tugboats; therefore, the carriers will not use their own propellers, except for emergency or safety requirements, or will use them at a considerable slower speed. In addition, the tugs will have smaller draft and smaller propellers. The resulting stress on the seafloor at the berth will be considerably lower than if the LNG carriers were moving at a transit speed. Staḵw (water) depth at the LNG berth is between 50 m and 100 m, which is a sufficient depth to allow jets produced by ship propellers to dissipate through the staḵw (water) column before reaching the seafloor.

#### 7.1.5 Floatel #2 Specific Mitigation Measures

Concerns have been raised regarding the potential effects of the Floatel #2 and associated infrastructure on Pacific herring and glass sponges. Specifically, the viability of Pacific herring eggs on the hull of Floatel #2 due to the use of anti-fouling paint and the potential impacts of drag anchors and chains on existing glass sponges within the footprint of the vessel mooring system. Woodfibre LNG acknowledges and shares these concerns. A Ch'émesh (Herring Spawn) Mitigation Plan and a Glass Sponge Mitigation plan have been developed with the Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw Environmental Working Group and select Qualified Professionals through the Project's Technical Advisory Committee (TAC). The mitigation plans are included in the Aquatic Effects Assessment for the Floatel #2 (Keystone Environmental 2025). New mitigation measures related to the installation, operation, and removal of Floatel #2 are included below.

##### **Ch'émesh (Herring Spawn) Mitigation Measures**

After considering various options to prevent slhawt' (herring) from spawning on the hull of Floatel #2, it was determined that partial exclusion around the hull of the vessel using a silt curtain could be implemented (Keystone Environmental 2025). Bridgemans Services Group (BSG; the Floatel #2 provider) has proposed installing a silt curtain that partially surrounds the vessel around the bow, and portions of the starboard, and port sides while keeping most of the sides of the boat and the stern open to allow access required for emergency egress and vessel access required to operate the Floatel #2. The proposed configuration of the silt curtain is shown in **Appendix A**. A 5 m long silt curtain would be deployed around the front of the vessel and secured to floating log booms and mooring buoys.



As this silt curtain configuration would not fully exclude slhawt' (herring) from accessing the vessel hull, inxwantas (monitoring) will be conducted to document whether ch'émesh (herring spawn) has occurred on the hull of Floatel #2. Bi-weekly slhawt' (herring) dive surveys will be conducted in the CPA between mid-February to the end of June, as required by the MFFHEEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024b). For safety reasons, these dive surveys will not occur underneath the vessel and would not include visual inspection of the vessel hull for ch'émesh (herring spawn), however they do include the entire shoreline of the CPA. If ch'émesh (herring spawn) is documented within 100 m of the Floatel #2 (either through dive surveys or as reported by MSI) then an underwater visual survey of the boat hull will be conducted to determine whether slhawt' (herring) have also spawned on the boat hull. Surveys may otherwise be conducted under recommendation from the TAC. This survey may be conducted using an underwater camera deployed from the surface or using commercial divers with an underwater camera.

If ch'émesh (herring spawn) is observed on the hull of Floatel #2, Woodfibre LNG will commit to financial contribution to a regional stewardship program to support ch'émesh (herring spawn) in Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound). The sum of financial contribution will be based on further conversations with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw.

### **Glass Sponge Mitigation Measures**

Four individual glass sponges (*Aphrocallistes vastus*) have been identified within deep subtidal habitat in the vicinity of the planned Floatel #2 drag anchors and mooring chains (**Appendix A**; Keystone Environmental 2025). Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) has raised concerns regarding the potential impacts of the drag anchor and chain installation, operation and removal to existing glass sponges in the footprint area of the Floatel #2 mooring system. These adverse effects would be the result of physical disturbance of the seabed or crushing or burying of the glass sponges.

Potential areas of seabed disturbance from drag anchors and mooring chains have been identified based on the planned anchor locations, estimated physical disturbance areas where anchors and chains may contact the seabed, with a 15 m buffer added (**Appendix A**). BSG has confirmed that the anchor installation can be completed to an accuracy and precision of within 1 m of the planned anchor drop locations. As such, the additional 15 m buffer should capture the area of potential seabed disturbance around each anchor.

As shown in **Appendix A**, the mooring system was re-designed to avoid documented glass sponges by ensuring that no sponges are located within areas of potential seabed disturbance. Additional mitigation measures to avoid impacts to glass sponges include:

- Engineering specifications require anchors to be located within 1 m of planned placement. This will be accomplished by having a surveyor on the installation vessel lowering each anchor.
- To further avoid two glass sponges located adjacent to the area of potential seabed disturbance associated with anchor B, a submerged spring buoy will be utilized to provide buoyancy to the chain, raising the chain further above the seabed in that area, providing additional precautionary clearance between the suspended chain and the sponges present on the seabed.
- The engineer of record for the Floatel #2 will oversee the installation of the anchors and will be onboard the installation vessel. An installation report will be issued by the engineer of record, confirming all anchors were installed as per the engineered design (Appendix A), including surveyed coordinates for each installed anchor. The installation report will be provided to DFO, SN, TAC and TWN once issued for review.



- Following installation of the anchors, Woodfibre LNG will conduct an underwater survey to assess the effectiveness of avoiding disturbance to glass sponges in the vicinity of Floatel #2 moorings, with methods and further follow up to be developed by the TAC.

## 7.2 General Mitigation Measures

### 7.2.1 Tree and Vegetation Protection

Most proposed works are located in areas of previous disturbance as a result of past temíxw (land) uses (e.g., right of ways, roads) and are typically dominated by invasive and early seral native species. Impacts to existing vegetation may occur in areas of existing native vegetation and riparian vegetation bands such as along East Creek and Mill Creek.

To protect native vegetation and avoid damage to areas beyond the project area, the Contractor shall abide by the following measures:

- Delineate extents of vegetation clearing in the field (such as for the new intake access road adjacent to Woodfibre Creek). Temporary exclusion fencing should be installed to delineate and protect native riparian vegetation and bylaw size trees (>0.2m DBH) and their root zones.
- Field-fit machine access locations to minimize the clearing of trees and shrubs to the greatest extent possible.
- Limit grubbing of tree and shrub roots to areas where soil removal is necessary.
- The EM will monitor vegetation removal, grubbing, and replanting activities in proximity to existing trees to be retained within riparian areas.
- Take care when clearing vegetation to maintain slope stability to minimize sloughing and soil erosion.

### 7.2.2 Erosion and Sediment Control

A site-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) for the proposed works has been developed by a QP (Stantec 2023b). The ESCP includes the instream/near-stream works locations and considers the following example measures to be implemented under the guidance of a QP:

- Material stockpiles will be covered with plastic tarps or polyethylene sheeting when not in use.
- Material must be stockpiled outside of the riparian zone to prevent it from entering a watercourse. Contractor to confirm riparian zone with QP.
- Effective erosion and sediment control measures must be maintained until proposed works are completed.

### 7.2.3 Invasive Species Management

A site-specific Invasive Species Management Plan (ISMP) has been developed and implemented since the start of works and includes measures to prevent the introduction and spread of invasive species during proposed works.



### 7.2.4 Water Quality and Turbidity

Stákw (water) quality will be carefully monitored during all in-water works including piling, shoreline repair, blasting, bank armouring, and fill placement. An EM will use a YSI or similar equipment to take regular measurements at pre-defined sample locations as described in an Environmental Management Plan (e.g. Marine Water Quality Monitoring and Management Plan) and/or Environmental Protection Plan (EPP). Regulatory limits will be closely followed to prevent harm to sts'úkwí7 (fish) or sts'úkwí7 (fish) habitat due to elevated turbidity. Regulatory limits are laid out in **Table 7-2**. All fill materials or materials that will contact watercourse waters, including shoreline works or surfacing, will be clean and free of organic material and deleterious substances. If stákw (water) quality exceeds thresholds at any station, the extent of the impact will be defined by finding the distance at which measurements return below threshold and efforts will be made to modify contractor methods to reduce turbidity. If turbid conditions persist after modifying methods where possible, additional adaptive mitigation measures will be employed. Options include silt curtains and timing the most impactful works to coincide with low flow conditions such as slack tide to localize the effects.

**Table 7-2 Water Quality Criteria for Evaluating Project Works (BCENV, 2018a and 2018b)**

Water Body	Turbidity	pH
Marine/ Freshwater	Change from background of 8 NTU at any one time for a duration of 24 hours in all waters during clear flows or in clear waters.	7.0 to 8.7 (marine)
	Change from background of 2 NTU at any one time for a duration of 30 days in all waters during clear flows or in clear waters.	
	Change from background of 5 NTU at any time when background is 8–50 NTU during high flows or in turbid waters.	6.5 to 9.0 (freshwater)
	Change from background of 10% when background is > 50 NTU at any time during high flows or in turbid waters.	

- Works will be conducted in a manner to prevent the discharge or introduction, either direct or indirect, of soil, sediment, or sediment-laden stákw (water), turbid stákw (water), or any other deleterious substance into aquatic environments. All discharges from construction activities shall meet BC WQG or CCME WQG unless otherwise authorized through a Waste Discharge Authorization (WDA).
- Construction materials, excavation wastes, overburden, sediment, or other substances potentially deleterious to aquatic life shall be disposed of offsite in accordance with regulatory requirements or placed in such a manner by the contractor to prevent their entry into the aquatic environment.
- Silt control measures will be installed within marine stákw (waters) around in-water construction activities with the potential to adversely affect stákw (water) quality to contain suspended sediment. Silt control measures will be maintained and/or replaced by the Contractor as needed to maintain their effectiveness.
- Where there is potential for sts'úkwí7 (fish) to be harmed by changes in stákw (water) quality (e.g., sts'úkwí7 (fish) observed inside a silt curtain used to contain high turbidity), sts'úkwí7 (fish) salvage may be required. An activity specific marine sts'úkwí7 (fish) exclusion and salvage work plan will be developed by a QP with the Contractor and submitted for review and approval by Woodfibre LNG prior to works commencing. The work plan will meet the requirements of applicable sts'úkwí7 (fish) salvage permits.



- Water-based equipment (i.e., boats and barges) shall manage runoff from deck surfaces such that it meets the BC WQG.
- The EM, the Woodfibre LNG Environmental Representative, and the Contractor will discuss the upcoming work schedule and construction activities to assess when adverse weather conditions are forecast that have the potential to impact marine stákw (water) quality. These conditions will be documented in the EPP.
- When construction vessels are required to operate in shallow stákw (water), maneuvering will take care not to cause direct physical disturbance to the seabed from propeller scour. Ongoing turbidity Inexwantas (monitoring) will be targeted during times of shallow stákw (water) vessel operations, with additional mitigation being developed and deployed as required to avoid resuspension of marine sediments resulting from seabed scouring.

### 7.2.5 Spills from Industrial Equipment

The following measures will be employed to comply with provisions of the *Fisheries Act* related to protection of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat from release of deleterious substances. All contractors working on or near stákw (water) will supply and implement an EPP that includes spill response measures.

- Contractors will develop a spill response plan to be enacted in the event of a release and will ensure that all staff are familiar with it and capable of executing it.
- Emergency spill kits of appropriate size and number for the planned activities will be present, clearly marked and easily accessible.
- If a spill occurs, work will be paused until the spill has been contained and/or cleaned.
- Spills will be reported to the Provincial Emergency Program if the spill enters or is likely to enter a body of stákw (water) or the quantity spilled is greater than the quantity listed for that substance in Schedule 1 of the Spill Reporting Regulation (2017).
- Maintain the cleanliness of all machinery and equipment on site and ensure it is properly maintained to prevent leaks or other failures that may enable deleterious substances to enter the marine environment.
- Use of secondary containment measures for all fuel and chemical storage, underneath powered equipment, and in areas where refuelling or fuel transfers are conducted.
- Ensure that building materials used are of a nature and handled in a manner that prevents release or leaching of deleterious substances into the stákw (water) .
- Where possible, all equipment working on or near stákw (water) will use bio-degradable hydraulic fluid unless the specifications of the equipment preclude its use (i.e., extreme high heat or high-pressure applications).
- Shore based equipment maintenance and fuelling will be conducted greater than 30 m from marine stákw (water), or as approved by the EM.



### 7.2.6 Site Stabilization and Restoration

Site stabilization and restoration measures will be completed for the work areas associated with the marine in-water/near staḵw (water) works.

Disturbed areas associated with the marine in-water/near staḵw (water) works will be stabilized and restored promptly following completion of the works. The following measures will be implemented:

- Promptly re-seed disturbed zones with a custom seed mix. The QP will provide a reclamation seed mix specification (e.g., custom native seed mix, Premier Pacific Coastal Revegetation Mix, or Ministry of Transportation Vancouver Island/Coast Mix) and application rate that the Contractor will use to reseed disturbed areas. Seed grade will be Common No. 1 Forage or better as determined by QP.
- Selected seed species should provide short-term soil stability and not prevent the successful re-establishment of native herbs, shrubs, and trees. For example, include ecologically appropriate bunchgrasses (e.g., *Agrostis exarata*, *Bromus sitchensis*) instead of sod forming grasses, forbs (e.g., *Epilobium angustifolium*), and tree (e.g., *Alnus rubra*) seeds within a custom seed mix.
- Effective erosion and sediment control measures must be maintained until proposed works are completed.

A QP will monitor restored areas associated with the marine in-water/near staḵw (water) works on an annual basis for two years following planting to confirm an acceptable level of planting survival and native recruitment has occurred. Inexwantas (monitoring) will also guide remedial treatments, such as supplementary planting and invasive species removal.

### 7.2.7 Environmental Monitoring

An approved EM will be on-Site during Construction with the following roles and responsibilities:

- Oversee site environmental compliance for the Project including stopping project work if activities are not in compliance with applicable permits, authorizations, EMPs, or EPPs and stopping work is necessary to prevent or reduce significant harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) or sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat.
- Support development of EPPs and contact QPs to provide discipline-specific support as needed.
- Immediately report environmental incidents to Woodfibre LNG's Environmental Representative.
- Construction will be monitored by a QP, who will be on-Site, or supervise an approved EM on-Site. The frequency of Inexwantas (monitoring) will be daily during in-water works and at all times when construction is to occur outside the timing work window, or if high risk to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat are determined by the QP. Adverse effects will be reported to DFO.

### 7.3 General Marine Works Management and Timing Windows

The following general mitigation measures for the protection of marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat will be adhered to during marine construction works.

- Marine construction activities will adhere to applicable work timing windows unless additional mitigation measures are developed and implemented in agreement with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation), Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and in consultation with Indigenous Groups, as defined by the FDS.
- Construction and operation phases of work will be monitored by a QP, who will be on-Site, or will have an approved EM on-Site. The frequency of Inexwantas (monitoring) will be daily during in-water works and works outside the timing work window, or if high risk to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat are determined by the QP. Adverse effects will be reported to DFO as outlined in **Section 7**.



- All in-water works will be monitored full time by an EM.
- Where there is potential for marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) to be harmed, stranded, or captured within marine Project activities or by changes in marine staḵw (water) quality (e.g., construction of MOF followed by infilling, observance of sts'úkwi7 (fish) in high turbidity areas), sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage may be required. An activity specific marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and salvage work plan will be developed by the QP with the contractor and submitted for review and approval by Woodfibre LNG prior to works commencing and meet requirements in salvage permits.
- Salvage of non-mobile and less mobile sts'úkwi7 (fish) species (e.g., starfish, sea urchins, crabs) will be completed in the intertidal by the EM, or in the subtidal by an approved dive team prior to the commencement of marine Construction activities such as infilling/dewatering, dredging or marine blasting activities.
- Construction equipment operating within 30 metres of marine staḵw (water) will use biodegradable or vegetable based hydraulic oil, unless in transit proximal to the marine environment.
- Shore based equipment maintenance and fuelling will be conducted at a distance of greater than 30 m from marine staḵw (water) , or as approved by the EM.
- The duration of necessary activities, including both dismantling and constructing structures, which must occur within watercourses and within the 30-m riparian setback, will be minimized to avoid potential sts'úkwi7 (fish) mortality and changes in sts'úkwi7 (fish) presence, the quality and quantity of sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, habitat availability, and riparian habitat.
- Works will be conducted in a manner to prevent the discharge or introduction, either direct or indirect, of soil, sediment, or sediment-laden staḵw (water), turbid staḵw (water), or any other deleterious substance into the marine environment. All discharges from construction activities shall meet BC WQG or CCME WQG (except as consistent with the guidelines application background concentrations allow for a modification to the guideline).
- Construction works within intertidal work zones will be scheduled during low tide periods. If works cannot be scheduled during low tide periods, an EM will provide justification during reporting.
- Construction materials, excavation wastes, overburden, sediment, or other substances potentially deleterious to marine life shall be disposed of off-Site in accordance with regulatory requirements or placed in such a manner by the contractor to prevent their entry into the marine environment.
- Silt control measures will be installed within marine waters around in-water construction activities with the potential to adversely affect staḵw (water) quality to contain suspended sediment, if reasonably possible based on currents and weather. Silt control measures will be maintained and/or replaced by the Contractor as needed to maintain their effectiveness.
- Water-based equipment (i.e., boats and barges) involved in pile driving and construction activities will be positioned in a manner that will prevent disturbance of the seafloor or shoreline.
- Water-based equipment (i.e., boats and barges) shall manage runoff from deck surfaces such that it meets the BC WQG outlined in the MWQMMP (Keystone Environmental 2023a).
- Work will be scheduled to avoid adverse weather conditions (e.g., wind, rain) that may increase the potential for environmental incidents to occur. The EM, the Woodfibre LNG Environmental Representative (the Environmental Representative), and the Contractor will discuss the upcoming work schedule and construction activities to assess when adverse weather conditions are forecast that have the potential to impact marine staḵw (water) quality.
- Marine works will meet staḵw (water) quality protections and mitigations as outlined in the MWQMMP.



### 7.3.1 Marine Work Timing Windows

The DFO window of least risk for fisheries in Area 28 – Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) is August 16 through January 31 (DFO 2014).

Intertidal works below HHWL, even at low tide, qualify as marine works (with exception to shoreline works that are proposed along the 70 m tidally influenced reach of Mill Creek). **Figure 7-1** illustrates the least risk (unshaded) and high risk (shaded) timing windows for types of species that may potentially be affected by Project effects.

Marine construction activities will adhere to applicable work timing windows unless additional mitigation measures are developed and implemented in agreement with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation), DFO and in consultation with Indigenous Groups, as defined by the FDS.

If deemed necessary, a site-specific modified timing window would be developed in collaboration with the TAC for the shoreline works within the tidally influenced region of Mill Creek due to its location which is subject to both marine and freshwater influence. Details will be provided in a stand-alone submission once finalized.

Species Type	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Salmonids-juvenile												
Slhawt' (herring) Spawning												
Lingcod												

**Figure 7-1 Summary of Marine Timing Windows**

### 7.3.2 Construction Scheduling

The Project is being planned to complete marine construction work within least risk windows. While Project scheduling is intended to complete work during the MLRW, it may not be possible to complete all marine construction work during the least risk windows. A list of planned activities and schedules are presented in **Section 3**.

Marine works commenced in the 2023 MLRW and are expected to continue through to the 2028 MLRW. Marine construction activities will adhere to applicable work timing windows unless additional mitigation measures to protect sensitive life stages are developed and implemented in agreement with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation), DFO and in consultation with Indigenous Groups, as defined by the FDS.

### 7.3.3 Fish Protection Measures

During construction and operations, protection measures will be put in place to prevent harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) due to Project activities. The following are described herein and below and include:

- Conducting works during timing windows, unless otherwise agreed upon by regulators and Indigenous groups (**Section 7.3**)
- Mitigate change to stakw (water) quality that could adversely affect sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat (Sections 7.2.4, 7.7, 7.9, 7.11, 7.12, MWQMMP)



- Avoid marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) mortality, physical injury, and behavioural change by isolating in stakw (water) works, salvaging and relocating sts'úkwi7 (fish) during construction (**Section 7.6**)
- Use appropriate BMPs when removing or installing piling (Sections 7.5, 7.8 and 7.9)
- Implement low-noise methods and Ínexwantas (monitoring) or sound dampening technologies if underwater pressure pulse levels exceed 30 kilopascals (**Section 7.5, MMMMP**)
- If blasting, avoid destruction of sts'úkwi7 (fish) by following mitigation measures during all phases of the Project (**Section 7.12**)
- Removal of creosote piles shall be done in a manner to minimize sediment disturbance (**Section 7.9**)
- Any loss of sts'úkwi7 (fish) and/or sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat will be offset using measures agreed upon by SN and in consultation with Indigenous groups (**Section 7.2**)

#### 7.4 Marine Shading and Lighting

The effects of marine shading and overwater structures have been assessed and mitigated during the design phase of the Project. The project's design incorporates various structures such as docks, ramps, gangways, transfer platforms, trestles, and floating structures (e.g., Floatel, MOF, bridges over Mill Creek, and FSTs), each potentially leading to either temporary or permanent changes in shading conditions.

Temporary reduction in eyesight, lasting around 10-15 minutes, has been observed in juvenile salmonids due to changes in light (Tutáw) conditions caused by shading. Such changes may induce disorientation or alterations in sts'úkwi7 (fish) behavior, including temporary pauses in migration until nighttime. Sts'úkwi7 (Fish) circling behavior around shadows or structures during daylight hours due to shading could lead to heightened vulnerability to predators. Furthermore, artificial lighting has the potential to influence sts'úkwi7 (fish) behavior by attracting prey to the stakw (water) surface.

Shading effects from overhead structures can extend to photosynthetic organisms, potentially leading to modifications in the abundance and distribution of riparian vegetation or marine macroalgae. These changes have the potential to impact sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat. However, the proposed structures are not anticipated to significantly impact sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat through shading, as most subtidal areas consist mainly of soft sediment with limited marine vegetation. Notably, nearshore structures like ramps, gangways, transfer platforms, and Marine FST terminal mooring structures that are positioned over existing or proposed hard substrates with algae may have localized shading effects.

To avoid shading impacts on marine habitats, the project employs strategic design principles. Essential access points like ramps and gangways for floating facilities are designed to be installed at least two meters above the highest high-water mark. This design choice ensures that ambient light (Tutáw) can reach the seafloor and benthic communities, thereby minimizing shading effects. Additionally, docks, ramps, and gangways are surfaced with aluminum grating or other light-permeable materials. This surface material allows ambient light (Tutáw) to penetrate and illuminate the benthic communities beneath, further reducing shading effects.

The project's approach to habitat placement and impact reduction supports shading avoidance. The Floatel and Offloading Platform have been positioned in historically impacted areas with relatively low-quality benthic habitat. This strategic placement not only minimizes shading but also takes advantage of areas less sensitive to ecological disturbance. Furthermore, the utilization of piles instead of fill materials for supporting marine structures not only reduces the marine footprint but also introduces additional hard substrate, encouraging colonization by sessile benthic invertebrates.



Elevating structures mitigates shading while ensuring safe access for personnel. Ramps and gangways are installed at a height of at least two meters above the highest high-water mark, accommodating both ecological considerations and safety requirements. Light-permeable surfacing materials not only mitigate shading but also contribute to safety by allowing adequate ambient light (Tutáw) to reach the seafloor, enhancing visibility in the area. Daily inxwantas (monitoring) during construction, infrastructure installation/removal, and in-water works, in addition to adaptive management measures, ensures safety protocols are followed, and any potential environmental or safety concerns are promptly addressed.

- Artificial lighting along the shoreline and docks has been carefully positioned and reviewed during the design stage to minimize potential adverse effects. Throughout the construction and operation phases, adherence to a range of safety requirements will be implemented:
- International Commission on Illumination's CIE 150:2003 Guide on the limitation of the Effects of Obtrusive Light (Tutáw) from Outdoor Lighting installation.
- Navigable Waters Works Regulations from the *Navigable Waters Act*.
- Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 – Obstruction Marking and Lighting; and
- All applicable aviation and navigable stákw (water) safety requirements.

Marine shading effects have been assessed in detail in the FAA, with habitat offsetting being constructed for residual effects associated with shading that could not be mitigated. Except for the floatel which will be a temporary flexi-float dock, mitigation includes elevating ramps and structures at least 2 m from the stákw (water) along the shoreline where sts'úkwi7 (fish) migrate and utilizing light penetrating materials such as aluminium grating. Few areas of sensitive habitat have been identified in the footprint of the Floatel during marine surveys by divers. The Floatel itself is not expected to cause harmful alteration, disruption, or destruction (HADD) of sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat as a result of shading and light attenuation, however the access ramps/walkways have the potential to destroy or alter the associated areas of tidal habitat.

## 7.5 Underwater Noise

Project-related marine Construction activities that generate underwater noise and may adversely effect sts'úkwi7 (fish) were expected based on underwater noise modeling prior to the commencement of marine pile driving and blasting. Underwater noise measures considered suitable to sts'úkwi7 (fish) to be implemented to address the risk of adverse effects include the following:

- The BMPs for Pile Driving and Related Operations (BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association, 2003) will be taken into consideration during pile driving.
- The use of vibratory pile installation and down-the-hole rotary drill methods will be preferentially used, where practical and feasible, as impact pile installation is associated with louder sound pressure levels underwater. The Contractor will determine when substrate conditions and Project design require the use of impact pile installation. The EM, or designate, will record changes in hammer type, size, and use.
- If impact pile installation occurs, prior to the change, the contractor will advise Woodfibre LNG, and a notification will be made to Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) (and to all parties involved in Environmental inxwantas (monitoring)).
- A ramp-up period (soft start) will be utilized where equipment allows prior to the start of pile installation each day, or after a period of 30 minutes or more where there has been no pile installation. The ramp up period begins with less frequent or continuous strikes of lower force, which is intended to provide marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sekw'ekw'inexw (wildlife) in the area sufficient time to leave prior to peak pressure and noise levels.



- Near-shore blasting and pile installation will be phased such that areas further from the shore are prioritized first as a precautionary principle, allowing site specific field measures to inform adaptive measures for subsequent works.
- Underwater noise ínexwantas (monitoring) and/or recording will be conducted continuously during in-water construction and near-shore blasting by the EM under the direction of the QP. This will be carried out on a continuous basis for the purposes of maintaining appropriate exclusion zones for sts'úkwi7 (fish) and marine mammals, to ensure peak sound pressure levels are not exceeded. ínexwantas (monitoring) will be adaptive and directed by the QP based on ínexwantas (monitoring) results and construction activities.
- The EM will take baseline or ambient sound recordings at least 10 minutes in length prior to the start of works to qualify the readings taken during works. The hydrophone will be calibrated by a professional technician, and the calibration will be verified and documented in the field by the EM daily prior to deployment.
- Underwater noise will be monitored by the EM during near-shore blasting and pile installation to verify that the peak sound pressure level (SPL) shall not exceed 207 dB re: 1µPa to minimize potential project effects to sts'úkwi7 (fish). Underwater noise will be monitored using a two-hydrophone configuration with one hydrophone at the mid-point of the staḵw (water) column (e.g., equal distance between the surface and shḵweñ (ocean) floor) and another hydrophone within 2 m of the shḵweñ (ocean) floor. If underwater noise ínexwantas (monitoring) indicates that sound is not in accordance with these thresholds or evidence of dead or injured sts'úkwi7 (fish) is observed, work shall be suspended, and additional measures and/or alternative pile installation methods shall be implemented to effectively reduce sound levels below these thresholds prior to pile installation recommencing.
- Should underwater noise levels reach 90% of 207 dB re: 1µPa at 10 m from the source or an equivalent threshold at an alternate distance, works will be paused immediately, and the Contractor will implement additional mitigation measures (i.e., deploying additional bubble curtains, applying a lower hammer energy level). This step is to lessen the likelihood of an exceedance occurring.
- Should hydrophone data exceed the criteria specified above, works will be halted immediately. Following an exceedance, the EM will monitor the immediate area for at least 15 minutes for any signs of deceased or distressed sts'úkwi7 (fish), marine mammals, and other sekw'ekw'ínexw (wildlife) such as diving birds (Sekw'ekw'ínexw tl'a shḵwen). If no signs of deceased or distressed sekw'ekw'ínexw (wildlife) are observed, the works may resume after implementing additional mitigations as recommended by the EM.
- If pile driving methods change, i.e., a new hammer, additional barge, or additional or different explosives are to be used, noise ínexwantas (monitoring) must be conducted at the currently established exclusion zone boundaries to ensure they are still adequate for the protection of marine mammals.
- A quality assurance and control program will be implemented to ensure hydrophones are properly calibrated, in good working condition and collecting consistent and verifiable data from control and test locations.



To address uncertainty associated with harming sts'úkwi7 (fish) as a result of noise generated by above staḵw (water) blasting and pile installation, Woodfibre LNG will implement the following adaptive measures where necessary based on underwater noise data collected through the construction phase, to ensure sts'úkwi7 (fish) and marine mammals are protected. Prior to any available on-site blasting noise data becomes available during the initial blasting, a bubble curtain and silt curtain will be installed as follows:

- Unconfined bubble curtains are documented to reduce underwater noise by 15 dB per bubble curtain (pers. comms. Jasco 2023b). The curtain will be installed 5m below the staḵw (water) level. Bubble curtains have the added benefit of being a sts'úkwi7 (fish)-exclusion device.
- An effective sound attenuation device (e.g., bubble curtain) shall be procured and installed by the Contractor and used around each pile greater than 24 in (61 cm) in diameter prior to and during pile driving. The sound attenuation device will be installed around the full circumference of the pile and will be positioned on the sea floor and throughout the staḵw (water) column as required such that the sound attenuation device covers the full wetted length of the pile. The sound attenuation device will be multi-ringed and include pressure gauges on each hose to monitor whether air is being delivered to each ring. The sound attenuation system may require a bubble curtain containment device (e.g., rubber shroud) to further reduce underwater noise effects, as directed by the QP and informed by underwater noise ínexwantas (monitoring). The area that is effectively enclosed by the sound attenuation device is considered the sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion zone.
- As an avoidance measure, non-essential floating infrastructure (i.e., barges) will be re-positioned outside of the sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion zone during pile driving and blasting work to reduce the amount of cover for sts'úkwi7 (fish).
- A full height silt curtain will also be deployed around the blasting area enclosing the bubble curtain to (1) act as a 2<sup>nd</sup> barrier to sts'úkwi7 (fish) passage and (2) to provide a confinement function for the bubble curtain. The bottom of the curtain will be weighted (e.g.: steel cable or chain) and held against the bedrock. Anchors and lines may be required to hold the silt curtain in place.
- Hydrophones will be used to either directly measure or back-calculate the pressure wave levels both (1) outside of the sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion device, and (2) 1 m from the shoreline during near-shore blasting and pile installation.
- Sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage or relocation will be conducted for the area enclosed by the bubble curtain and silt curtain. The enclosure will be inspected by underwater survey (e.g. drop camera, or sonar, etc.) to confirm sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion. This may include methods like the use of sts'úkwi7 (fish) deterrents.
- Sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage will be conducted to confirm mitigation is effective in preventing sts'úkwi7 (fish) mortality. This will include both visual investigation from a boat and by underwater surveys. Indigenous monitors will be given an opportunity to participate in the survey. Results will be communicated to DFO and Indigenous Groups through ínexwantas (monitoring) reports.
- Based on underwater noise ínexwantas (monitoring) and performance of mitigation is proven (i.e. the QP confirms no mortality and that peak pressure waves were less than 207 dB at 1m from the shoreline), the use of bubble curtains and silt curtains may be discontinued unless concurrent activities not previously monitored change. However, if mortality is confirmed or peak sound pressure level exceeded 207 dB outside of the sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion device, adaptive measures will not be removed and additional contingency measures provided below will be incorporated until these criteria are met. Blast mats will be used as required to control fly-rock.



Additional contingency measures are available and can be employed as underwater noise Inexwantas (monitoring) results dictate. These contingency measures include:

- A second line of bubble curtain would be deployed. Unconfined bubble curtains are documented to reduce underwater noise by 15 dB per bubble curtain (pers comms. Jasco 2023b). Therefore, installation of two bubble curtains is anticipated to reduce underwater noise by 30 dB. Curtains would be installed at least 2m apart to spread out the bubbles. The first curtain would be installed 5m below the staƙw (water), and the second would be around 6m below the staƙw (water).
- Curtains would be installed at least 2m apart to spread out the bubbles. Preferentially, the first curtain would be installed 5m below the staƙw (water), and the second would be around 6m below the staƙw (water). Site conditions will ultimately dictate the installation method.
- Near-shore blasting would be scheduled to occur during lower tides, when the tide level is within 2m of the lowest tide. Amounts of change in blast holes would be reduced.
- Temporary debris nets anchored to the rock face will be installed as an adaptive measure if existing measures are found ineffective at mitigating blast debris entering the marine environment. Actual field data suggests that underwater noise will be less than modelled.
- Actual field data collected during pile installation to repair the existing passenger dock in 2023 suggests that underwater noise will be less than modelled. With the above mitigation measures, a reduction in underwater noise could be expected to provide a significant safety buffer to protect sts'úkwi7 (fish). It is unlikely that the upland blasting works will result in the death of sts'úkwi7 (fish) with the successful implementation of these mitigation measures.

With adherence to conditions of the Fisheries Act Authorization and the adaptive mitigation strategy outlined above, it is unlikely that near shore blasting and pile installation works will result in the death of sts'úkwi7 (fish) with the successful implementation of these mitigation measures.

## 7.6 Fish Exclusion and Fish Salvage

Where there is potential for marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) to be stranded, captured, or negatively affected by staƙw (water) quality within a sediment contained area (e.g., silt curtain containment) in marine Project activities (e.g., construction of Offloading Platform followed by infilling), sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and sts'úkwi7 (fish) salvage may be required. An activity specific marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and salvage work plan will be developed by the QP with the contractor and submitted for review and approval by Woodfibre LNG prior to works commencing. Sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion will avoid stranding sts'úkwi7 (fish) where an area may be dewatered (e.g., by low tide) or potentially lethal conditions may arise (e.g., high turbidity) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) mortality may occur. During activities of high risk, a qualified team will capture and salvage sts'úkwi7 (fish) by means approved by the QP (e.g., seining, trapping), and sts'úkwi7 (fish) will be identified and transferred to a pre-determined safe and suitable area as determined by the QP. Sts'úkwi7 (fish) will be handled with care to not harm or damage individuals and minimize time out of marine staƙw (water), and holding tanks should be kept cool, out of the sun and replenished with fresh marine staƙw (water) after each translocation. Sts'úkwi7 (fish) will be released to similar habitat at an appropriate location depending on active works.



A salvage of non-mobile and less mobile sts'úkwi7 (fish) species (e.g., starfish, sea urchins, crabs) will be completed in the intertidal by the EM, or in the subtidal by an approved dive team prior to the commencement of marine Construction activities such as infilling/dewatering, dredging or marine blasting activities. Sts'úkwi7 (fish) will be handled with care to not harm or damage individuals and minimize time out of marine staḵw (water), and holding tanks should be kept cool, out of the sun and replenished with fresh marine staḵw (water) after each translocation. All sts'úkwi7 (fish) will be translocated to a similar environment to where they were taken from.

Prior to the salvage the Contractor and/or the QP will obtain appropriate licences to sts'úkwi7 (fish) for scientific, experimental, educational or public display purposes. All salvages will be conducted in compliance with applicable legislation and permits.

## 7.7 Concrete Works

The Contractor will develop and will implement a Concrete Works EPP describing specific mitigation measures and environmental protection procedures for works that involve concrete cutting, chipping, grinding, grouting, or pouring within or in proximity to the marine environment.

Consistent with, and in addition to, the BMPs provided in BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association and DFO (2003), the following mitigation measures for staḵw (water) quality effects from concrete works will be implemented:

- Equipment used in concrete pouring will be inspected daily to confirm it is in good working order and free of leaks.
- Concrete materials will be securely stored to reduce the probability of accidental spills e.g.; this may be achieved through location of storage or secondary containment.
- Concrete forms will be constructed in a manner to prevent concrete or cement laden staḵw (water) from leaking into the marine environment whether piped or poured e.g., checking lines for leaks as well as confirming forms are not overflowing.
- Barriers will be used as appropriate to prevent splashing over forms and into the staḵw (water).
- Concrete will be covered while curing if significant rainfall (25 mm within a 24hr period) is forecast to prevent inducing alkalinity in stormwater runoff. Concrete or cement laden staḵw (water) from runoff or washing will be retained or treated if required to maintain accepted criteria (CCME 1999a; BC ENV 2018a; BC ENV 2018b; also see **MWQMMP**).
- If it is necessary to pour concrete within the intertidal or subtidal zones (e.g., piling installation), contact between cementitious materials and surrounding seawater will be avoided by using careful and monitored procedures (e.g., pump hose in form/pipe with shut off valves) and utilizing barriers (e.g., installation of poly sheeting) and full-time inéxwantas (monitoring) for pH and turbidity levels will occur.
- Excess or spilled concrete will be contained, immediately cleaned up, and disposed of in an environmentally acceptable manner.
- Containment (e.g., poly sheeting) will be suspended under work areas during concrete cutting, chipping, or grinding to contain dust and debris where required to support marine staḵw (water) quality remaining within accepted criteria (CCME 1999a; BC ENV 2018a; BC ENV 2018b; also see **MWQMMP**).



- Equipment used for pouring or finishing concrete will be cleaned in a way that prevents wash stákw (water) from entering the marine environment.
- In the event accepted marine stákw (water) quality criteria are exceeded, remedial measures will be applied, (e.g., pH adjustment, cessation of work or sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion measures). Refer to **Section 8** for additional information on inéxwantas (monitoring). If there is the possibility of contaminants entering stákw (water), pH levels will be monitored to confirm pH remains between 7.0 and 8.7 as per the BC WQG described in detail in the **MWQMMP**.

## 7.8 Pile Driving

The Contractor will be responsible for developing a Pile Driving EPP. During development of the EPP for approval by Woodfibre LNG, the Contractor shall follow and incorporate the applicable procedures from *Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations* (BCMPDCA and DFO 2003), as well as the **MMMMP**. The BMPs for Pile Driving and Related Operations lists mitigation measures for timber piling (creosote), concrete piling, steel pipe piling, steel sheet and H-piling and stone column construction, any of which, except installation of creosote piling, maybe used during construction.

For piling activities, the following mitigation measures will be implemented to prevent adverse effects to stákw (water) quality:

- Implementing the BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association's *Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations*;
- Pile cut-offs, waste or any miscellaneous unused materials will be recovered for either disposal in a designated facility or placement in storage;
- Grounding of stákw (water) borne equipment will not occur to minimize disturbance of the seabed and resuspension of sediment.;
- Silt control measures will be installed around the marine work area during pile driving;
- Pile driving equipment will be inspected daily to confirm that it is in good working order and free of leaks or excess grease that may impact stákw (water) quality; and
- If clean-out of pipe piles is required (i.e., air lifting), the sediment will be contained (e.g., on a scow) and appropriately disposed of.

To prevent the entrapment of Sekw'ekw'inéxw (wildlife), hollow pipe piles will be capped.

## 7.9 Creosote Pile Removal

A creosote pile removal plan was developed by Keystone Environmental for the marine infrastructure works conducted during the fall of 2018 (Keystone Environmental 2015; Keystone Environmental 2018) and the relevant requirements for creosote pile removal are provided below. The Contractor will develop an EPP that addresses how they will comply with these creosote pile removal requirements:

- General marine works management measures will be applied (**Section 7.2**).
- In the event of mass pile removal, efforts will be made to conduct the removal during the least-risk fisheries work window specified by DFO for the region, unless an assessment QP determines that the work will not cause serious harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) or their habitat. Similarly, should any residual single piles need to be removed, the QP will conduct an assessment, and piles will be removed according to the requirements or recommendations of the assessment.



- Creosote timber removed from site will be tracked by the Contractor.
- Piles will be removed by a slow, steady pull to minimize disturbance of seafloor habitats and to avoid bringing creosote-contaminated sediments to the surface.
- A reasonable attempt will be made to remove the entire creosote-treated pile using a vibratory hammer, clamshell bucket, cable pulls, or other method.
- Broken off creosote piles may only remain below the biologically active zone (EAC commitment 5.10-3).
- Used or decommissioned piles will be disposed of on temíxw (land) in an appropriate waste management facility (Hutton and Samis 2000).
- Absorbent booms shall be used around areas where creosote piles are removed (Hutton and Samis 2000). The booms shall remain in place until no visible evidence of wood-treatment chemicals is present.
- Works should avoid, where possible, installation of creosote piles (Hutton and Samis 2000). Promptly collect any cut wood, chips or sawdust that enters the aquatic environment (Hutton and Samis 2000).
- Cut wood in upland areas, where possible (for example, if piles need to be cut into smaller segments to go to a waste management facility (Hutton and Samis 2000).
- A sediment containment system (e.g., silt curtains) may be installed as appropriate (as determined by a QP) during piling removal to prevent the dispersion of suspended sediments.
- Decking structures will be swept prior to demolition to restrict sediment or debris from falling into the aquatic environment. Debris and sediment swept from structures will be contained and appropriately disposed of.
- Works within intertidal zones will be scheduled during low tide periods to the extent feasible as determined by the QP in consultation with the Contractor.
- Stakw (water)-based equipment (i.e., boats and barges) involved in pile removal and construction activities will be positioned in a manner that will prevent damage to the seafloor or shoreline.
- The contractor will develop an activity specific EPP that addresses how they will comply with these creosote pile removal requirements and implement BMPs as outlined by the Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR 2017).

### **7.10 Ballast Water Management – Marine Construction Vessel, Construction and Operations**

Ballast stakw (water) will potentially be generated by the MCVs, during both the construction and operation phases of work. Consistent with statements in the EA, Woodfibre LNG will comply or require its contractors to comply with all legislated shipping requirements, including those related to the management of ballast stakw (water) during construction and operation phases of work:

- Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations (Government of Canada 2021) under the *Canada Shipping Act, SC 2001, c. 26*
- International Maritime Organization Resolution A. 868(20): Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast Water to Minimize the Transfer of Harmful Aquatic Organisms and Pathogens, in particular section 7.1 (IMO 2004)
- Model Ballast Water Management Plan developed by the International Chamber of Shipping and the International Association of Independent Tanker Owners (IMO 2004)
- Regulation B-1 of the International Maritime Organization's Regulations for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments (IMO 2004)
- Part B of the Annex to Resolution MEPC.127 (53), Guidelines for Ballast Water Management and Development of Ballast Water Management Plans (IMO 2004)



Vessel owners and operators are legally responsible for ensuring that the vessels are in compliance with federal ballast stákw (water) regulations and international convention; however, the site monitor can randomly select a vessel to confirm the stákw (water) treatment has met the requirement for the stákw (water) to be discharged in Canadian coastal stákw (waters). If any MCVs do not meet applicable standards, then the ballast stákw (water) will not be permitted to be discharged unless it can be done without presenting a threat of harm to the environment. The Woodfibre LNG Environmental Representative, in consultation with vessel owner/operator, may defer the decision to Transport Canada on what would be the appropriate next steps for the vessel. Additional information with regards to ballast stákw (water) management can be found in the ballast stákw (water) management program that is in currently in consultation phase.

Woodfibre LNG acknowledges that Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) has an interest in a ballast stákw (water) Inexwantas (monitoring) program as it relates to federal Ballast Water Regulations and Woodfibre LNG's approved Ballast Water Management Plan for the Construction phase of the Project. An operations-phase Ballast Water Management Plan will require approval from Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) prior to Project commissioning. Ballast water or receiving environment stákw (water) sampling, eDNA testing, or other forms of AIS Inexwantas (monitoring) will remain under consideration during the Construction phase and may form Inexwantas (monitoring) components of the Operations Ballast Water Management Plan.

### **7.11 Seabed Disturbance and Placement of Materials**

The Contractor will develop an EPP for approval by Woodfibre LNG and will implement specific mitigation measures and environmental protection procedures for works that involve activities with potential to disturb the seabed including placement of anchors, riprap for shoreline armouring, and placement of sand and rock for sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat offsetting measures. Seabed disturbance by boats during construction may also occur from propwash directed at the seabed or shoreline including boats/ tugs moving barges supporting equipment, larger barges/ships bringing equipment to and from the Site, and boats transporting workers. The following mitigation measures for placement of materials or works that can cause disturbance of the seabed will be implemented:

- The placement of anchors in stákw (water) will occur in a manner that reduces seabed disturbance, i.e., slowly lowering anchors and chains to the seabed as opposed to dropping from the upper portion of the stákw (water) column.
- Anchors and anchor chains with the potential to cause seabed disturbance will avoid any areas where glass sponges have been identified.
- Anchors will be placed slowly and placement of anchors on the seafloor will be controlled to allow mobile organisms such as crabs and sts'úkwi7 (fish) to exhibit avoidance behaviour if present, and as such they should not be impacted.
- Use of a fully enclosed silt control measure (i.e., silt curtain) during infill and shoreline repair works, as determined by the QP, to help reduce the spread of sediment-laden stákw (waters) and reduce the deposition of sand and silt to adjacent areas. Silt curtains should be deployed around the placement area or rig, and around scows where material is deposited;



- Propeller wash, where safe to do so, will not be directed towards the seabed and will be minimised in shallow areas (<50m stakw (water) depth) through limiting amount of wash or acceleration to areas of deeper stakw (water) ~300m from shore to minimize wake and potential for scour.
- If scour is observed through the ínexwantas (monitoring) program, it must be reported and adaptive measures will be added in the form of limiting propwash flow to surficial (i.e., horizontal) flows, adding flow reduction measures around work zones including curtains or shears, or increasing the stability of the sediments through temporary or permanent caps (i.e., scour blanket).
- The placement of gravel-cobble blankets for offsetting will occur in a manner that minimizes seabed disturbance, i.e., releasing materials close to the seabed as opposed to dropping from the upper portion of the stakw (water) column.
- The placement of materials in the subtidal will occur during periods of low stakw (water) movement (to be determined during installation) and during calm weather to reduce environmental factors influencing material installation (i.e., swaying from crane).
- Slow, controlled placement of materials on the seafloor will allow mobile organisms such as crabs and sts'úkwi7 (fish) to exhibit avoidance behaviour if present, and as such they should not be impacted.

## 7.12 Upland Mitigations

Terrestrial mitigation measures (e.g., erosion prevention and sediment control) will be implemented to avoid or mitigate potential impacts to the marine environment. These mitigation measures are described in detail in the respective applicable plans (i.e., CEMP, activity specific EPPs), with key mitigations summated in this Plan for compliance with EAC condition 6.

### 7.12.1 Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control

Erosion and sediment control measures are included as part of the Project CEMP, and locations and specifications for upland sediment control facilities (e.g., silt fence, interceptor trenches) will be described in erosion and sediment control plans prepared by a Professional Engineer.

The following measures and general procedures will be implemented to reduce potential impacts to marine stakw (water) quality resulting from erosion or sedimentation:

- Effective erosion and sediment control measures are to be installed prior to the start of work scopes and must be maintained until proposed works are completed and the risk of mobilization of sediments to the marine or aquatic environment is no longer present (as determined by a QP);
- Disturbance of vegetation will be minimized to the extent required for construction as determined by the EM through consultation with the Contractor;
- When soil disturbance works are undertaken, they will be pursued to completion to limit the duration of potential effects associated with large areas of exposed soil;
- Construction activities will be planned to minimize the generation of sediment-laden stakw (water) within the work site (i.e., by staging work and/or only undertaking that portion that can be reasonably completed within a work shift);
- Soil disturbance works should be avoided during periods of heavy precipitation to minimize soil erosion and potential sedimentation of marine stakw (waters);
- Silt fencing will be installed at the toe of slopes and up-gradient of watercourses;



- Upland material stockpiles will be located outside of vegetated riparian areas, away from watercourses or the foreshore, and will be covered when not in use to prevent sediments from entering the marine or aquatic environments;
- Stormwater will be diverted around exposed work areas where possible to reduce erosion caused by overland flow; and
- Stormwater interceptor trenches will be constructed in a manner to prevent erosion/ mobilization of sediment and will include check dams at regular intervals to reduce the erosive energy of runoff.

### 7.12.2 Stormwater Management

Stormwater Management for the Project Construction phase will be addressed through implementation of the mitigation measures described in the CEMP as part of the stormwater management plan. As noted in the EA this includes:

- Stormwater that does not come into contact with the LNG facility process areas (e.g., roads, material storage areas, roof areas) will be collected in ditches and catch basins. It will then be directed through a stormwater treatment system to remove any oil and sediment prior to discharge into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).
- Surface drains and ditches constructed as part of the Project will be graded according to BMPs and vegetated or lined to minimize erosion and increase the retention time of runoff.
- Particular attention will be given to the construction methodology and design of new or upgrades to access roads to avoid the potential to alter existing drainage patterns by collecting overland drainage and concentrating it at specific locations, which may result in localized erosion.
- Stormwater that comes into contact with the LNG facility or other process areas will be captured in the new lined stormwater retention pond. The staḵw (water) will be tested for compliance with the BC WQG. If it meets guidelines, stormwater will be discharged directly into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound); if not, stormwater will be pumped to the staḵw (water) treatment plant prior to being discharged into Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound).

### 7.12.3 Waste Management Plan

Woodfibre LNG will implement a Waste Management Plan as part of the CEMP for hazardous and non-hazardous waste to mitigate potential impacts to the marine environment from waste material leaching. Generation of waste will be minimized, and waste will be properly stored and disposed of during the Construction phase of the Project.

The following measures will be adhered to prevent marine staḵw (water) quality effects related to waste:

- Used oil filters must be drained into a waste oil container and drained filters placed in an appropriate labelled container (i.e., drum) before disposal at a recycling facility or other approved facility.
- Waste-oil and antifreeze must be collected and recycled/disposed of at an approved facility.
- Concrete cuttings and wash will be collected, treated, or disposed such that the works are in compliance with Section 35 and 36 of the *Fisheries Act*.
- General waste will be contained in sealed containers or indoor garbage cans and will be removed off-Site as required.



- Used acid-lead batteries must be stored on an impervious surface, under cover, and disposed of at an approved recycling facility.
- Liquid waste, wastewater, and sewage from the Floatel will be contained and removed when necessary to an offsite disposal facility to ensure holding capacity is maintained.

### **7.13 Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Environmental Effects Monitoring**

The MFFH EEMP (Keystone Environmental, 2024b) has been developed to fulfill the follow-up Inexwantas (monitoring) requirements of the Project's FDS and details key Inexwantas (monitoring) objectives and performance indices, detailed workplans for Inexwantas (monitoring) programs, sampling and reporting frequency and duration, and decision-making criteria for future adaptations to the MFFH EEMP.

Inexwantas (monitoring) with respect to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat will be led by QPs with field data collected by the EM in the active work zone during Project-related marine construction and operation activities with the potential to adversely impact sts'úkwi7 (fish) or sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat. Results of the environmental effects Inexwantas (monitoring) program will be reported on an annual basis, ensuring compliance with applicable legislation, the predictions of the EA application and the AEA (Keystone Environmental 2023b), and confirm the effectiveness of BMPs and mitigation that has been undertaken. The results of each annual assessment will be incorporated into the Adaptive Management Program. The report will also determine compliance for the following conditions:

#### **7.13.1 FDS Condition 3.1 – Timing Windows**

The EM will verify conformity of in-water construction activities with timing windows as described in Section 7.3.

#### **7.13.2 FDS Condition 3.2 – Marine Water Quality**

The EM will verify conformity of marine stakw (water) quality mitigation for erosion control (see Section 7.12), revegetation with native plant species, use of silt control measures around in-water activities and preventing wet concrete or cement-laden stakw (water) from entering the marine environment (Section 7.7).

#### **7.13.3 FDS Condition 3.3 – Harmful Alteration, Disruption, or Destruction of Fish and Fish Habitat**

Measures to mitigate adverse environmental effects of the Project on sts'úkwi7 (fish) during the Project will be implemented as outlined in Section 7. This includes verification Inexwantas (monitoring). An EM will be on-Site throughout the in-water construction phase of the Project to ensure the efficacy of mitigation measures. Should measures not be effective (as determined based on interpretation of the collected data by a QP), the work shall be suspended with re-commencement contingent upon the application of an adaptive management strategy, whether through modification of existing mitigation measures or the implementation of additional mitigation measures.



Detailed survey and sampling methodology follows Inexwantas (monitoring) protocols established through collaboration with the TAC based on potential residual effect. Primary Inexwantas (monitoring) objectives are:

1. To assess potential changes in Pacific slhawt' (herring) habitat use for spawning and identify trends (if any) in the PPIA from pre-construction, construction and into the operational phases.
2. To assess potential changes in the abundance of Pacific slhawt' (herring) and other marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) species (e.g., juvenile salmonids, forage sts'úkwi7 (fish), pelagic sts'úkwi7 (fish)) in the PPIA from pre-construction, construction and into operational phases.
3. To assess potential changes in Cháyilhen (salmon) habitat, use and trends (spawning, rearing, migration) in the PPIA (i.e., Mill Creek) from pre-construction, construction and into operational phases.
4. To monitor potential introduction of invasive species from ballast stakw (water) exchange.

Data collection outlined in the MFFH EEMP primarily builds upon programs established prior to the Construction phase to verify accuracy of the EA and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures. Mitigation measures are presented in **Section 7**, verification sampling methods for marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat are described in the MFFH EEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024b) and the Pre-Construction Baseline Report (Keystone Environmental 2024a), which may serve as a future reference point against which data from the Construction and Operational phases can be compared.



## 8. HABITAT OFFSETTING PLAN

As outlined in the AEA for Construction Works (Keystone Environmental 2023b), the Project is expected to result in a permanent loss of marine aquatic sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat of 15,283 m<sup>2</sup> (factored area) and 12,946 m<sup>2</sup> of riparian habitat that require offsetting. To offset the HADD to sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, Woodfibre LNG is proposing to construct the offsetting areas as outlined in Section 4.2 of the FAA (DFO No. 22-HPAC-01346):

Marine offset construction and enhancement totalling 22,120 m<sup>2</sup>, including:

- 1,160 m<sup>2</sup> of cobble blanket.
- 2,463 m<sup>2</sup> of shallow rock reef.
- 10,953 m<sup>2</sup> of sand blanket with rock mounds.
- 7,544 m<sup>2</sup> deep rock reef.
- Riparian area enhancement and planting totalling 16,183 m<sup>2</sup>.

To fulfill condition 4.4.4 of the FAA, the TAC has collaboratively developed the OEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024c) to support the follow-up ínexwantas (monitoring) during and after construction within the habitat offsetting areas. Please refer to the OEMP for a detailed description of the implementation and approach to ínexwantas (monitoring) effectiveness of the offset habitats.

The objective of the habitat offsetting effectiveness ínexwantas (monitoring) is generally to monitor species abundance, diversity, and richness (e.g. marine vegetation, epifaunal and infaunal invertebrates, sts'úkwi7 (fish)) within the offsetting areas and to demonstrate a sustained net improvement in pre-construction levels, observed over multiple years. As outlined in Section 4 of the OEMP, a list of offsetting key performance indices (OKPIs) has been established, and for each OKPI, a hypothesis and general study approach has been defined.

The habitat offsetting effectiveness ínexwantas (monitoring) will be implemented following an adaptive management approach, as per condition 8 of the EAC and as described in Section 5 of the OEMP.

### 8.1 Offsetting Construction Schedule

Construction of the offsetting habitat is expected to begin throughout Project's construction phase. Offsetting measure Concept A and B will be coordinated after the toe of the shoreline revetment work has been installed. Shallow reefs in the northeast will be constructed prior to, or at the same time as the marine terminal to avoid potential future access restrictions. Offsetting measures in Area 1 will be coordinated with the decommissioning of the Floatel.

Upland riparian offsetting areas will also be coordinated near the end of Project works to avoid disturbance and allow for efficiencies. Marine riparian areas will be constructed after shoreline works are complete and upland grading finalized. Offsetting along Woodfibre and Mill Creek Creeks will be completed prior to completion of Construction. Timing of riparian area preparation and planting will be guided by the offsetting plan and specifications (see **Table 8-1**)



**Table 8-1 Estimated Construction Schedule for Offsetting Areas**

Offsetting Measure	Estimated Start	Estimated Finish	Maintenance Period	inexwantas (Monitoring) Period <sup>3</sup>
Area 1	2025	2026	2027	2027 – 2034
Area 2	2026	2027	2028	2028 – 2035
Area 3	2026	2027	2028	2028 – 2035
Area 4	2026	2027	2028	2028 – 2035
Area 5	2026	2027	2028	2028 – 2035
MR <sup>4</sup> 1-9	2026	2027	2028-2029	2027 – 2035

## 8.2 Complementary Measures

Prior to construction, Woodfibre LNG has conducted significant Site clean-up and remediation of structures and debris that are described below. Woodfibre LNG is also restoring a large area on either side of lower Mill Creek referred to as the Green Zone. The Green Zone will be restored in consultation with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) and is described in **Section 8.3.2**. While Woodfibre LNG acknowledges these complementary measures are additional to the habitat offsetting commitments outlined as part of this application, these substantial actions are important components to improving the overall health of the ecosystem and support the approach within the Offsetting Plan.

### 8.2.1 Site Remediation and Clean-up

As part of Woodfibre LNG's commitment to environmental responsibility and ensuring safety for its employees and the public, clean-up of structures associated with the former pulp mill operations have been undertaken in advance of construction of the LNG facility. The clean-up works involved the decommissioning and removal of marine structures including docks, diffusers, a warehouse, wharves, a barge ramp, pile supported concrete slabs, a bridge, can buoys and miscellaneous mooring dolphins and frames. These structures were comprised of steel, concrete, timber, and aluminum materials and included the removal of approximately 3,000 creosote treated timber piles from the marine environment.

Woodfibre LNG also completed clean-up activities involving the removal of multiple abandoned structures from the pulp mill operation within upland areas. In general, upland clean-up involved removal of buildings and structures, above-ground storage tanks and large waste/ debris removal.

### 8.2.2 The Green Zone

In collaboration with Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation), a restoration zone (Green Zone) has been delineated within the CPA. The purpose of the Green Zone is help protect and re-establish vegetation to support ecological and cultural values related to Mill Creek and to acknowledge the Project as being located on the former village of Swíyat. Swíyát and Nexwnéwu7ts Átlk'a7tsem (Howe Sound) are tied to the cultural well-being of Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) members, their ancestors, and their descendants.

<sup>3</sup> Monitoring is proposed for 5 periods over 7 years including years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 post-construction.

<sup>4</sup> MR: Marine riparian



As part of the Woodfibre and Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) EWG and following completion of construction and commissioning of the Project, a Green Zone Restoration Plan will be developed to remediate and restore Mill Creek (within the Green Zone) and the Green Zone. The objectives of this plan are anticipated to include further remediation of exposed contaminated soils as required, and measures to improve riparian habitat and instream sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat and the visual aspect within the Green Zone.

Woodfibre LNG will incorporate the Green Zone into the site layout as part of the Project design to help protect the riparian areas of Mill Creek within the Green Zone, and to re-establish a vegetated area, and will undertake the following commitments in respect of the Green Zone to satisfy Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) EAC Condition #2:

- Formally recognize that the Project is located on the former village of Swíyat by installing signage or artwork in the Green Zone, with the form, design, source and cost of this recognition being determined through engagement with the Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) and reflected in the Impact Benefit Agreement (IBA);
- Register a covenant against the temíxw (lands) within the Green Zone to ensure that, subject to the requirements mandated by any Authorization, no buildings are erected in the Green Zone and that the native vegetation contemplated in the Green Zone Restoration Plan, as defined in subsection (d), is preserved; regardless of scope of work conducted under subsection (d), Woodfibre LNG will directly pay for all costs for re-vegetation and long-term maintenance (as necessary) of the Green Zone with native plants including tree and shrub species appropriate for the Project area;
- Through the Woodfibre EWG and following completion of construction and commissioning of the Project, develop a long-term plan to remediate and restore Mill Creek (within the Green Zone) and the Green Zone. Restoration will be undertaken in accordance with a budget to be agreed in the IBA. The project may include remediation of exposed contaminated soils within the Green Zone, and/or measures to improve instream sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat (within the Green Zone); and the visual aspect of the Green Zone (the "Green Zone Restoration Plan"); and
- Work with the Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) following Project construction to restore Mill Creek (within the Green Zone) and the Green Zone in accordance with the Green Zone Restoration Plan.

### 8.3 Cost Estimate

Based on \$200/m<sup>2</sup> for marine works and \$80/m<sup>2</sup> for riparian works, the total estimated cost for construction and performance Inexwantas (monitoring) of the marine and riparian habitat offsetting concepts, inclusive of a 25% contingency, is \$6,850,000 (**Table 8-2**).

**Table 8-2 Cost Estimate for Offsetting Construction at Woodfibre LNG.**

Offsetting Measure	Area with Habitat Contingency	Cost Estimate
Area 1	11,383	\$2,048,940
Area 2	1,274	\$229,320
Area 3	4,045	\$728,100
Area 4	4,535	\$816,300
Area 5	883	\$158,940
MR1-9	16,183	\$1,051,895
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>38,303</b>	<b>\$5,033,495</b>
<b>Contingency (20%)</b>	-	<b>\$6,040,194</b>
<b>Inexwantas (Monitoring) &amp; Reporting</b>		<b>\$800,000</b>
<b>Total Cost Estimate (+/-25%)</b>	-	<b>\$6,840,194</b>



## 9. REPORTING AND COMMUNICATIONS

### 9.1 Routine Reporting

Measurements and observations related to marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, work activities, and employed mitigation measures will be recorded by the EM and will form the basis of environmental ínexwantas (monitoring) reports. The EM will be responsible for: (i) as needed daily communications including reporting of any non-compliance issues (emails), (ii) a weekly written ínexwantas (monitoring) report to the Woodfibre LNG Environmental Representative (Environmental Representative), (iii) monthly reports to the Environmental Representative and local Indigenous Groups, if requested, and (iv) an annual written report to the Environmental Representative.

In addition to immediate reporting of harm to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat values, the EM will prepare a daily email for the Project team including a high-level summary of construction activities, environmental observations, mitigation measures, potential concerns, and upcoming tasks. The weekly environmental ínexwantas (monitoring) reports will summarize construction activities and progress, in-situ marine sta̓kw (water) quality data, photographs of site conditions, sts'úkwi7 (fish) observations, the effectiveness of avoidance or mitigation measures implemented during works, environmental concerns relevant to sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, recommendations for adaptive management and other relevant environmental data (e.g., weather conditions).

Monthly reports will summarize weekly reports and be submitted to the Environmental Representative and local Indigenous Groups, if requested. An annual ínexwantas (monitoring) report will be prepared by the EM summarizing the sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) Habitat program and reports for the year. Annual reports will be shared with Sk̓wx̓wú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) and TWN for review and input.

Additional reports or summaries in the final report will review mitigation as it pertains to general works and timing windows, marine shading, underwater noise, sts'úkwi7 (fish) exclusion and salvage, Pacific slhawt' (herring) mitigation measures, AIS, and the offsetting areas.

Annual reporting requirements for the MFFH Environmental Effects Monitoring and Habitat Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring are outlined in the EEMP and OEMP respectively (Keystone Environmental 2024b; 2024c)

Offsetting plans will be posted on the Woodfibre LNG website, or other widely publicly available medium, and maintained publicly available for 25 years following the end of operations or until the end of decommissioning, whichever comes first.

### 9.2 Non-Routine Reporting

The Contractor will be responsible for reporting on environmental incidents that adversely influence marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat, including spills or release of deleterious substances. Details and requirements for the environmental incident reporting program are included in the Project CEMP.

Any non-compliance with the EAC, as amended, will be reported to BC EAO by Woodfibre LNG within 72 hours of becoming aware of any such non-compliance or immediately for any non-compliance that may cause significant adverse effect.



### 9.3 Environmental Incident Reports

The Contractor will be responsible for reporting on environmental incidents that adversely influence stakw (water) quality, including spills or release of deleterious substances. In the event of an environmental incident, the Contractor shall immediately notify the EM. Should the notice an incident first, the EM will notify the Contractor who will notify the Woodfibre LNG Environmental Representative. Woodfibre LNG will determine if external reporting is required and will be responsible for external reporting. The EM will be available to assist with external reporting, if requested by the Environmental Representative.



## 10. ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND CONTINGENCY MEASURES

Marine riparian, sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat management and ínexwantas (monitoring), including habitat offsetting effectiveness ínexwantas (monitoring), will be implemented following an adaptive management approach, as per condition 8 of the EAC and outlined herein. The adaptive management process requires that measures are implemented and purposefully evaluated and adjusted in order to achieve objectives. This plan is considered to be a living document and may be revised, as needed based on results of the ínexwantas (monitoring) program.

The purpose of the adaptive management plan (AMP) is to have a framework in place to manage outcomes during the Project Construction and operations phases that are not fully mitigated as expected by the EA review, and/or new impacts arise that are unexpected. The AMP will also allow for early detection of changes in local fisheries resources so that potentially significant negative ecosystem trends attributable to the project can be prevented or mitigated.

The AMP includes ínexwantas (monitoring) approaches to specifically identify and mitigate potential effects in the following subject areas:

- Riparian vegetation;
- Juvenile salmon migration;
- Slhawt' (herring) spawning; and
- Habitat offsetting effectiveness.

Further, consistent with EAC condition 8, this plan supports the management of outcomes during the Project phases for marine sts'úkwi7 (fish) and sts'úkwi7 (fish) habitat for where effects are not mitigated to the extent anticipated by the EA review, and/or for effects not previously predicted. This is achieved by activity specific mitigation and ínexwantas (monitoring), and routine Ínexwantas (monitoring) that is not task/activity specific and through evaluation of ínexwantas (monitoring) results documented in monthly and annual reports. The adaptive management process consists of creating management and ínexwantas (monitoring) mitigations, Ínexwantas (monitoring) for effectiveness, reviewing for improvements, adjusting mitigation and management measures.

Ínexwantas (monitoring) plans with management actions will be developed in consultation with regulatory agencies and Indigenous peoples and be incorporated into the AMP. An annual review of the results of the ínexwantas (monitoring) programs will be conducted to determine if adaptive measures are necessary and which actions may be the most effective based on current conditions. Some of the topics of concern will be difficult to establish actionable thresholds or indicators as they are dependent on external environmental factors over which Woodfibre LNG has no control. Offsetting will be monitored as part of *Fisheries Act* (FA) authorization conditions. A requirement to review the need for adaptive management is written into each ínexwantas (monitoring) plan as a feedback system.

Slhawt' (herring) spawning and juvenile salmon presence and movement are the most dependent on outside factors. Year over year changes in slhawt' (herring) spawning locations may not be attributable to the Project and results will have to be interpreted in a regional context. Similarly, juvenile salmon abundance along shorelines is variable year to year and generally attributable to external drivers.



Contingency measures that may be used as part of the AMP are identified below:

### 10.1 Juvenile Salmon Outmigration

- Deploy additional contingency offsetting measures to improve sediment health in areas where sts'úkwi7 (fish) migrate to offset low functioning mitigation measures.
- Create/ enhance man-made structures to better support marine life and enhance juvenile sts'úkwi7 (fish) rearing in areas where juvenile sts'úkwi7 (fish) migration may be altered or delayed (i.e., sts'úkwi7 (fish) hold for periods).

### 10.2 Herring Spawning

- Deploy additional contingency offsetting measures such as extending the current actively used armoured slope, to increase hard substrate, marine vegetation and slhawt' (herring) spawning capacity.

### 10.3 Shoreline Alterations within Project Footprint

Although they are not part of the habitat offsetting, the proposed work includes the addition of slope protection along the shoreline. This assessment assumes that the shoreline would become re-established upon project completion, which informs the duration of temporary effects that may occur as a result of the work (i.e. generally three years or less). Adaptive measures that will be implemented should recolonization of the shoreline not occur within three years are:

- "Seeding" slope with rocks containing the specific target species that is performing poorly (e.g. rockweed).
- Confirming there are not permanent features that are causing the impact (e.g. shading).
- Confirming the substrates are stable and not causing erosion.
- Confirming other features are not causing disruption (e.g. excess sedimentation, fresh staḵw (water) sources).
- Construct additional habitat offsetting if the new shoreline results in a greater deficit of habitat function than anticipated.

### 10.4 Habitat Offsetting

If all or a portion of the habitat offsetting is deemed unfunctional, or it is determined that more offsetting is required, the following will be executed:

- Riparian Offsetting:
  - A qualified professional will determine if alterations to the design can be conducted to achieve the original design requirements. This may include replanting with species best adapted to site survivorship, soil enhancement in case of poor regrowth due to soil nutrient levels, or temporary watering in case of drought.
  - It is extremely unlikely that riparian planting cannot be converted to functional habitat with the correct design and implementation, but in that unlikely scenario of the riparian area not being able to sustain life, the proponent would need to dedicate a portion of the shoreline to riparian planting within 30m of the shḵweñ (ocean) onsite, giving up a portion of the facility to do so (for example, **Figure 9-1**).



➤ Cobble Blanket:

- A qualified professional will determine if alterations to the design can be conducted to achieve the original design requirements.
- Conduct "seeding" involving transplanting rocks with the target species to the cobble blanket to accelerate growth.
- Construct more of another type of habitat that is functioning within the offsetting area.
- Construct additional cobble offsetting to the southwest of the site within bare areas of foreshore that are not functioning as intended (for example, **Figure 9-2**).

➤ Shallow Reef:

- A qualified professional will determine if alterations to the design can be conducted to achieve the original design requirements.
- Conduct "seeding" involving transplanting rocks with the target species to the cobble blanket to accelerate growth.
- Construct more of another type of habitat that is functioning within the offsetting area.
- Construct additional cobble offsetting to the southwest of the site within bare areas of foreshore that are not functioning as intended (for example, **Figure 9-2**).

➤ Sand Blanket:

- A qualified professional will determine if alterations to the design can be conducted to achieve the original design requirements.
- Conduct "seeding" involving transplanting rocks with the target species to the cobble blanket to accelerate growth.
- Construct more of another type of habitat that is functioning within the offsetting area.
- Construct additional cobble offsetting to the southwest of the site within bare areas of foreshore that are not functioning as intended (for example, **Figure 9-2**).

➤ Deep Reef:

- A qualified professional will determine if alterations to the design can be conducted to achieve the original design requirements.
- Conduct "seeding" involving transplanting rocks with the target species to the cobble blanket to accelerate growth.
- Construct more of another type of habitat that is functioning within the offsetting area.
- Construct additional cobble offsetting to the southwest of the site within bare areas of foreshore that are not functioning as intended (for example, **Figure 9-2**).





**Figure 9-1 Contingency Riparian Offsetting**





Figure 9-2 Potential Contingency Offsetting Location



## 10.5 Habitat Offsetting Metrics Effectiveness Monitoring

The habitat offsetting effectiveness monitoring will be implemented following a stepwise adaptive management approach and is described in detail in Section 5 of the OEMP (Keystone Environmental 2024c).

If in any year (ínexwantas (monitoring) identifies concerns regarding offsetting function, or there are concerns with the effectiveness of a proposed ínexwantas (monitoring) method, the QP will be responsible for proposing a corrective action and implementation timeline within 30 days of the concern being identified.

The proposed corrective action and implementation timeline will be reviewed in collaboration by Woodfibre LNG and Skwxwú7mesh Úxwumixw (Squamish Nation) through the TAC. A contingency plan will be developed to address the cause and corrective actions (s) and will be implemented within one calendar year of determining the reason for failure, subject to approval from DFO.

## 10.6 Confirmation of Shoreline Protection Efforts

As assumption of the effects analysis is that portions of the shoreline will result in a temporary loss of habitat function until intertidal algae and invertebrates can recolonize the shoreline. Although the habitat offsetting proposed is entirely outside of the project footprint, the project footprint should also be monitored for effectiveness in re-establishment of these marine organisms to justify the proposed temporary effects stated in the habitat balance sheet. Therefore, the same habitat surveys conducted for the cobble blanket shall be conducted for the existing shoreline in areas where recolonization was assumed in the habitat balance sheet and should be evaluated during the same years as the ínexwantas (monitoring) for the cobble blanket.

If it is determined that there is a deficit of habitat, a qualified professional will determine if alterations to the slope can be made to restore habitat function. If function cannot be restored, additional habitat will be constructed based on an updated habitat balance sheet.

## 10.7 Floatel #2

All Floatel #2 activities will be subject to on-site environmental ínexwantas (Monitoring) by a qualified EM under the direction of a QEP. The EM will verify implementation of all Floatel specific mitigation measures, including anchor placement, chain tensioning, and exclusion systems. If ínexwantas (monitoring) identifies exceedances or ineffective mitigation, works will be temporarily halted until additional measures are implemented and approved. Additional Floatel #2 specific measures are described in section 7.1.5.



## 11. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Woodfibre LNG is committed to sharing information with and engaging the public throughout the life of the Project. Woodfibre LNG will maintain an up to date and publicly available website to communicate information as required to promote public awareness, ensure safety in the project area, manage potential impacts of the Project on all stakeholders and to maintain a relationship with the community.

The following email address is available to allow for direct feedback to Woodfibre LNG:

[regulatory@wlng.ca](mailto:regulatory@wlng.ca)

Woodfibre LNG will maintain a record of information related to the implementation of the conditions set out in the FDS reissued under Section 54 of the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* (2012) regarding the Decision Statement, and the results of all associated ínexwantas (monitoring), including:

- The place, date and time of any sampling, as well as techniques, methods or procedures used;
- The dates and the analyses that were performed;
- The analytical techniques, methods or procedures used in the analyses;
- The names of the persons who collected and analyzed each sample and documentation of any professional certification(s) relevant to the work performed that they might possess; and
- Results of the analyses.

This information will be made available for 25 years following the end of operation or until the end of decommissioning of the Project, whichever comes first, and includes;

- Training records will be retained by those providing training on this plan, Woodfibre LNG and the Contractor;
- Records of consultation regarding the development of this plan;
- Results of ínexwantas (monitoring) including QA/QC results; and
- Reports of staḵw (water) quality guideline exceedances, if any.



## 12. PROFESSIONAL STATEMENT

This plan has been prepared by Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental), based on information available as of the date of issuance and is intended solely for use by Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership (Client), pursuant to the agreement between Keystone Environmental and Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership in accordance with Master Site Services Agreement. The findings, conclusions, and recommendations provided in this plan are based on professional judgment and available data at the time of preparation. Changes in site conditions, regulatory frameworks, and/or additional data may impact the findings. This plan must be read and referenced in its entirety and must not be used for purposes beyond the original stated intent without prior written approval from Keystone Environmental.

Findings and conclusions are based on the following, as described in this document:

- Site surveys and observations limited spatially and temporally as indicated in this document;
- Information and/or data provided by the client, regulatory agencies, and/or third-party sources;
- Information obtained during a desktop review; and,
- Current applicable environmental regulations and industry standards as referenced.

This document reflects conditions and regulations as of the date of issuance. Keystone Environmental has relied on the accuracy and completeness of data provided by others. While due diligence has been exercised to establish a reasonable level of confidence that the information provided is valid, Keystone Environmental has not independently verified third-party information.

Site conditions presented in this document are based on extrapolated data sources, as outlined herein. Findings and conclusions have been developed with a level of care and professional judgment consistent with industry standards and practices applicable at the time and location of the work. This document should be interpreted in the context of the inherent limitations associated with the data sources and methods described.

In accordance with the Master Site Services Agreement between Keystone Environmental and Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership, the client has agreed to limit the liability for Keystone Environmental and to further modifications to its legal rights.

By using this document, the client acknowledges and accepts such limitations and modifications.

Original authors of this report include Spencer Quimby, James Slogan and Duncan Clark. This report has been reviewed and updated since the original versions with revisions by Caitlin Belz, Matt Husband, Varsha Rani, Nolan White, Carlos Moreno Rios, Azadeh Gheibi, Duncan Clark and Warren Appleton. Duncan Clark is the professional of record for this report.

\_\_\_\_\_  
January 8, 2026

Date

**Keystone Environmental Ltd.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Duncan Clark, R.P.Bio.**  
**Project Manager/ Team Lead, Biological Services**



### 13. REFERENCES

- BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association (BCMPDCA) and Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). 2003. Best Management Practices for Pile Driving and Related Operations. BC Marine and Pile Driving Contractors Association. Available at: <https://projects.eao.gov.bc.ca/api/document/5887e34fad20ac134d916367/fetch> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- BC Ministry of Environment (BC ENV). 2018a. BC Approved Water Quality Guidelines: Aquatic Life, Wildlife and Agricultural. Available at: [https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/waterquality/water-quality-guidelines/approved-wqgs/wqg\\_summary\\_aquaticlife\\_wildlife\\_agri.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/waterquality/water-quality-guidelines/approved-wqgs/wqg_summary_aquaticlife_wildlife_agri.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- BC Ministry of Environment (BC ENV). 2018b. BC Working Water Quality Guidelines: Aquatic Life, Wildlife and Agricultural. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/waterquality/water-quality-guidelines/bc\\_working\\_water\\_quality\\_guidelines.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/waterquality/water-quality-guidelines/bc_working_water_quality_guidelines.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- BC Oil and Gas Commission (BCOGC). 2024. Environmental Protection and Management Guide. Available at: <chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.bc-er.ca/files/operations-documentation/Environmental-Management/Environmental-Protection-and-Management-Guideline.pdf> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME). 1999a. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life Available at: <https://ccme.ca/en/resources/water-aquatic-life> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Cooper, J. 1982. Methods of reducing mortality of seabirds caused by underwater blasting. Marine Ornithology 10: 109 – 114. Available at: <http://www.marineornithology.org/article?rn=99> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- COSEWIC. 2007. COSEWIC assessment and status report on Roughey rockfish species types I and II in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/roughey-rockfish-species-types-1-2.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- COSEWIC. 2007. COSEWIC assessment and status report on Longspine Thornyhead (*Sebastolobus altivelis*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/roughey-rockfish-species-types-1-2.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



- COSEWIC. 2009. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Northern abalone (*Haliotis kamtschatkana*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/northern-abalone-2009.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2024)
- COSEWIC. 2013. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/green-sturgeon-appraisal-summary-2013.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2024)
- COSEWIC. 2020. COSEWIC assessment and status report on the Yelloweye Rockfish (*Sebastes ruberrimus*) in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/yelloweye-rockfish-2020.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2024)
- COSEWIC. 2022. COSEWIC Status Appraisal Summary on the Leatherback Sea Turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*) Pacific population in Canada 2022. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry/cosewic-assessments-status-reports/leatherback-sea-turtle-pacific-2022.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Demarchi, M. W., Bentley, M. D. 2004. Effects of Natural and Human-Caused Disturbances on Marine Birds and Pinnipeds at Race Rocks, British Columbia. Available at: <https://racerocks.ca/2003/11/15/> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). 1992-1993. Land Development Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Habitat. Habitat Management Division of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and the Integrated Management Branch of the Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks, Vancouver, B.C. Available at: <chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://waves-vagues.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/library-bibliotheque/165353.pdf> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). 2014. British Columbia Marine/Estuarine Timing Windows for the Protection of Fish and Fish Habitat - South Coast and Lower Fraser Areas. Available at: <https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/timing-periodes/bc-s-eng.html#area-28> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). 2019. Measures to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat. Available at: <https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/measures-mesures-eng.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO). 2023. Code of practice: Clear span bridges. Available at: <https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/pnw-ppe/codes/clear-span-bridges-ponts-portee-libre-eng.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



Global Invasive Species Database (GISD). 2022. Developed by the Invasive Species Specialist Group of the Species Survival Commission of the International Union for Conservation of Nature. Available at: <https://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Government of British Columbia. 1988. Environmental Management Act, The Hazardous Waste Regulation. Last amended March 6, 2024 by B.C. Reg 42/2024. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/crbcrbc/63\\_88\\_multi](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/crbcrbc/63_88_multi)(Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Government of Canada. 2002. The Species at Risk Act. Available at: <https://laws.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/s-15.3/> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Government of Canada 2011, Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations under the Canada Shipping Act, RSC 1985, c.S-9. Available at: <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations/sor-2011-237/20170213/P1TT3xt3.html> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Government of Canada. 2021. Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations (SOR/2011-237). Available at: <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/regulations/sor-2011-237/> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Government of Canada (GOC). 2023. Species at Risk Public Registry. Available at: <https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/species-risk-public-registry.html>. (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Green, R. N., Klinka, K. 1994. A field guide for site identification and interpretation for the Vancouver Forest Region. Land Management Handbook No. 28. Ministry of Forests, Research Branch, Victoria, B.C. Available at: <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hfd/pubs/docs/lmh/lmh28.htm> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Hatfield, T., Lewis, A., Ohlson, D., Bradford, M. 2003. Development of instream flow thresholds as guidelines for reviewing proposed water uses. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/phase2\\_instreamflow\\_thresholds\\_guidelines.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/phase2_instreamflow_thresholds_guidelines.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

Hutton, K. E., and S. C. Samis. 2000. Guidelines to Protect Fish and Fish Habitat from Treated Wood Used in Aquatic Environments in the Pacific Region. Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Available at: [https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection\\_2014/mpo-dfo/Fs97-6-2314-eng.pdf](https://publications.gc.ca/collections/collection_2014/mpo-dfo/Fs97-6-2314-eng.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)

International Maritime Organization (IMO). 2004. Guidelines and Guidance Documents Related to the Implementation of the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ship's Ballast Water. Available at: <https://www.imo.org/en/OurWork/Environment/Pages/BWMConventionandGuidelines.aspx> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



- International Maritime Organization Resolution. 2004. A. 868(20): Guidelines for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast Water to Minimize the Transfer of Harmful Aquatic Organisms and Pathogens, in particular section 7.1. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/documents\\_staticpost/cearref\\_21799/86129/International\\_Convention.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/documents_staticpost/cearref_21799/86129/International_Convention.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Keple, A., R. 2002. Seasonal abundance and distribution of marine mammals in the southern Strait of Georgia, British Columbia. Available at: <https://open.library.ubc.ca/soa/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/831/items/1.0074860> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2015. Removal of Creosote Treated Timber Piles – Former Squamish Pulp Mill, Woodfibre, BC. April 27, 2015
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2018. Environmental Management Plan Revision 3 – Marine Infrastructure Removal, Woodfibre, BC. November 2018.
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2023a. Marine Water Quality Management and Monitoring Plan for Construction. Prepared for Woodfibre LNG. October 27, 2023.
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2023b. Aquatic Effects Assessment for Construction Works report. Prepared for Woodfibre LNG. June 19, 2023.
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2024a. Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Pre-Construction Baseline Report Revision 3 (Project No. 18785-109). Prepared for Woodfibre LNG Ltd., July 2024. [18785 240717 MFFH Baseline Existing Conditions Summary Report-Rev3.pdf](#)
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2024b. Marine Fish and Fish Habitat Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan Revision 3 (Project No. 18785-109). Prepared for Woodfibre LNG Ltd., July 2024. [18785 240717 MFFH EEMP Rev 3.pdf](#)
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2024c. Detailed Habitat Offsetting Effectiveness Monitoring Plan Revision 2 (Project No. 18785-109). Prepared for Woodfibre LNG Ltd., July 2024. [18785 240705 WLNG Detailed OEMP-Rev2 1.pdf](#)
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. 2024d. (Keystone Environmental). Marine Drop Camera Biophysical Survey (Project No. 18785-104). Prepared for Woodfibre LNG Ltd., May 2024.
- Keystone Environmental Ltd. (Keystone Environmental). 2025. Aquatic Affects Assessment, Second Floating Worker Accomodation (Floatel #2), Woodfibre LNG Project, Woodfibre, Skwxwú7mesh (Squamish), British Columbia. Prepared for Woodfibre LNG Limited Partnership. Project No. 20327. September 2025.
- Lewis, A., Hatfield, T., Chilibeck, B., Roberts, C. 2004. Assessment Methods for Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Characteristics in Support of Applications to Dam, Divert, or Extract Water from Streams in British Columbia. Available at: [https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/assessment\\_methods\\_instreamflow\\_in\\_bc.pdf](https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/wld/documents/bmp/assessment_methods_instreamflow_in_bc.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2006a. Best Management Practices for Installation and Maintenance of Water Line Intakes. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/okanagan/documents/BMPIntakes\\_WorkingDraft.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://www.env.gov.bc.ca/okanagan/documents/BMPIntakes_WorkingDraft.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2006b. Guidelines for Reduced Risk Instream Work Windows. B.C. Ministry of Environment, Lower Mainland Region. Available at: [https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/working-around-water/work\\_windows\\_low\\_main.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/air-land-water/water/working-around-water/work_windows_low_main.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Ministry of Environment (MOE). 2014. Develop with Care Environmental Guidelines for Urban and Rural Land Development in British Columbia. Available at: <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/laws-policies-standards-guidance/best-management-practices/develop-with-care> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection (MWLAP). 2004. Standards and Best Practices for Instream Works. WLAP BMP Series, BC Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection, Victoria, B.C. Available at: [https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/bc-timber-sales/ems-sfm-certification/business-area/kamloops/standards\\_bmp\\_for\\_instream\\_works\\_2004.pdf](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/forestry/bc-timber-sales/ems-sfm-certification/business-area/kamloops/standards_bmp_for_instream_works_2004.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Resource Inventory Standards Committee (RISC). 1997. British Columbia Fish Collection Methods and Standards. Version 4.0. January 1997. Available at: <https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/environment/natural-resource-stewardship/nr-laws-policy/risc/fishml04.pdf> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Stantec. 2023a. Woodfibre LNG Project: Marine Mammal Management and Monitoring Plan (Rev 2). Prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. for the account of Woodfibre LNG. August 15, 2023.
- Stantec. 2023b. Stormwater Management Plan for Construction. Woodfibre Liquefied Natural Gas (WLNG) Project. Issued for Permit. June 30, 2023. Project Number 123221907. Doc Number 350106-SC-EN-013-SD-000018. Revision 8. SDR Code A17.
- Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). 2017. Washington Department of Natural Resources Derelict Creosote Piling Removal Best Management Practices for Pile Removal & Disposal. Updated 1/25/2017. Available at: [chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://dnr.wa.gov/sites/default/files/2025-03/aqr\\_rest\\_creosote\\_bmps\\_pilings.pdf](chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://dnr.wa.gov/sites/default/files/2025-03/aqr_rest_creosote_bmps_pilings.pdf) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Wildlife Act (RSBC 1996, c. 488). Consolidated Statutes of British Columbia. Available at: [https://www.canlii.org/en/bc/laws/stat/rsbc-1996-c-488/latest/rsbc-1996-c-488.html#:~:text=2%20\(1\)%20Ownership%20in%20all,\(3\)%20of%20this%20section.](https://www.canlii.org/en/bc/laws/stat/rsbc-1996-c-488/latest/rsbc-1996-c-488.html#:~:text=2%20(1)%20Ownership%20in%20all,(3)%20of%20this%20section.) (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



- Woodfibre LNG Limited (Woodfibre LNG). 2015. Application for an Environmental Assessment Certificate – Section 22.0: Summary of Mitigation Measures. Submitted to the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office. Available at: <https://www.projects.eao.gov.bc.ca/api/public/document/58869184e036fb0105768faa/download/Section%2022.0%20Summary%20of%20Mitigation%20Measures.pdf> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)
- Wright, D. G., and G. E. Hopky. 1998. Guidelines for the use of explosives in or near Canadian fisheries waters. Technical Report, Fisheries and Oceans Canada. Available at: <https://publications.gc.ca/collections/Collection/Fs97-6-2107E.pdf> (Accessed on December 31, 2025)



## **APPENDIX A**

### **FLOATTEL #2 ENGINEERING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS**

# BRIDGEMANS

## WOODFIBRE LNG SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

### DRAWING LIST

DRAWING NO.

DESCRIPTION

1220247-P02-00-DWG-1000	COVER SHEET AND DRAWING LIST
1220247-P02-02-DWG-1001	DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1002	KEY PLAN AND LOCATION PLAN
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING PLAN
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1004	SPARE
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1005	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 1
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1006	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 2
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1007	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 3
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1008	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 4
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1009	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 5
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1010	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 6
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1011	BERTHING STRUCTURES – MOORING LINE SECTIONS – SHEET 7
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1015	BERTHING STRUCTURES – STEEL ANCHORAGE DETAILS
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1020	TRANSFER BARGE AND GANGWAY PLAN
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1021	TRANSFER BARGE AND GANGWAY SECTIONS
1220247-P02-00-DWG-1022	GANGWAY ABUTMENT DETAILS

Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1000-1001.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:24pm Plotted: 2025/07/28

<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> <b>PRELIMINARY</b>            DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION            Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:24pm         </div>										<b>BRIDGEMANS</b>		<b>WESTMAR ADVISORS</b>	
<small>The information in this drawing are for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly agrees. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.</small>										<b>WOODFIBRE LNG SECOND FLOATEL BERTH</b>		<b>COVER SHEET AND DRAWING LIST</b>	
DRAWING SCALE SHOWN		PROJECT NUMBER 1220247-P02		DRAWING NUMBER 00-DWG-1000		REV. C							

**DESIGN CRITERIA:**

**1.0 SCOPE OF WORK:**

1.1 WESTMAR ADVISORS INC'S SCOPE OF WORK FOR THE WOODFIBRE SECOND FLOATEL BERTH IS LIMITED TO THE DESIGN OF THE ABUTMENT, DESIGN OF THE GANGWAY TO ABUTMENT CONNECTIONS AND MOORING SYSTEMS COMING TO THE FLOATEL AND SPUD BARGE. DESIGN OF THE CONNECTIONS TO THE FLOATEL AND SPUD BARGE IS BY OTHERS. THE GANGWAYS, FLOATEL AND SPUD BARGE ARE SUPPLIED BY THE OWNER.

**2.0 DESIGN STANDARDS**

2.1 DESIGN OF WOODFIBRE SECOND FLOATEL BERTH IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING CODES AND STANDARDS:

- BRITISH COLUMBIA BUILDING CODE 2018
- CSA A23.3-19: DESIGN OF CONCRETE STRUCTURES
- CSA S6-19: CANADIAN HIGHWAY BRIDGE DESIGN CODE
- CSA S16-19: DESIGN OF STEEL STRUCTURES
- CSA W59-18: WELDED STEEL CONSTRUCTION (METAL ARC WELDING)

**3.0 DESIGN SERVICE LIFE**

3.1 THE WOODFIBRE SECOND FLOATEL BERTH IS A TEMPORARY FACILITY WITH AN OPERATIONAL PERIOD OF 24 MONTHS OR THE DURATION OF ON-SITE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, WHICHEVER IS LESS. DURABILITY CRITERIA FOR DESIGN OF THE FLOATEL BERTH IS BASED ON THIS DESIGN LIFE OF 24 MONTHS. THE DESIGN SERVICE LIFE IS SUBJECT TO PERIODIC INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE STRUCTURE AS REQUIRED.

- ROUTINE INSPECTIONS FOR DETERIORATION AND DAMAGE.
- REPAIRS OF DETERIORATED AND DAMAGED AREAS.
- ROUTINE INSPECTION FOR DETERIORATION AND DAMAGE SHALL BE PERFORMED AT SIX MONTH INTERVALS.
- EXTRAORDINARY INSPECTIONS SHALL BE PERFORMED UNDER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES. (I.E. VESSEL IMPACTS, STORMS, ETC.)
- ROUTINE INSPECTIONS DO NOT REPLACE THE REGULAR INSPECTIONS BY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL. ANY ANOMALIES IDENTIFIED BY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY.

THIS TEMPORARY FACILITY WILL BE DECOMMISSIONED AFTER ON-SITE CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETED. THE OWNER SHALL RETAIN A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN BRITISH COLUMBIA TO REVIEW THE FACILITY IF THE DESIGN LIFE WILL EXCEED 24 MONTHS.

3.2 THE RETURN PERIOD OF THE EXTREME LEVEL DESIGN STORM IS 5 YEARS.

3.3 THE RETURN PERIOD OF THE NORMAL OPERATING LEVEL STORM IS 1 YEAR.

**4.0 PROJECT DATUM, TIDAL ELEVATIONS AND TOPOGRAPHY/BATHYMETRY**

4.1 ALL ELEVATIONS ARE IN METERS AND REFERENCED TO GEODETIC DATUM.

4.2 TIDAL ELEVATIONS FOR THE SITE ARE BASED ON THE BASIS OF DESIGN, McDERMOTT (2022) AND ON POINT ATKINSON STATION (2025) AS FOLLOWS:

	CHART DATUM	GEODETIC DATUM
HIGHER HIGH WATER LEVEL (LARGE TIDE):	+5.2 m	+2.0 m
HIGHER HIGH WATER LEVEL (MEAN TIDE):	+4.5 m	+1.3 m
MEAN WATER LEVEL (MWL):	+3.2 m	0.0 m
LOWER LOW WATER LEVEL (MEAN TIDE):	+1.2 m	-2.0 m
LOWER LOW WATER LEVEL (LARGE TIDE):	+0.0 m	-3.2 m

THE CONVERSION FROM CHART TO GEODETIC DATUM IS -3.2m.

4.3 EXTREME CONDITION:

THE MOORING LINE LOADS ARE DETERMINED FOR THE 1 IN 5-YEAR STORM IN COMBINATION WITH HIGHER HIGH WATER AND LOWER LOW WATER LEVELS.

**5.0 GEOTECHNICAL CONDITIONS**

5.1 TO COME.

**6.0 SNOW**

6.1 SNOW LOADS FOR SQUAMISH AS PUBLISHED IN THE BC BUILDING CODE ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- Ss: 2.8 kPa
- Sr: 0.7 kPa

**7.0 WIND**

7.1 EXTREME CONDITION:

THE 1 IN 5-YEAR 60-SECOND GUST WIND SPEED USED FOR THE EXTREME CONDITION IS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF 30 YEARS OF WIND DATA FOR PAM ROCKS STATION AND WINDS RECORDED ON THE BRIDGE OF MV ISABELLE X BY CMO CONSULTANTS LTD. AND IS 54 KNOTS FOR INFLOW WINDS FROM 170 DEGREES TO 190 DEGREES, AND 34.5 KNOTS FOR ALL OTHER WIND DIRECTIONS AS PER WSP (2023) METEOCEAN DATA - UPDATE.

7.2 NORMAL OPERATING CONDITION:

THE NORMAL OPERATING CONDITION OF A 1-YEAR RETURN PERIOD EVENT IS DETERMINED TO BE A STEADY WIND SPEED OF 18.5 m/s (36 KNOTS), WITH A 60-SECOND GUST OF 23 m/s (45 KNOTS) FOR INFLOW WINDS BASED ON WIND PRESSURES FOR SQUAMISH IN THE BC BUILDING CODE, AND FOR ALL OTHER DIRECTIONS THE STEADY WIND SPEED IS ESTIMATED AS A STEADY WIND SPEED OF 12.5 m/s (25 KNOTS), WITH A 60-SECOND GUST OF 15 m/s (30 KNOTS) BASED ON THE WIND SPEEDS RECORDED BY MV ISABELLE X.

**8.0 WAVES**

8.1 THE WAVES FOR THE 1-YEAR AND 5-YEAR RETURN PERIOD (RP) EVENTS ARE FROM THE OFFSHORE LOCATION IN "WOODFIBRE LNG PROJECT METEOCEAN ANALYSIS", MOFFATT & NICHOL, 2015, AND "BASIS OF DESIGN", McDERMOTT, 2022, AS PROVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE WHERE THE WAVE HEIGHTS IN THE OUTFLOW WAVES ARE REDUCED BY 50% TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE RESULTS IN THE WSP REPORT "ANALYSIS OF WAVE BUOY MEASUREMENTS AT W LNG FST BERTH", 2024:

RETURN PERIOD (YEARS)	INFLOW WINDS		OUTFLOW WINDS	
	Hs (m)	Tp (s)	Hs (m)	Tp (s)
1	1.4	4.3	0.75	4.4
5	1.9	4.9	0.85	4.6

Hs IS THE SIGNIFICANT WAVE HEIGHT, WHICH IS DEFINED AS THE AVERAGE OF THE HIGHEST 33% OF THE WAVES IN A SEA STATE.

Tp IS THE PEAK SPECTRAL WAVE PERIOD, WHICH IS DEFINED AS THE MOST ENERGETIC WAVES IN A SEA STATE.

**9.0 CURRENTS**

9.1 THE DESIGN TIDAL AND WIND DRIVEN CURRENT SPEED FOR THE PROJECT SITE IS 0.4 m/s (0.8 KNOTS) AND IS PARALLEL TO THE SHORE AS PER WSP (2024).

9.2 THE 5-YEAR CURRENT FROM WOODFIBRE CREEK IS A MAXIMUM OF 0.4 m/s (0.8 KNOTS) AT THE STERN OF THE MV SAGA AS DETERMINED BY A HYDRODYNAMIC MODEL OF THE CREEK BY CMO, AND IS PERPENDICULAR TO THE SHORELINE.

**10.0 SEISMIC LOADS**

10.1 GIVEN THE TEMPORARY NATURE OF THE FLOATEL BERTH, SEISMIC LOADS HAVE NOT BEEN CONSIDERED IN DESIGN. THE PROBABILITY OF A SEISMIC EVENT OCCURRING DURING THE 24 MONTH DESIGN LIFE OF THE FERRY TERMINAL IS CONSIDERED TO BE LOW AND IS NOT EXPECTED TO TRIGGER CATASTROPHIC FAILURE.

**11.0 DEAD LOAD**

11.1 THE DEAD LOAD INCLUDES THE WEIGHT OF ALL MATERIALS OF CONSTRUCTION.

**12.0 LIVE LOAD**

12.1 UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED LIVE LOAD DUE TO OCCUPANCY: 4.8 kPa

**GENERAL NOTES:**

**1.0 GENERAL**

- 1.1 REQUIREMENTS FOR MATERIALS, FABRICATION AND INSTALLATION ARE DESCRIBED IN THIS SECTION.
- 1.2 ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 1.3 THE DIMENSIONS AND ELEVATIONS OF EXISTING STRUCTURES INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS ARE BASED ON REFERENCE INFORMATION. THESE DIMENSIONS AND ELEVATIONS ARE THEREFORE SUBJECT TO VARIATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY CRITICAL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO FABRICATION OR PROCUREMENT OF MATERIAL. SHOULD THERE BE ANY DISCREPANCY BETWEEN THE DIMENSIONS SHOWN ON THE DRAWING AND THE ACTUAL DIMENSIONS MEASURED ON SITE, THE ENGINEER MUST BE INFORMED IMMEDIATELY.
- 1.4 ITEMS THAT ARE INCIDENTAL TO THE WORK SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO TEMPORARY REMOVAL AND REINSTATEMENT OF HARDWARE, UTILITIES AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACTOR'S PRICING. ALL SECURING HARDWARE REQUIRED TO BE REMOVED TO ALLOW FOR INSTALLATION OF THE WORK SHALL BE REINSTATED AS ORIGINAL, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE OR AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 1.5 THE STRUCTURES DEPICTED ON THE DRAWINGS ARE BASED ON ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO DETERMINE IF ANY MODIFICATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE ORIGINAL STRUCTURES WILL MAKE INSTALLATION MORE DIFFICULT THAN SHOWN. CONTRACTOR SHALL SEEK APPROVAL FROM THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO PROCEEDING WITH ANY WORK CONSIDERED BY THE CONTRACTOR TO BE MORE DIFFICULT AS A RESULT OF MODIFICATIONS FOUND ON SITE BUT NOT SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS. NO COMPENSATION SHALL BE GIVEN FOR FAILURE TO DO SO.

**2.0 DEMOLITION AND CLEAN-UP**

- 2.1 TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO CONTAIN THE DEMOLITION WITHIN THE LIMITS DESIGNATED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING STRUCTURES.
- 2.2 ANY DAMAGE INCURRED IN THE EXECUTION OF THE WORK TO ANY PART OF THE PROPERTY OR STRUCTURE NOT SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED FOR DEMOLITION SHALL BE REPAIRED, REPLACED AND/OR RECONSTRUCTED BY THE CONTRACTOR AT NO COST TO THE OWNER.
- 2.3 REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF ALL DEMOLISHED MATERIAL OFF SITE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL MUNICIPAL, PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS.
- 2.4 UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK, REMOVE ALL DEBRIS AND SURPLUS MATERIAL FROM SITE. LEAVE THE WORK AREA IN A CLEAN AND NEAT CONDITION TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER.

**3.0 CONCRETE LOCK BLOCKS**

- 3.1 CONCRETE MATERIALS AND METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO CSA A23.1.
- 3.2 CONCRETE SHALL BE EXPOSURE CLASS C-1 AND SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 35 MPa AT 28 DAYS, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 3.3 GROUT SHALL BE CEMENTITIOUS GROUT WITH MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 50 MPa AT 7 DAYS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. SUBMIT PROPOSED PRODUCT FOR APPROVAL BY THE ENGINEER.
- 3.4 MINIMUM CONCRETE COVER TO REINFORCING SHALL BE 75mm, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 3.5 PROVIDE 25mm CHAMFERS ALONG ALL EXPOSED EDGES AND 25mm FILLETS AT RE-ENTRANT CORNERS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 3.6 ALL FORMWORK TIES, BOLTS AND OTHER METAL SHALL BE REMOVED OR CUT BACK 40mm FROM THE CONCRETE SURFACE. ALL TIE HOLES, HONEYCOMBS AND VOIDS SHALL BE REPAIRED WITH A PATCHING MORTAR APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 3.7 THE TOP SURFACE OF ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE GIVEN A LIGHT BROOM FINISH FOR SLIP RESISTANCE.

**4.0 STRUCTURAL STEEL**

- 4.1 FABRICATE AND ERECT STRUCTURAL STEEL TO CSA S16.
- 4.2 ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO CSA G40.21 WITH THE FOLLOWING GRADES, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ON THE DRAWINGS:  
  
ROLLED SECTIONS AND PLATE: 300W
- 4.3 MISCELLANEOUS PIPE FOR METAL FABRICATIONS SHALL CONFORM TO ASTM 53, GRADE B.
- 4.4 WELDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA W59.
- 4.5 WELDING ELECTRODES SHALL BE GRADE E49XX (E70XX).
- 4.6 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, MINIMUM WELD SHALL BE 6mm FILLET WELD. ALL WELDS SHALL BE SEAL WELDS.
- 4.7 CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS TO ENGINEER FOR REVIEW AT LEAST THREE DAYS PRIOR TO THE START OF FABRICATION.
- 4.8 ALL BOLTS, NUTS AND WASHERS SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F3125, GRADE A325, TYPE 1 OF NORTH AMERICAN OR EUROPEAN MANUFACTURE, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 4.9 ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL, BOLTS, THREADBAR ANCHORS, NUTS AND WASHERS SHALL BE HOT-DIP GALVANIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM A123/A123M.

**5.0 STRUCTURAL FILL**

- 5.1 DISTURBED SOIL SHALL BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 98% OF MODIFIED PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY BEFORE BACKFILLING.
- 5.2 BACKFILL MATERIAL AND INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO BRIDGE END FILL AS SPECIFIED IN BC MOTI STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION. BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE COMPACTED IN LIFTS OF LESS THAN 300mm AND TO MIN. 98% PROCTOR DENSITY.


**6.0 RIPRAP**

- 6.1 RIPRAP USED ON THE EXPOSED SURFACES OF THE EMBANKMENT FILLS IS CLASS 100 Kg. RIPRAP IN ACCORDANCE TO BC MOTI STANDARDS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:
  - APPROXIMATE AVERAGE DIMENSION - 450mm, 100 Kg PARTICLES.
  - THE RIPRAP FILL SHALL BE A CLEAN, UNIFORMLY GRADED ANGULAR ROCK MATERIAL HAVING THE FOLLOWING GRADATION CHARACTERISTICS:
    - 85% > 10 Kg, 50% > 100 Kg, 15% > 300 Kg

**7.0 PROCEDURE FOR SETTING THE ANCHORS**

- 7.1 THE LOCATION OF THE ANCHOR SHALL BE PLACED TO AN ACCURACY OF PLUS OR MINUS 1.0m.
- 7.2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CLEAR ALL RIPRAP AND OTHER DEBRIS FROM THE LANDING WINDOW AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 7.3 PLACE THE ANCHOR IN THE POSITION AND LOCATION AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 7.4 THE ANCHORS SHALL BE SET WITH A PULL AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 7.5 THE APPROXIMATE DRAG AND PENETRATION OF THE ANCHOR AT THE SPECIFIED PULL IS:

- DRAG = 5.0m
- PENETRATION = 1.5m



THE ABOVE FIGURE IS FROM PAGE 151 OF THE VRYHOF MANUAL, THE GUIDE TO ANCHORING, MARCH 2018 EDITION.

7.6 THE DRAG SHALL BE MONITORED BY GPS ON THE WINCH BARGE, AND A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER FAMILIAR WITH THE SOIL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE SHALL DIRECT THE WINCH BARGE TO STOP AND RESET FURTHER AWAY OR WITH A NEW FLUKE ANGLE IF THE ANCHOR IS NOT SET IN ABOUT 20 MINUTES OF PULLING, WHERE GUIDANCE ON THE DURATION OF THE PULL IS PROVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE:

CLASSIFICATION SOCIETY	REQUIRED DURATION OF MAINTAINING TENSION
LLOYD'S REGISTER OF SHIPPING	20 MINUTES
AMERICAN BUREAU OF SHIPPING	30 MINUTES
DET NORSKE VERITAS (NMD)	15 MINUTES

THE ABOVE TABLE IS FROM PAGE 41 OF THE VRYHOF MANUAL, THE GUIDE TO ANCHORING, MARCH 2018 EDITION.


**8.0 ANCHOR HOLDING POWER**

- 8.1 THE ANCHORS CHOSEN FOR THIS FACILITY ARE 8-TONNE HIGH-HOLD STEVPRIS OR STEVSHARK MK5 ANCHORS.
- 8.2 THE ANCHOR HOLDING POWER IS 270 TONNES IN VERY SOFT CLAY AND 490 TONNES IN SAND AND HARD CLAY AS PROVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING REFERENCES:
  - API RP 2SK, DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF STATION KEEPING SYSTEMS FOR FLOATING STRUCTURES, JUNE 2015.
  - VRYHOF MANUAL, THE GUIDE TO ANCHORING, MARCH 2018 EDITION.
- 8.3 THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SET THE FLUKE AT 32 DEGREES INITIALLY FOR SAND AND THE ANGLE IS TO BE ADJUSTED IF THE REQUIRED HOLDING POWER IS NOT ACHIEVED.
- 8.4 IN THE EVENT THAT THE ABOVE ADJUSTMENTS DO NOT ACHIEVE THE REQUIRED HOLDING POWER THE ANCHOR SHALL BE SET IN DEEP WATER WITH THE FLUKES SET FOR VERY SOFT SOILS, AND SUFFICIENT LENGTHS OF CHAIN SHOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR THIS EVENTUALITY.


File name: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - PO2\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-PO2-00-DWG-1000-1001.dwg  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 3:38pm Plotted: 2025/07/28

<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; display: inline-block;"> <p style="margin: 0;"><b>PRELIMINARY</b></p> <p style="margin: 0;">DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION</p> <p style="margin: 0;">Last Saved: 2025/07/28 3:38pm</p> </div>					<p>CLIENT</p> <p style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; color: red;">BRIDGEMANS</p>			
<p>PROJECT</p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">WOODFIBRE LNG SECOND FLOATEL BERTH</p>					<p>TITLE</p> <p style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold;">DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES</p>			
DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.					
SHOWN	1220247-PO2	00-DWG-1001	C					

Filename: C:\Users\jerricoeng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1002.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/24 6:46pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



**LOCATION PLAN**  
1:2500



**KEYPLAN**

0 5KM

**NOTES:**

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG.1220247-P02-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS			ISSUE / REVISIONS			PERMITS AND STAMPS									

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/24 6:46pm

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


WESTMAR  
ADVISORS

TITLE

KEY PLAN AND LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1002	C

File name: C:\Users\RMull\Westmar Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - 21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1012.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/09/19 2:31pm Plotted: 2025/09/19



**LEGEND:**

- WP LOCATION ON DRAG ANCHOR
- AREA OF POTENTIAL SEABED DISTURBANCE
- GLASS SPONGE (±2.5m ACCURACY)

ANCHOR LOCATION TABLE			
ANCHOR	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEVATION (m)
A	5500800.72	481444.20	-79.57
B	5500860.91	481492.30	-110.96
C	5500887.43	481495.69	-105.56
D	5500996.95	481542.85	-100.67
E	5501053.85	481495.47	-64.72
F	5501041.55	481319.89	3.00
G	5501037.03	481314.26	3.00
H	5500906.39	481276.91	1.70
J	5500842.18	481278.84	2.12

- NOTES:**
- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.
  - ALL ELEVATIONS ON THE DRAWINGS ARE IN METERS AND REFERENCED TO GEODETIC (GD) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
  - BATHYMETRIC INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON A GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY COMPLETED BY FRONTIER GEOSCIENCES INC. ON JANUARY 2018, WHICH WAS DONE TO GEODETIC DATUM (GD).
  - TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON DRAWING 4399.03-C4 AND 4399.03-C5 BY APTIM PORT SERVICES LLC, DATED OCTOBER 19, 2022, WHICH WAS DONE TO GEODETIC DATUM (GD).

**PLAN - FLOATEL BERTHING**  
1:1000

REVISION IN PROGRESS

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
D	25/09/17	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/09/19 2:31pm

BRIDGEMANS

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

WESTMAR  
ADVISORS


TITLE  
**BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING PLAN**

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1003	D

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly agrees. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is not party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

PERMITS AND STAMPS

File name: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last saved: 2025/07/28 6:27pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



SECTION A  
1:500

BILL OF MATERIALS					
ITEM ID.	ITEMS	SIZE	QUANTITY	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
A	ANCHOR	8t	1	7	270t TO 490t ULTIMATE HOLDING CAPACITY FOR SOFT CLAY TO SAND
B	SHACKLE	5-1/4" (130mm)	1	7	ANCHOR SHACKLE SUPPLIED WITH ANCHOR
C	CHAIN	3" (76mm)	4.5 SHOTS (123.4m)	34 SHOTS	GRADE 3; 440t MBS
D	SHACKLE	3"	5	37	120t WORKING LOAD LIMIT
E	BUOY	VARIES	1	6	SEE DETAIL ON SECTION FOR NET BUOYANCY

- NOTES:**
- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
ISSUE / REVISIONS							

**PRELIMINARY**  
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:27pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approves. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


**WESTMAR  
ADVISORS**

TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 1

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1005	C

Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



SECTION B  
1:500

BILL OF MATERIALS					
ITEM ID.	ITEMS	SIZE	QUANTITY	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
A	ANCHOR	8t	1	7	270t TO 490t ULTIMATE HOLDING CAPACITY FOR SOFT CLAY TO SAND
B	SHACKLE	5-1/4" (130mm)	1	7	ANCHOR SHACKLE SUPPLIED WITH ANCHOR
C	CHAIN	3" (76mm)	5.5 SHOTS (150.9m)	34 SHOTS	GRADE 3; 440t MBS
D	SHACKLE	3"	6	37	120t WORKING LOAD LIMIT
E	BUOY	VARIES	1	6	SEE DETAIL ON SECTION FOR NET BUOYANCY

**NOTES:**

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly agrees. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


**WESTMAR**  
ADVISORS

TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 2

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1006	B

Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\21\_Drafting\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



BILL OF MATERIALS					
ITEM ID.	ITEMS	SIZE	QUANTITY	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
A	ANCHOR	8t	1	7	270t TO 490t ULTIMATE HOLDING CAPACITY FOR SOFT CLAY TO SAND
B	SHACKLE	5-1/4" (130mm)	1	7	ANCHOR SHACKLE SUPPLIED WITH ANCHOR
C	CHAIN	3" (76mm)	6 SHOTS (164.6m)	34 SHOTS	GRADE 3; 440t MBS
D	SHACKLE	3"	6	37	120t WORKING LOAD LIMIT
E	BUOY	VARIES	1	6	SEE DETAIL ON SECTION FOR NET BUOYANCY

- NOTES:**
- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly agrees. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


**WESTMAR  
ADVISORS**

TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 3

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1007	B

Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



SECTION D  
1:500

BILL OF MATERIALS						
ITEM ID.	ITEMS	SIZE	QUANTITY	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION	
A	ANCHOR	8t	1	7	270t TO 490t ULTIMATE HOLDING CAPACITY FOR SOFT CLAY TO SAND	
B	SHACKLE	5-1/4" (130mm)	1	7	ANCHOR SHACKLE SUPPLIED WITH ANCHOR	
C	CHAIN	3" (76mm)	5.5 SHOTS (150.9m)	34 SHOTS	GRADE 3; 440t MBS	
D	SHACKLE	3"	6	37	120t WORKING LOAD LIMIT	
E	BUOY	VARIES	1	6	SEE DETAIL ON SECTION FOR NET BUOYANCY	

**NOTES:**

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

**WESTMAR ADVISORS**


TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 4

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1008	C




Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm Plotted: 2025/07/28




SECTION G  
1:500  
1003

BILL OF MATERIALS - SECTION G					
ITEM ID.	ITEMS	SIZE	QUANTITY	TOTAL	DESCRIPTION
A	ANCHOR	8t	1	7	270t TO 490t ULTIMATE HOLDING CAPACITY FOR SOFT CLAY TO SAND
B	SHACKLE	5-1/4" (130mm)	1	7	ANCHOR SHACKLE SUPPLIED WITH ANCHOR
C	CHAIN	3" (76mm)	0.5 SHOTS (13.7m)	34 SHOTS	GRADE 3; 440t MBS
D	SHACKLE	3"	2	37	120t WORKING LOAD LIMIT



SECTION H  
1:500  
1003



SECTION J  
1:500  
1003

NOTES:

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
C	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
B	25/06/27	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
A	25/06/23	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	-	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

WESTMAR  
ADVISORS



TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 6

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1010	C

PERMITS AND STAMPS

Filename: C:\Users\jerrinegeng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247\_P02-00-DWG-1003-1010.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



**NOTES:**

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:40pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approves. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


WESTMAR  
ADVISORS

TITLE


BERTHING STRUCTURES  
MOORING LINE SECTIONS  
SHEET 7

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1011	A


File name: C:\Users\RMull\Westmar Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - 21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1003-1012.dwg  
 Last saved: 2025/09/19 2:31pm Plotted: 2025/09/19




**PLAN - SILT CURTAIN AND LOG BOOM**  
1:150



**SECTION A**  
1:50




**DETAIL 1 LOG CONNECTION AT CENTRE**  
1:10




**DETAIL 2 LOG CONNECTION TO BUOY**  
1:10

NOTE: 2-LOCATIONS



**DETAIL 3 LOG CONNECTION AT CORNERS**  
1:10

NOTE: 5-LOCATIONS



**NOTES:**

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

REVISION IN PROGRESS

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/09/17	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	RM	DEL	NA	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/09/19 2:31pm

CLIENT  
**BRIDGEMANS**

PROJECT  
**WOODFIBRE LNG  
 SECOND FLOATEL BERTH**

**WESTMAR  
ADVISORS**


TITLE  
**BERTHING STRUCTURES  
 SILT CURTAIN AND LOG BOOM**

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1012	A

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and each use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly agrees. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.




PERMITS AND STAMPS

Filename: C:\Users\jerrinegeng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1015.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:09pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



**PLAN - STEEL SHORE ANCHORAGE**  
1:10

NOTE: TWO REQUIRED.



**SECTION A**  
1:10

NOTE: ANCHOR, HARDWARE AND STEELWORK TO BE HOT DIP GALVANIZED.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	JJC	DEL	-									
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:09pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approves. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is a third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

PERMITS AND STAMPS

CLIENT


BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
FLOATING ACCOMMODATIONS

<b>WESTMAR ADVISORS</b>			
TITLE BRIDGEMANS/SECOND FLOATEL BERTH STEEL ANCHORAGE DETAILS			
DRAWING SCALE SHOWN	PROJECT NUMBER 1220247-P02	DRAWING NUMBER 00-DWG-1015	REV. A

Filename: C:\Users\jerrine@eng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\02\_MA\01\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1020-1022.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:17pm Plotted: 2025/07/28



PLAN - FLOATEL BERTHING  
 1:200

- NOTES:**
- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.
  - ALL ELEVATIONS ON THE DRAWINGS ARE IN METERS AND REFERENCED TO GEODETIC (GD) UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
  - BATHYMETRIC INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON A GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY COMPLETED BY FRONTIER GEOSCIENCES INC. ON JANUARY 2018, WHICH WAS DONE TO GEODETIC DATUM (GD).
  - TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHOWN IS BASED ON DRAWING 4399.03-C4 AND 4399.03-C5 BY APTIM PORT SERVICES LLC, DATED OCTOBER 19, 2022, WHICH WAS DONE TO GEODETIC DATUM (GD).

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	JJC	DEL										
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
 DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:17pm

The information in this drawing are for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approve. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

PERMITS AND STAMPS

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
 SECOND FLOATEL BERTH


WESTMAR  
 ADVISORS

TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
 TRANSFER BARGE AND GANGWAY PLAN


DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1020	A

File name: C:\Users\jerrinegeng\Westmar\_Advisors\1220247\_Bridgemans\_Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\Woodfibre - P02\_Second Floatel Mooring\21\_Drafting\02\_MA\01\_Drawing\1220247-P02-00-DWG-1020-1022.dwg  
 Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:17pm Plotted: 2025/07/28 6:17pm




SECTION A  
1:20

SECTION THROUGH GANGWAY 1



SECTION B  
1:200

SECTION THROUGH GANGWAY 2



SECTION C  
1:200

- NOTES:
- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D	No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/07/29	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	-	JJC	-	DEL								
ISSUE / REVISIONS															

**PRELIMINARY**  
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:17pm

The information in this drawing is for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approves. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT





WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

**WESTMAR  
ADVISORS**


TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
TRANSFER BARGE AND GANGWAY SECTIONS


DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1021	A



PLAN - ABUTMENT CONNECTION  
1:5



SECTION B  
1:5



SECTION C  
1:5

NOTES:

- FOR DESIGN CRITERIA AND GENERAL NOTES, SEE DWG. 1220247-P020-00-DWG-1001.

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
A	25/07/28	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JG	-	JJC	-	DEL
ISSUE / REVISIONS							

No.	DATE(Y/M/D)	DESCRIPTION	DRAWN	CHK'D	DESIGN	CHK'D	APP'D
ISSUE / REVISIONS							

**PRELIMINARY**  
DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION  
Last Saved: 2025/07/28 6:17pm

The information in this drawing are for the sole use and benefit of the Client. No other party may use or rely upon the drawing or any portion thereof without Westmar's prior written consent, and such use shall be on terms and conditions as Westmar expressly approves. Ownership in and copyright for the contents of the drawing belong to Westmar. Any use which is third party makes of the drawing is the sole risk and responsibility of such third party. Westmar accepts no responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage suffered by any third party in relation to use of this drawing.

PERMITS AND STAMPS

CLIENT

BRIDGEMANS

PROJECT

WOODFIBRE LNG  
SECOND FLOATEL BERTH

WESTMAR  
ADVISORS

TITLE

BERTHING STRUCTURES  
GANGWAY ABUTMENT DETAILS

DRAWING SCALE	PROJECT NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV.
SHOWN	1220247-P02	00-DWG-1022	A